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THE MEETING COMMENCED ON MONDAY, 15TH DAY OF
JANUARY, 2018 AS FOLLOWS:

CATHAOIRLEACH: Good afternoon, ladies and gentlemen, you're all very welcome and I'd like to particularly welcome the members of the public who have come here today. First of a11, I'd like to start by wishing everybody a happy and prosperous new year.

Just one thing before I start the meeting. I'd like to 14:02 put forward the option of extending the meeting, if necessary, to 6:00 o'clock, is that agreeable by the Members?

FROM THE FLOOR: Agreed.
CATHAOIRLEACH: okay.
CLLR. WINTERS: Can we extend that further, Chairman, if we have to?

MS. GALLAGHER: Let's do it by 4:30.
CATHAOIRLEACH: By 4:30 we'11 do it. okay. Is there any votes of sympathy? I believe there may be a few.
MS. GALLAGHER: Yes, Cathaoirleach.
CATHAOIRLEACH: C11r. Lawless.
CLLR. LAWLESS: Thanks, Cathaoirleach. I'd like to pass my vote of sympathy to the family of Pat Smith from Greystones. Pat Smith was Secretary of the Greystones AFC Soccer Club for many, many years and he was also an employee of Wicklow County Council up until he retired. He was a very funny man. I've known him since a young girl and he'11 be sadly missed within the

Greystones Community. So I'd like to extend our condolences to his sister Mary and his brother Kevin, please. Thank you.
CATHAOIRLEACH: C11r. Thornhil1.
CLLR. THORNHILL: Go raibh maith agat, Cathaoirleach. I would just like to move a vote of sympathy to the family and friends to Aaron Kelly, the young lad that was buried last week, 10 years approximately in age and who was involved with Ardmore Rovers. I'm sure we all should appreciate that was devastating for the family and I think that we should support the family. Go raibh maith agat, Chairman.
CATHAOIRLEACH: C11r. Fox.
CLLR. FOX: Thank you, Chairman. I would like to offer my sympathies to Mr. Sean Devlin in Kilmacanogue. Sean 14:04 was heavily involved in the community as all the Devlin family has been. They seem to be involved in every organisation and association in the community and sadly Sean passed away last week. So I would just like to offer my sympathies to his wife, Peggy, and all the family. Thanks, Chairman.
CATHAOIRLEACH: C11r. Gerry Walsh.
CLLR. WALSH: I would just like to be associated with the remarks and condolences for Patrick Smith in Greystones, Cathaoirleach. I would have known him
through his involvement in Greystones AFC down through the years and he was a committed servant to that club and gave a great commitment to support the club and promote sport in the area in general. Just condolences
again to his family. Thank you.
CATHAOIRLEACH: C11r. Tommy Cullen.
CLLR. TOMMY CULLEN: Chairman, I'm sure you'11 join with me, that we would write a letter of thanks to the services of Mr. Noe1 Dooley who has recently retired from the fire service, of the many years of excellent service. He is the third generation of firemen in the Baltinglass area. He served in Bray in recent years. His father was a Senior Fire officer and his father actually lost his life, Paddy Dooley, in 1968 during the tragic accident in Baltinglass for the fire service. So he is the third generation of Dooleys in the Fire Service. So I would ask that the Council would send Mr. Noel Dooley a letter of thanks for all the years of service, excellent years he and his family have given to the Council and the fire service. CATHAOIRLEACH: I'm happy to second that. Thank you. C11r. Fitzgerald.
CLLR. FITZGERALD: I just want to offer my sympathy to the family of Michael O'Brien, known as Mick O'Brien who originally came from Hacketstown in Carlow, and an outstanding sportsman who won medals in Dublin, wicklow, Carlow. Great sportsman and a businessman in the Arklow area.

And to the family of the late Garda Superintendent Peter Finn. Peter lived in Wexford but he was a very prominent guard in Wicklow/wexford area for many years and he died at a relatively young age on Christmas
morning.
CATHAOIRLEACH: Thank you.
MS. GALLAGHER: We have some more votes of sympathy.
For Mrs. Bridget Roche, mother-in-1aw of Noelly Roche, Housing Department; for Mr. Peter Hempenstal1, father-in-1aw of Sean Doy1e, Housing Department; and for Pat Byrne, brother of Tommy Byrne, the Machinery Yard.

CATHAOIRLEACH: We'11 have a minute's silence.
[ONE MINUTE'S SILENCE WAS OBSERVED]

CATHAOIRLEACH: Before the meeting commences I think I have one proposal for a Suspension of Standing Orders at 4:30. C11r. Behan.

CLLR. BEHAN: Thanks, Cathaoirleach. I'd like to propose a Suspension of Standing Orders to discuss the fact that Wicklow County Council has effectively stopped repairing public lighting throughout the County for some reason, which $I$ think is to do with lack of ordering of supplies, or whatever. But in Bray at the moment we have between one and 200 public lights out of action and we were told at our last meeting it will be May before they'11 actually be repaired. I believe this is a problem widespread throughout the County.
It's not good enough, absolutely not good enough, and I think we need an explanation and a discussion and a plan as to what we are going to do about it. Thank you.

CATHAOIRLEACH: Is that agreed?
FROM THE FLOOR: That's agreed.
MS. GALLAGHER: Can I have a seconder?
CATHAOIRLEACH: A seconder for that? C11r. Fox. Okay, thank you, Members.

Item 1 on the agenda: To confirm and sign the minutes of the Ordinary Meeting of Wicklow County Council held on Monday, 6th November, 2017.

MS. GALLAGHER: Proposer C11r. Sne11. Seconded?
CATHAOIRLEACH: C11r. Vance. Is that agreed?
FROM THE FLOOR: Agreed.
CATHAOIRLEACH: Item 2: To confirm and sign minutes of Budget Meeting of wicklow County Council held on Monday, 27th November, 2017.
MS. GALLAGHER: Proposed by C11r. Shay Cullen; seconded Cllr. Fox. Agreed?

FROM THE FLOOR: Agreed.
MS. GALLAGHER: Thank you.
CATHAOIRLEACH: Item 3: To confirm and sign the
minutes of the Ordinary Meeting of Wicklow County Council held on Monday, 4th December, 2017.

MS. GALLAGHER: Proposed C11r. Ryan; seconded
Cl1r. Walsh.
CATHAOIRLEACH: Is that agreed?
FROM THE FLOOR: Agreed.
CATHAOIRLEACH: We'11 now move on to Item 4.
CLLR. VANCE: Chairman, could we just move Item 12, to note the Local Government Audit Report and note that.

It's just at the end of number 12 there. We mightn't get to it today, you know.
CATHAOIRLEACH: I have no issue. Do you want to move it up to now?

CLLR. VANCE: It's just for noting anyway.
CLLR. FITZGERALD: I second that.
CATHAOIRLEACH: Okay. So a proposal to move Item 12 up ahead of Item 4 to note the Local Government Audit Report. Is that agreed?

MS. GALLAGHER: So is it noted and agreed?
FROM THE FLOOR: Noted and agreed.
MS. GALLAGHER: Noted and agreed. Thank you.
CATHAOIRLEACH: Item 4: To consider the disposal of 0.0076 hectares of 1 and on parts of 1 and known as The Florentine Centre site, Quinsboro Road, Bray, County

Wicklow, to Avondale Food Stores Limited, 1 Terenure Place, Dublin 6W, by way of 35-year lease (as per notice previously circulated). I'11 just let Des come in on that.

MR. O'BRIEN: Thank you, Cathaoirleach. This is part of overall Florentine site, the substantive part is in next item on the agenda.

The bottle store is an existing shed that one of the you pubs on Quinsboro Road keep their barrels and directly from there. We CPO'd that as part of the whole florentine site but rather than pay them compensation on it we agreed we'd give them an
equivalent area adjacent to it and plus we would give them some compensation for the disruption as well. we thought that was the most expedient way of dealing with this acquisition. So they have an existing 35 -year lease from the former owners of the site. we're going to give them a similar lease, except we're going to start it from year zero again and give them a new 35 -year lease. As part of the Development Agreement with the proposed developers of the Florentine site, they'11 have to build this bottle store first of all and we will transfer the bottle store then into the new store. So the Avondale Stores will have use of the committees bottle store while we're building the new one and then when a new one is built they will leave it and that will be demolished. So I would recommend that 14:11 to the members.
CATHAOIRLEACH: Cl1r. Vance.
CLLR. VANCE: I propose that, chair.
CLLR. MATTHEWS: second it.
CATHAOIRLEACH: Cllr. Matthews seconds.
MS. GALLAGHER: Is that agreed?
FROM THE FLOOR: Agreed.
MS. GALLAGHER: Thank you.
CATHAOIRLEACH: Item 5: To consider the disposal of 9,689 square metres, or thereabouts, of 1 and situated
off Main Street, Bray, County Wicklow and bounded by Florence Road, Quinsboro Road and Eglinton Road to Navybrook Limited, 55 Percy Place, Dublin 4( as per notice previously circulated). I'11 bring Des in.

MR. O'BRIEN: Cathaoirleach, Members of Bray Town Council will be very, very aware of this as it has been on the agenda continually probably for the last seven years, if nearly every meeting. It's actually been going on since 1996 when the Members proposed that instead of just doing a multi-storey car park they'd do something a bit more ambitious. It's had its ups and downs over the years and eventually the company that owned it went into receivership and we pursued their interests in the site off the receiver in December 2013. In the meantime we engaged with Savills to give us advice on retail investment advice on the chance of getting tenants and what the rents would be and how that would translate into paying for the centre. So we did brochure drawings, first of all, for the development.

First of al1, I got a quantity surveyor to work out what it would cost approximately. On the basis of that, Savills then did their research on what rents we would be liable to get. Towards the middle of 2016 they said it's just about viable. We've had various meetings with the Bray Municipal District in the meantime explaining exactly how it would work. We then went for full planning permissions. We engaged architects, engineers and planners because we felt the size of the development needed an EIS. We got planning permission this time last year, just a few days hence from this time last year and we started then engaging
lawyers. Because this is actually not really a straightforward sale of the site, we will continue to own this site until the development is built. It would make life much easier if it was just a simple sale because we could have just put it up for tender and the person who paid the most would get the gig, or we could even at that stage done, the person who we figure would give the best offering from the town, we might have been able to do it. But the fact that we're holding on to ownership of the site until the site is completed meant we were, in effect, procuring the development of the site. So we had to go through, in line with European Procurement Directives, which turned out to be a very long process. It has taken the best part of nine months. The legal documents took an awful lot of coming and going to get them completed.

What we had to go through. First of all, we did actually lots of markets of the site first of all through Savills. we had a corporate page ad in The Irish Times; and we had a corporate page article done by that newspaper on the site. Savills wrote to their entire database, but they actually certainly concentrated and focused on 15 people they thought were best to do it and we interviewed these this time last week, over the Christmas period. We were quite surprised then when we put it up for the first stage which is called the prequalification stage. only four of these people tendered. We only qualified for
various reasons two of these.

The next stage is you go into competitive dialogue where you need a new set of documents made up by the 1awyers. We had two people and they both engaged initially but towards the end we were a little concerned that the second tenderer didn't seem to have huge interest in it and we were a bit concerned that they might not tender.

So when the competitive dialogue stage was over - and that's really to agree things like what's in the Development Agreement and mainly deals with how the funders can step into an agreement if it doesn't work out, that's important because once you go to a tender stage you can't change anything. So we eventually called for tender and we got the documents back at the beginning of December, even though we only got one lone tender in. Because time is of the essence, because we really had hoped to have this out in the middle of the summer, our main target is to have the business open by Christmas of 2019. We went and sought a valuation before the final tenders came in and we engaged Bannons, who are the other big retail property house in Dublin. Savills would be the other one but we already 14:17 had them on board.

So that's where we've got to so far. It's the end of a long, well $I$ suppose it's nearly the end of a long
road. I talked to the preferred bidders at this stage. They would be hopeful of signing a contract within four weeks of the disposal notice going through.

So I would recommend to this the Members and I'm asking you to support it.

CATHAOIRLEACH: C11r. Ryan.
CLLR. RYAN: Thanks a lot, Cathaoirleach. To be honest it's quite a historic for Bray if this disposal goes forward. I'd be happy to formally propose it. For Councillors around the table who don't actually know the history of the site, developers had this site and chose not to develop it and that effectively left a hole in the centre of Bray and effectively took the heart out of the town. So for the last 20 , over 20 years the town has been hugely negatively affected by that, particularly from retail leakage going to Carrickmines and Dundrum.

The Bray Economic Think Tank wrote a strategy for the future of the town and the number one item was the Florentine, encouraging the Town Council to take control back and buy the parking site that they didn't own and that went through the Town Council unanimously. So the Council were able to secure that. Many people talked about the cost of putting that site together originally. It was over € 20 million and the Council bought it for under $€ 2$ million which was fantastic. I think there's an awful lot of people in Bray who still
don't believe this is ever going to happen because it's been going on so long. This is a really important moment where we do this disposal and we know that based on what the Director has said, it's very, very tight. we now have the control and if this isn't built, the land won't actually go across to those. The timeframes are tied in too. I think that's really important with penalties there if they're not met.

I am just thrilled that we've finally got to the stage where we're going to final have proper, fantastic retail which I believe will actually bring the whole town alive again. So I'm happy to propose it. Thank you.
CATHAOIRLEACH: Cl1r. Vance.
CLLR. VANCE: Chairman, I want to formally second it. Like what C11r. Ryan was saying, 1994 was the first time we went out for to tender on this land and it had two planning permissions. They were held up in An Bord Pleanála for six years and then what happened then we had the economic crash and we all know what happened there and the Town Council at the time discussed the various times and the policy that was adopted unanimously by the Council was that the Council should seek to buy this land. when an opportunity came to
purchase this land, like what C11r. Ryan was saying, in December 2013 the Council did purchase this land, it was Council policy and agreed by every member of the Council at that particular time and I think it has been
agreed by every member of the Council since then as we11. So then it took three years' hard work to bring it to the state it is in at the moment that we have a developer who is willing to come in and provide this much needed facility in the town. It's not going to cure all the ills in Bray but it will certainly cure an awful lot of them, Chairman. It's going to be about 120,000 square feet and it wil1 go considerably to sorting out some of the problems that we had. The retail offering in Bray has not been good for a long time. There's been leakage to outside of town and it's only if we get something like this that we may stop a little bit of it anyway. That's why I'm delighted to formally second it as well and in doing so could I just pay tribute to three people: Des o'Brien;

Christine Flood; and David Forde who, over those years, have put in a tremendous effort to bring it to where it is today. I don't think -- almost every month the Florentine Centre was on the agenda and I know the amount of work and effort that they put in to bring it to the stage it is today. So I want to formally second it. Thank you, Chairman.

CATHAOIRLEACH: C11r. Lawless.
CLLR. LAWLESS: Thanks, Cathaoirleach. I just have one query maybe Des could clear it up for me. I've had residents from the Eglinton Road on to me in regards to there's a laneway there that services the houses there and it's always just serviced the houses there and apparently, as part of the plan, it's going to be
pedestrianised to go into the centre. Now, I've no objections to the actual centre, by the way, it's literally just this query. This land -- apparently this laneway was privately owned but now Wicklow County Council have taken it over. I just want clarification in and around that because $I$ know the residents aren't happy because that laneway just purposively services their houses and I don't think they're keen to have it opened up maybe for pedestrians to get into the actual centre. Maybe, Des, you could give me a bit of background on that. As I say, I wouldn't know all the ins and outs over the years of this particular plan but just this particular aspect I'd like some clarification on please. Thank you.
CATHAOIRLEACH: C11r. Matthews.
CLLR. MATTHEWS: Thanks, Cathaoirleach. Cathaoirleach, today's meeting is probably one of the most important meetings for Bray since it sat at this Council table anyway and there's been a lot of Bray issues on the agenda for the past couple of months and I want to thank the Members for the time and considerations they've given to Bray issues. I take it as an indication and as a good sign that things are happening in Bray. The Florentine site is one of those very strong positive indicators that things are happening in the Town. The other Councillors have outlined the history of the site and anybody who lives in Bray has probably, up to a certain age, maybe up to 35 years of age, will only have ever known that as being a hole in
the Main Street, a hole in the ground and a rough car park. The reason was we lost control of the site, the developer had control of the site and didn't complete and didn't follow through on the permissions they were given. But in taking back control of the site, which was a move made by the last Bray Town Council - and I want to commend the Councillors on that Council who took that smart and brave move to buy that site back. We've taken control back of the site; we got planning permission; we've gone to the market; and now we have a 14:24 developer who seems quite positive, seems to have the backing and seems to have the will and the intent to develop this site. So I will be supporting this disposal today. I think it represents the best opportunity for the Town and especially for the Florentine site that we've had in a long, long time.

As the Manager said, it's been on the agenda, it's been on the agenda definitely at every meeting of Bray Municipal District. Every update has been given to the 14:24 Bray Members. Any questions the Bray Members have asked has been answered. We had pub1ic day. We've had workshop meetings. The Bray Members are informed and I think they realise this is a good deal for the time. It's probably the best deal we're going to get considering that we still have control of that site and we have prescribed what we want to go on that site. I'm recommending that we proceed with this.

The only concern I had for this site was that we would end up in a situation that if we sold it, that whoever came in to buy it, we would lose control of it again but I have been assured by the Executive, I've been reassured by the Executive and in the Manager's report there we can see that we still have a hand in the game, that we still have control of it, that we still have a very, very tight control on what's built and when it's built by. That's assured me on that concern that I had. Thank you, Cathaoirleach.

CATHAOIRLEACH: C11r. Fortune.
CLLR. FORTUNE: Thanks, Cathaoirleach. Like other Members, $I$ also believe that this site needs to be developed for the benefit of the people in Bray. But I wish to put an amendment forward to this particular proposal that's before us in the area of procurement. I'11 read it into the record and I can hand it up to you, I have it written out.

In view of the e-mail received by the elected Council
on 12th January, and further correspondence received today, 15th January, by the Council regarding the disposal notice and, in particular, documentation attached to the said notice of disposal, given the apparent contradictions and confusion that emerged that 14:26 the CEO would write to Bannons for further information regarding their previous professional involvement in the Florentine site prior to their engagement by Wicklow County Council in November 2017. That this
response be circulated to the Elected Members on receipt. I'm proposing that amendment.
CATHAOIRLEACH: Can you hand me up --
CLLR. THORNHILL: I'11 second it.
CATHAOIRLEACH: Can you hand up that amendment, Tom, and I think I'11 need I circulate it to all Members. CLLR. FORTUNE: That's fair enough. MS. GALLAGHER: Thanks very much.
CATHAOIRLEACH: I'm going to get that copied and circulated to all Members before we take a vote. You want to come in on that, do you, C11r. Behan? CLLR. BEHAN: Just on the general point. I mean obviously we'11 have to discuss C11r. Fortune's amendment but on the general point, I would agree with everything that has been said by Councillors Ryan, Vance and Matthews with regard to the necessity for doing something to develop that part of the town because for many, many years it has become really a derelict site in the heart of the Town Centre and I think has served as a huge depressing hole in the ground, if you like, for people who come into the town to shop and people who are trying to run businesses in the town. I would commend Des O'Brien and his staff for the work that they have consistently put into this project.

Now the question that was raised by C11r. Fortune, which we can discuss in a minute, can be important all right. I mean I see the point he's making but the
reality of it is that there is one bid on this particular project. There is one consortium or a developer who is willing to take on the plan that we have agreed at Bray Municipal District leve1. He has decided that he will take on, or the company will take on and ensure that what is built is what we want in the Town of Bray. I think that is the crucial issue and that's really what's at stake in the vote here today. That's why $I$ will be supporting it.

But I want to make one additional point, if I could and it goes back to the fiasco of the 1.78 acres on the Southern Cross. Because while Mr. O'brien had great foresight in putting in a condition in the sale or the leasing of 1.78 acres that there was a penalty, or there was going to be a rent, an additional rent for every year that that wasn't developed. On this occasion a similar condition has been put in, which I welcome, but $I$ want an assurance that on this occasion it will be followed up if, for some reason, the development does not take place and the penalty will be applied immediately rather than what happened on the Southern Cross which was that it was never applied and we ended up ten or twelve years later actually losing money because it wasn't followed up by this council.
So I want an assurance and I don't want it, with respect, from the Director, I want it from the chief Executive that these conditions will be implemented in full and on time because I'm voting for this on the
basis that we get that assurance. I would ask for it to be made in pub7ic by the Chief Executive here before we take a vote on it. Thank you.
CATHAOIRLEACH: Do you want to come in?
MR. O'BRIEN: Thank you, Cathaoirleach. Cllr. Lawless, 14:30 the Eglinton Road, we don't actually own that laneway. I think the three properties on Eglinton Road had a right-of-way across the laneway and the laneway actually -- when you go into that laneway I think the people are you are talking about are to the right of it 14:30 and our houses are on the left. There used to be a bit of a lane in that way. So the three properties that are part of this parcel would have a right-of-way across the lane. There's no right-of-way into the centre because that's actually the loading bays. So there's a big yard there that can take arctics so you wouldn't really be able to get people walking across it, unless you didn't like them! I think there's no worry there at all. That's not part of the scheme. CLLR. LAWLESS: It's not part of the scheme. okay, that's fine. Thank you.

MR. O'BRIEN: Thank you, C11r. Vance, for the nice words. I just might add as well that Mark Devereux was very much involved in this as well, part of this. Bray Council are fed up hearing this but a lot of the problems with the other scheme was it so expensive, it was actually too expensive to build. We really had to cut down the costs of this completely and that was basically what we went and got planning permission for.

That's something that actually did happen. Mark Devereux was very, very much involved in that.

C11r. Behan asked about the similar conditions. They are similar but not the same. In the 1.7 acres we basically disposed of the site with a forever lease, I can't remember how many years there was, but we basically had sold the site to them but we had a little sting in the tail. In the way this one is structured is we're not transferring the site to them. What we're doing is, we've an agreement with them that they will pay us so much money. First of a11, they pay a certain amount in advance when they sign the contract, which they will lose if they don't go ahead. If they don't actually fulfil the Development Agreement, which crudely is to build the centre, well; (a) obviously if they don't do anything at all we can just take the 1and back simpliciter. If obviously they are falling behind on whether they are actually making good progress we have liquidated damages which we put in at what we estimate to be the rate; the lower end of the rateable income on the site. So it's a bit different, we won't be actually getting rent. What we would be doing, Councillor, is taking the site back. The reason we put in the liquidated damages was because, as part of the competitive process tenderers got marks for how quickly they could realise the project. This particular consortium or particular company were undertaking to have it open by August 2019, which is amazing to build
something out. Obviously that penalty is there and can be reached if they don't reach that. It's more to encourage them. It's a bit different to the other one so we'll basically get the site back and we'11 have to start the whole process again.

Can I comment on Cl1r. Fortune's amendment at this stage?
CATHAOIRLEACH: I have two more speakers but you go ahead with that.

MR. O'brien: No, I can come back at the end. CATHAOIRLEACH: Okay. I have two more speakers. Cllr. O'Connor.
CLLR. O'CONNOR: Thanks, Cathaoirleach, and thanks to your reply there to Cllr. Behan's question, Mr. O'brien. So we do have, at this stage, have the assurances of the officials that should this work, as has been agreed, not go ahead in the manner and in the time that has been agreed, that wicklow County Council will actually step in and do something about it? I,
like Cllr. Behan, I was just very concerned about another debacle like the Southern Cross should arise whereby the people of wicklow are owed a whole lot of money because work hasn't been done up there. So I'm glad to hear those assurances. Thank you. contract in number 8:

[^0]accordance with the provisions of that Agreement, then this contract will automatically terminate at the same time and the deposit paid shall be forfeited and released to the vendor."

That will be actually in the legals.
CLLR. O'CONNOR: Perfect.
CATHAOIRLEACH: Cl1r. Thornhill.
CLLR. THORNHILL: Cathaoirleach, go raibh míle maith agat. Cathaoirleach, it's just a question that I would 14:34 just like to ask. Was there correspondence received by management today in relation to The Florentine Centre? And by any chance could we get a copy of it and have it circulated amongst the Members?
MR. o'brien: There was. Something here today from
Gabriel Dooley. It was very, very similar to the information that was sought from The Sunday Business Post and Frank Connolly. That's what I responded to in the letter that I sent out to you. There's nothing in that correspondence that changes the e-mail I sent out the other day. I think it's a red herring. We asked someone to value a site. And I mean I copied Bannons' letter to you. They said it happened 12 years ago. It was done by their retail consultancy side of their house, not the valuation end of their business. It was 14:35 for a company that no longer exists. It was their major shareholder. Certainly at some stage it was the Ballymore Group who had no interest at all and made no queries about this site and had not been involved in
any of the marketing from Savills. They might have asked them. And most importantly, they're satisfied that no conflict of interest arises. I'm satisfied no conflict of interest arises. They were asked to value a site. They've got -- I happen to know that Navybrook 14:36 are using Savills to look for tenants for their site which I understand, they wouldn't divulge who they've got but they said they made great headway on that. But Bannons have not been involved with Navybrook. I see no conflict of interest whatsoever. As for the letter we received today, it changes nothing in my opinion. CATHAOIRLEACH: C11r. Cullen. Tommy Cullen. CLLR. TOMMY CULLEN: Chairman, in the contract it says "when practically completed". who defines what's practically completed and on whose interpretation? It says that "if the works are practically completed". Now what does that mean? Does that mean that it's halfway completed, three-quarters way completed or does it mean that the retailers have tenants, does it mean that the apartments or whoever, is it occupied? Because that would be an important issue with regards to any penalties if it's not completed on time. If there was an argument to say: 'well, in our view it's practically completed' and if the Council said: 'We11, in our view it's not.' Is there a set of guidelines that identifies and stipulates what "practically completed" means?

CATHAOIRLEACH: Thanks. C11r. Fox. CLLR. FOX: Chairman, I think we need to just proceed
with this. I mean as Chairman of Bray Municipal District we have discussed this at length over a long period of time. If you walk down the street of Bray you will be met by people asking when is this going to happen? There is a huge appetite by both retailers and individuals in Bray for this to get going. This is a very important step today to push that process on. We have received a tender and I think now we need to get on with it. I fully agree with cllr. Ryan, Vance, Behan and Matthews in their comments. I'm suggesting let's take the proposal and move on. The e-mail from Mr. O'brien has clarified any small issues that were out there. I don't see any contradictions or conflict of interest.

I, too, would like to be associated with thanking the officials involved. I mean this has been a lot of hard work on their part both Des, Christine, Mark and David Forde and thank them for the work that they've put into this to date. This is the future of Bray. Let's make no mistake about it. This is an extremely important development for Bray. So thank you, Chairman.
CATHAOIRLEACH: C11r. Fortune, do you want to come back in?

CLLR. FORTUNE: Chair, I'd just like to come back in. Look it, this project is very important. Everyone accepts that. That's not what we're discussing here at all. When I got this correspondence originally about
what was happening, I sent in some mails. I looked could I get a copy of the Development Agreement. I was told that it was - the usual stuff - commercially sensitive and then when I pushed it I was told that if I had any questions I could ask them today. Now C11r. Thornhil1 had asked for a copy of correspondence that came in to the Executive this morning to be circulated and it should be circulated the same way as my amendment has been circulated. The Head of Planning is saying that there's nothing different. whatever that means. But the fact is when I read the particular mail that came in this morning and I looked at what I had before me on 12th January, there is contradictions and it isn't clear. All I'm saying is, I'm an elected public representative. I have a fiduciary responsibility to the public. This is public money. It's a very, very important project, but I want to be happy in my mind, before $I$ can make a decision on this, that everything is clear and wide open and there's no confusion and there's no ambiguity and there's nothing else. So that document, that piece of correspondence should be circulated.

CATHAOIRLEACH: C11r. Matthews.
CLLR. MATTHEWS: Cathaoirleach, thanks for letting me back in. C11r. Fox made a proposal that it should proceed to vote and I want to second that and ask that we proceed to the vote on this. Time is of the essence on this and I think we should proceed.

CATHAOIRLEACH: Before I proceed I still have one more

Councillor to speak. C11r. Sne11.
CLLR. SNELL: Thanks, Cathaoirleach. Having listened to the debate here today and having spoken to a lot of public representatives over the last number of weeks in connection to this proposal, I think it's blatantly obvious that the Members from the Bray Municipal District are crying out here today for something to happen with this development, as are the public in Bray who have had to look at this unfortunate site for so many years and years and nothing ever seemed to be sorted or resolved and yet I've had more correspondence over the last eight or nine years on this one project than any other project in County wicklow. I think it's time that we pushed on. It seems that there is only one interested party to develop this. I think all the assurances has been given to all the Members here and I for one would support the Members from Bray to have this pushed forward for a vote here today because otherwise we're going to keep going round in circles. CATHAOIRLEACH: C11r. Thornhill.
CLLR. THORNHILL: Cathaoirleach, go raibh maith agat. Cathaoirleach, I would just like to say in relation to this that $I$ have no problem with everyone here. There's no doubt about it, The Florentine Centre has to go ahead. But we must remember this is going on 25
years, a long time down the road. The one thing that concerns me and it's about the disposal of the centre and it's looking at the valuation for $€ 750,000$ and for the disposal of it for $€ 2.6$ mil1ion. Now when you look
at the Florentine site, I mean you have two acres of car park space; you have three houses on Eglinton Road; two houses on Florence Road; you have two shops on the front of the Main Street, so the thing about it is, the valuation is nearer $€ 7$ million rather than
$€ 2.6$ million. Now the thing about it is, I would like to ask the question about the valuation. I mean after all we as a Council bought a house on the Herbert Road and we bought it for near a million, € 900,000 . So are you trying to tell me that a house that's the equivalent of 50 car parking spaces, now you have a site and it's only €2.6 million? That doesn't make as soon as to me. All I can only say here is, as a public representative myself, and I'm only representing views too and I know some people have been saying here that an awful lot of people are talking about the site, but we should be getting the best value. I say here the people's best interest is not being served by selling the site at this price, €2.6 million.

Now there's also a question about this Navybrook. What qualifications have they in relation to this whole Florentine site or whatever? I mean the whole thing. Look, I tell you, I'm here three years now, right, like there's people here an awful lot longer than me but I'm 14:44 only saying there's a few words that come to mind, openness and transparency. I mean what professional advice was open to Councillors in relation to the valuation of all these sites? Like I mean, okay, we
have to go ahead and do it properly but people are out there. I mean we had a few difficulties over the years. Well you had the Barracuda effort. You know what I mean like, we have to ask ourselves, we are all around here as public representatives and we're answerable to the public. It's public money. So I mean at the end of the day we just have to face up to it but I would just like to hear what Mr. O'brien has to say in relation to the valuation and the disposal. Go raibh maith agat, Cathaoirleach.
CATHAOIRLEACH: I'd like to come to a conclusion now because we have a long meeting ahead of us. I've two more speakers that want to come back in. C11r. Vance. CLLR. VANCE: Chairman, just on this. This site was amalgamated, it cost $€ 24$ million and bought for $€ 1.95$ million. I mean that was a tremendous price and it reflected the particular days. Anyone could have come in, this was a receiver's sale, anyone could have come in and bid for that site. The Council own half of the site anyway so the Council were certainly in the front line in that regard to this. It was Council policy as well to buy it at this stage.

C11r. Thornhill knows quite well about openness and transparency. We've had this since he arrived on the Council in 2014 because practically at every meeting we had a report on The Florentine Centre. And also, the Town of Bray is getting a development that is worth between 25 and 30 million . The Town of Bray, when it's
built will be getting between 400 and 500,000 in rates out of this. And the Town of Bray will be getting jobs for the people who will work in here as well. That's what we're getting out of this development. For anyone to want to put this off, one single hour, never mind a day or a week or a month, it's an absolute scandal for anyone to even suggest something like that because I can tell you this is the one item in Bray that people want every single day like Cllr. Fox had said. This comes up in anyone talking to me, certainly on the Main Street. We need to do this. We need to do it now and we need to get it up for Christmas 2019. Chairman, can we take a vote on it, please?
CATHAOIRLEACH: One more speaker wants to come back in and then I'm going for a vote. Cllr. Ryan.
CLLR. RYAN: Cllr. Vance has pretty much said the simple thing about this is that we're deciding what has to be built, they way it's to be build, when it's to built. That changes the valuation of the site and we do get the rates from that forever into the future and the job and in the Town of Bray will have the life back into it finally. I don't think anybody should really stand in the way of that. Thank you.
CATHAOIRLEACH: I propose a vote then on the matter. CLLR. TOMMY CULLEN: I asked about the practical completion..
CATHAOIRLEACH: The Chief Executive will come back in and after the Chief Executive is finished then I'm going for a vote.

MR. O'BRIEN: "Practical completion" is actually defined in the Development Agreement. Just to answer Cl1r. Fortune, I did offer to say at the meeting he could have come up to me at any stage to ask about the Development Agreement but unfortunately the lawyers who 14:47 drew it up put in a confidentiality clause which I don't think was that necessary, but we had to fight so hard about lots of things in the Development Agreement I never actually noticed that. But there is a strong confidentiality clause in it. Not a lot in the Development Agreement but I can't actually give it out and especially since on the valuation we were asked not to sort of, it was confidential and it ended up going all over the place. So we can't give that out. The Development Agreement is basically they build the centre out as we've got it, we've got rights to go in and supervise it while it's going on. There's various other protections in the Development Agreement. It's quite simple, I can assure you.
"Practical completion" is also defined in it. A lot of it is to do with building control regulations and assigned certifiers. There's also an arbitration clause on it that the President of the Royal Institute of Architects of Ireland can nominate someone to actually intervene if there's any difference of opinion on any of the meanings of the contract.

C11r. Thornhil1 talked about the valuation. I don't
know where he got his $€ 7$ million from, he maybe did a valuation himself. What I've said - and I have said it at several Bray Council meetings, C11r. Thornhill, and you must have been at some of them, that this site is worth more as a car park than a development site. There's not huge value in this for developers. Developers need to make $15 \%$ profit, presumably to get their funders on board and with the rents that are there there is just not the money in it. That's the reason why we got the other valuation because if we had 14:49 got a number of tenders that were all around the same sort of figure, we would have been actually quite happy about it. So we got, that's why we got the extra valuation done.

The valuers themselves put in big -- they made very, very clear in the valuation it is based on the fact that they have to build out the centre within a short time. That was the underlying value of that at all stages.

The difference between the house in Herbert Road is that it's on a much bigger site than any of these and also these houses, and when we got them, were full of dry rot. They had to be basically gutted out before they could come back on the market again.

So there's lots of reasons, Councillor, but what we've done is, we went out to e-tender the site and we sought
tenders. We did a lot of marketing on the site and this is what we got back. We got a valuation from one of the big property houses in Dublin who have come up with their valuation on it. So I'm perfectly happy to recommend this to the Members as being good value for money if you want a shopping centre built. If, on the other hand you just want the income from a car park then you should actually vote against it if that's what you think is good for the Town of Bray. I don't think most of the Members of the Bray Municipal District believe that, I don't believe it but obviously it's a matter for the mention.

CATHAOIRLEACH: C11r. Fortune, I'11 give you 30 seconds.
CLLR. FORTUNE: That's okay. Just a clarification, that's all. Mr. o'brien said that $I$ could come on to him at any time. That's not the case at all. I sent in an e-mail asking for the Development Agreement. I was told I couldn't have it and I was told if I had any questions I could ask them today. They're the facts. I want the record proper now. They are the absolute facts. And the issue here, I mean let's not start playing games like happens with other things. Nobody in this Chamber is against The Florentine Centre being developed. Nobody. Let's put that on the record. what's been discussed here is the whole process and the procurement and the whole lead-in and correspondence we got and what was said and what is being contradicted in other correspondence. That all needs to be clear and
proper. I'm a public representative. I've got a responsibility to the public, as everyone else has, and I'11 articulate in this Chamber what $I$ believe that responsibility is. I don't believe I've been properly informed to make a proper decision on this particular project that's before us today. It could be all clarified in the next week to ten days and it could back before us in the meeting in February which is two and a half weeks ago. Please now let's not play a spin with this. Nobody, I believe, in this Chamber is against the site being development. Of course Bray needs it and it would be great for Bray but we have to know exactly what's going on and I don't.
FROM THE FLOOR: Hear, hear.
CATHAOIRLEACH: Take a vote then.
CLLR. TOMMY CULLEN: Chairman, I asked a question. CATHAOIRLEACH: It was answered.

CLLR. TOMMY CULLEN: About practical -CATHAOIRLEACH: It was answered. The question was answered.

CLLR. TOMMY CULLEN: Can you repeat it there for me? CATHAOIRLEACH: No, he answered it. He did answer it. CLLR. RYAN: Listen to the bloody meeting and go on. Cal1 the vote, Chairman. CATHAOIRLEACH: In fairness the question was answered. CLLR. TOMMY CULLEN: I didn't hear it. what was the answer?

MR. O'BRIEN: It is defined in the Development Agreement and then in failing --

CLLR. TOMMY CULLEN: In plain English what does it mean?

MR. O'BRIEN: In plain English, "practical completion"
is basically when the centre is ready to be opened. In plain English.

CATHAOIRLEACH: Okay. Vote.
CLLR. FORTUNE: You have to take the amendment first.
MS. GALLAGHER: A disposal resolution cannot be amended.
MR. O'BRIEN: Can you have a motion not to take a
moment but you can't actually amend a disposal motion.
MS. GALLAGHER: You can do three things.
CLLR. FORTUNE: oh really?
MS. GALLAGHER: Yeah.
CLLR. FORTUNE: That's very convenient.
MS. GALLAGHER: No, it's in the Act, section 183.
CATHAOIRLEACH: Apparently we can't take an amendment.
MS. GALLAGHER: You can pass it or not pass it.
CLLR. MATTHEWS: Take a vote.
[VOTE TAKEN]
MS. GALLAGHER: 29 for and three abstentions.
CATHAOIRLEACH: Thank you. Next item on the agenda.
Item 6: To consider report in accordance with Part VIII
of the Planning and Development Regulations 2001 (as amended) in respect of the proposed development of 24
housing units at Farrenkelly, Greystones.
MR. LANE: We have a presentation. There were a number of submissions so we will just give a little presentation detailing some of the design criteria and
some of the reasons for it. Noelle Sweeney is the architect and she'11 deal with these. Thank you. Then we'11 answer any questions if there are any afterwards.

MS. SWEENEY: This is a presentation for the Part VIII application to provide 24 social housing units in Farrenkelly, Greystones.

Under the Wicklow County Council Development Plan all of the residential land owned by the local authority is assessed with the conclusion that even if we develop it all, we are still going to have a shortfall. It's obvious then from the analysis that the Local Authority is obliged to develop all its residential sites in compliance with the densities of these sites.

The subject site is to be purchased by the Local Authority with the express purposes of providing social housing in this location. In 2006, planning permission was granted for Farrenkelly Close.

With the construction of Farrenkelly Close, the social housing percentage in this area is $3.3 \%$. That is 1,763 private houses to 61 social houses and under the Wicklow County Development Plan there's a general policy to provide social housing in any area up to the 1imit of $10 \%$.

The Council now wishes to develop the second site and
to provide 24 housing units in this location. Once this site is developed the percentage of social housing in the area would be $4.5 \%$, which is still well below the $10 \%$.

There are a number of site strategies which we incorporated in the design of this site. First of all, we wanted to set the development back from the road and locate a bumper between the new development and the road. The site access was assessed by the local area engineer and because the kilquade Road is a regional road and the speed limit is high on this road, access is not allowed from this location and we must only enter the site from the Priory Road.

As part of our development we want to provide public lighting and a new footpath for this area. we are proposing to do this for the entire external perimeter of our site.

As part of the development we also want to provide a new pedestrian access, steps and ramps to the bus stop and the local shops. At the moment the pedestrian route from Farrenkelly close to the shops is very circuitous. It is represented here with this yellow are sometimes crossing the road at this point at the end of the cul-de-sac on Priory Road. In order to address this we are proposing to locate a new
pedestrian access here, which will reduce the walking route to the bus stop and then to the local shops considerably.

Another site strategy is to develop the site in accordance with the densities prescribed to this site. An original estimate was done using a three-bed unit on1y. This, under the density, has allowed to gain 15 units and 75 bed spaces.

At the detailed design stage we broke down the accommodation to match the actual housing needs and will provide a proposal of a range of one, two and three-bed units. This will give us 24 units and 72 bed spaces.

So using all these site objectives we have come up with this layout you see here. The large green space is located to the front of the site which sets back the development from the site and the range of unit types you can see with the colour box. The red is one bed, the blue is two bed and the three is the yellow unit here. Three-bed family houses are located directly in line with the (inaudible) between it and this space.

You can see from the prospective sketch here how the development is buffered considerably to Priory Road with this green zone located between it and the road and we are also proposing to provide trees and planting
throughout the streets of this new development.

The design of the houses and apartments are traditional and they're robust and they're a simple design. These are the apartment units which are designed to look like houses so that they will fit comfortably within the estate.

These are the housing elevations. we've provided quite a number of different elevations to give variety to the streetscape.

We are proposing one amendment to the design of the units themselves and this is on foot of some submissions received and it's for apartment unit A located here. Even though the distance between the first floor window up here and the neighbouring developments exceeds the 22 metres for opposing widows, it's actually 30 metres. We are proposing to reduce the first floor window of this unit from 1.8 metres to a much smaller window of 0.6 metres.

In summary, the proposal is to provide 24 units in alignment with the housing needs and a new pedestrian ramp and step access. We have received full approval from the Department for this scheme and we have Stage 2 (inaudible).

CATHAOIRLEACH: C11r. Mitchel1.
CLLR. MITCHELL: Thank you, Cathaoirleach. There is
obviously a pressing need for social housing. We're reading about it every day. There are a number of residents here, there's about 80 private residences with access from this road. The road was originally Priory Road which was a country lane and essentially the dual carriageway was plonked on top at right angles to this and it has not been properly boundaried off from this road.

It is slightly different in terms of social context to what was described in that although it may be in a wide area, which includes, say, charlesland that there would on7y be $3 \%$ social houses, in this area and on this road it will be about $40 \%$ social housing with the private houses at the end of it, with the social houses being built on the entrance on either side. A number of people are very concerned about this. Some of the concerns were unreasonable, particularly bearing in mind the housing crisis. But what has happened is there is no streetlights on this road and there will be 15:02 in total 130 houses on this road. The end is not properly finished off, it's sort of a wilderness, probably owned by the Council, I'm not quite sure. In the end of that there is drinking and other, quite a bit of anti-social behaviour in the end of it. There are also, as I say, no streetlights on the road. Now the plan here is provided streetlights for the new houses, which go approximately half or maybe $60 \%$ the length of the road, but on the remaining $40 \%$ of the
road there is no streetlights, very dark, and that's adding to the anti-social behaviour.

So I think it's important, it's welcome in this that the steps and ramp will be provided to the bus stop and to the shops because it's actually very dangerous indeed what's happening. The people are going through the unmade-up end of this road where there is an anti-social behaviour; they're crossing over a busy dual carriageway, over a substantial concrete ramp in the middle of the dual carriageway and this will make it safer, though it may be that this was part of the original scheme, but anyway it's now going to be done, which is good. It is absolutely essential that there must be streetlights put on the remainder of the road which is a relatively short length, and also fencing put at the end of the road to stop people going across the dual carriageway and to stop the anti-social behaviour on this part of the lane.

I made a submission to the Part VIII to say that this was an important requirement and one or two other things and this is not included and it is unacceptable that we're going to build so many houses and have a $40 \%$ social content on the road and not provide
streetlighting and not provide a proper ending for the road where there is anti-social behaviour, which the more houses you build, the more that could be become a problem. It is extremely dangerous crossing the dual
carriageway with quite a high central concrete barrier on it.

It's absolutely essential if this is to go through that we must put streetlights on that road and we must tidy up and fence off the end. Thank you.

CATHAOIRLEACH: C11r. Snell.
CLLR. SNELL: Thanks, Cathaoirleach. I'd like to formally propose that this Part VIII be accepted here today. I think it's good news for people in the Greystones electoral area indeed. The old cliché, it's only app drop in the ocean, but 24 units is 24 units.

This is coming on the back of our previous meeting in December where we passed 45 units in East wicklow in
Rathnew. I think all Members sitting around the Chambers here are well aware that all these projects need to proceed without much hindrance. while I accept that everyone's concerns must be taken on board, and I want to commend the Housing section and the Design Team for listening to concerns, and indeed the Director of Housing has been around to each Municipal District identifying the sites and prioritising what is acceptable and what will go through without any blips or holdups in regards to each Municipal District.

Long before the Town Councils were done away with, people who were sitting in this Chamber will recall that Greystones had the first highest preference area
in the whole of County wicklow for people looking for social housing. I think this 24 will go some small way to helping people on the Housing List and I think that all the members here should welcome that and I hope they do. I formally propose this Part VIII. CATHAOIRLEACH: C11r. Murphy. CLLR. MURPHY: Just two issues there I just want to query. First of all, congratulations on the design, it looks lovely. I might look for a transfer to Greystones! Is there specific special housing needs and, if so, how many? And also, the bus stop, I hope that it would be suitable for a proper standard, not ordinary bus stop but required for a CIE to pull in with full access because it is a separate design. So I just would like it that to be included. CATHAOIRLEACH: Okay. Cllr. Fortune. CLLR. FORTUNE: Thanks, Cathaoirleach. I can see I'm going to have a great day here today! Look it, everybody in the Chamber, I'11 repeat something similar that was said earlier, everyone in the Chamber wants houses built and wants houses for everybody. So nobody in this Chamber is against that. But there's some issues here that just need to be addressed, I believe, and $\mathrm{Cl1r}$. Mitchell has touched on a number of them.

My issue with this is, and the residents' issue with this in the area is there's been no communication. The problem is a basic lack of communication. why do we believe that it's okay for us not to communicate with
people? At the end of the day we're building properties, we're building homes we're becoming landlords in all the given areas, we have responsibilities. If any of you live in a cul-de-sac, maybe some of you do, and if some development is going on in there, obviously everyone in the cul-de-sac are concerned that everything is done properly and right and all that goes with that. And that's all these residents are asking. There's one mail I have here from a resident and it finishes -- it talks about, they understand totally the fact that homes need to be built et cetera, et cetera, et cetera, but they go on to finish:
"But we are residents here too and we feel we need to be heard and listened to and that the Council can at least come to some compromise on some of our concerns and observations, instead of writing all of them off as a fait accompli."

They got a response back from the Housing Section that basically said no, no, no, no, no, no, no. Now, some of their issues are straightforward. They're concerned about Priory Road, anyone that knows it, when you drive into it you're driving basically into a cul-de-sac. At 15:08 the end of that cul-de-sac there's a metal gate and in behind that metal gate there's waste ground and there's al1 kinds of anti-social behaviour going on. So we have a responsibility under that not to make that
worse, not to let it carry on, we have a responsibility to people who live in the area.

The parking on the road is a concern from them. Right. okay, mixed tenure, fair enough, that's a different ball game. The finish. And their finish is not so much with the houses, their finish is the perimeter of the site. That's what their concerns are. Okay. They're looking at a site being developed on the R761 just across the road and the whole road, the whole R761 is being stone cladded and they're saying: 'okay, that's grand, lovely. Why can't that be done in here ;and I think that's a fair question.

Streetlighting, as C11r. Mitchell mentioned, it's essential that streetlighting is put in there, and not just in the estate. If we're going to have 130 houses in that cul-de-sac there needs to be proper streetlighting. It's not good enough that -- I know there's fierce pressure on now and there's political pressure coming from the Government and all kinds of political stuff going on and just get houses up, get houses up, get houses up. That's not good enough. It has to be done properly and it has to take everyone's situation into account.

The crossing at Farrenkelly is basically just an accident waiting for some unfortunate child or some adult to get killed and then we'll be running around
saying: 'Why didn't we, why didn't, we why didn't we?' That has to be addressed as we11. Here we are putting more houses in that will still have to try and access that road. It's just totally unfair.

I'11 finish by saying -- I'11 be another couple of minutes here. One is similar problems. But really and truly at this stage I'd say to Joe Lane: would you please get somebody senior from your Housing Section, yourself or someone almost as senior as yourself to go and sit down with two of the residents. Two of the residents will meet you and have a conversation with. And I think that is on7y right, $I$ think it is only fair and I think it is your duty to do that.
CATHAOIRLEACH: Cl1r. Whitmore.
CLLR. WHITMORE: Thanks, very much, Chair. I would agree with my Councillor colleagues in some regard. I, too, would have received a lot of e-mails from residents in the area who are not necessarily, their concern's not necessarily the social housing but it is a lack of communication. I think we do need to, as Cl1r. Fortune said we're building communities, you know, we're not just building houses and we're putting houses into existing communities. I think there is an onus on us to ensure that we communicate with the residents that are there to hear what they have to say. A lot of the issues that they are bringing up are quite easily resolved and I think that if we can go some way to alleviating their concerns that we should and we
have a responsibility to do it. Things like landscaping, you know, they're very simple. That's very simple to do and I think we actually should listen and do that. The public lighting is also important.
There has been existing issues with the maintenance of the existing social housing across the road. I think people are quite right to be concerned that the same issues will apply on this phase as well. So I think it is important that we do ensure that there's a quality finish on this estate and that we maintain it as it should be maintained.

So I would ask that Joe talk to the residents in the area and communicate with them and to hear what they have to say because I think that their concerns can be alleviated quite quickly and easily. Thank you. CATHAOIRLEACH: Cl1r. Lawless. CLLR. LAWLESS: Thanks, Cathaoirleach. Like my previous speakers and colleagues the Greystones Municipal District, I got various e-mails from the residents in the Eden wood area and I've spoken to one or two as well on the phone. I very, very much welcome the social housing as most Councillors here know, housing is one of the biggest issues we'11 probably be dealing with on a day-to-day basis so any housing being 15:12 built that will alleviate and make the Housing List that little bit less is very, very welcomed. But I do believe that the residents living in the area should also have their concerns considered as well by wicklow

County Council when a development is going in. At the end of the day we want mixed communities to live together so, therefore, we need to communicate and make sure that everyone is happy to, as much as we possibly can. We're not going to please everybody. I mean that's the reality. Nobody is going to get absolutely everything they wish for out of this development but I think we definitely need to have some sort of compromise in regards to this. Again, the issues in or around the parking which we're looking at the diagram at the moment on to the road, I feel maybe that's not quite necessary. I know on the other side in Farrenkelly Close there is parking there but there's actually houses there so it's directly outside somebody's home and I can understand that. I don't think we need parking on the other side. I think those four or five spaces, we could maybe look at taking it out of that. Again, the public lighting is an issue as we11. As you go further down the road it is quite dark and yes, there is anti-social behaviour that goes on and it doesn't matter whether it's a private or social housing estate, if there's a dark area, there's not much for teens to do, I'm afraid, they do hang around. So it's not just a social housing issue either as well where anti-social behaviour comes from, and I'd like to 15:14 make that quite clear, it does happen in private estates as we11.

Also, just in regards to the fencing around I think,
yes, stone cladding would be really nice and I think we need to be looking at the finish as well that it does look nice for people as well. Again, I have mentioned about the lights and the car park spaces as well. So I think we need to take that into consideration.

The gate down at the end of Priory Road, I believe that's private land in behind that so I don't think wicklow County Council could do anything directly themselves but I do think maybe they should approach the landowner and see if there's something he can do to prevent people from actually cutting through there and that might alleviate people actually cutting -- I mean that's private property they're going through essentially. I think it's up to Wicklow County Council to maybe contact that landowner and see if something can be done about that to ease up that for those residents in Eden wood.

I'm very happy that we're getting the houses and I support, wholeheartedly, the building of the social houses but I do think we need to look at the other issues around before this goes ahead. Thank you, Cathaoirleach.
CATHAOIRLEACH: Cllr. Walsh.
CLLR. WALSH: Thank you, Cathaoirleach, like the other speakers I would welcome these 24 units. That's 24 units that we didn't have before this. It's badly need. Overall this is welcomed. The points made by
the previous speakers and first introduced by C11r. Mitchell are valid. There have been issues with anti-social behaviour. We see the lack of streetlighting. The crossing there, the proposed new pedestrian crossing is to be welcomed. There had been issues in the past and there will continue to be issues about people taking shortcuts across to the shopping area. That definitely needs to be addressed before it becomes a tragedy.

The fencing, there's an issue in relation to fencing there so that needs to be addressed there to look at the anti-social behaviour.

There has been issues around the current estate there, Farrenkelly Close Estate, in relation to lack of maintenance down through the years. So that's something we need to bear in mind, again with this new development. And there's also been issues in relation to rubbish. So maybe that's a matter for the Environment Section. There has been ongoing issues in this area and we need to give a commitment at this stage that these issues will not be repeated in this new development.

Overall, as I say, this is to be welcomed, 24 extra units that we didn't have. Thank you.

CATHAOIRLEACH: C11r. Winters.
CLLR. WINTERS: Thanks, Cathaoirleach. In wicklow

Municipal District since we have started to get our discretionary fund we have ended up filling black spots of lighting right throughout our district where one estate or one development just didn't quite meet up with the next development and we have ended up with a black spot. So I think that probably needs to be addressed now because in the presentation we got she said streetlighting will be provided but I assume it's on7y for the actual development and for that area. So I would like if that could be looked at now rather than the Municipal Districts having to come back in a couple of months' time and using their own discretionary funds. Are we able to change a Part VII today or can we add it on as additional items to the Part VIII or how does that affect the vote? If we vote for the Part VIII today, do all of those other things then just fall by the wayside or can we add them on now? Thanks. CATHAOIRLEACH: Cllr. Bourke.
CLLR. BOURKE: Cathaoirleach, thank you. I would just like to congratulate Joe and his team there. It looks
like a very positive development to my mind, except I would query one I thing. I thought that the County Council would be leading the way on cycle paths. There doesn't seem to be any cycle paths within the estate. I was hoping that that might be something we could take 15:18 a lead on, considering we are going to be one the biggest house builders in the County for quite awhile. CATHAOIRLEACH: Thank you. C11r. McLough1in. CLLR. McLOUGHLIN: Thank you, Cathaoirleach. I would
concur with my colleagues and I suppose at this stage they've said most of what I'd like to say. of course we need social housing, but a lot of the issues that have taken is from pure non-consultation with the residents in the area and there has been substantive issues with Farrenkelly social housing and issues that have gone on within that estate and I think it is very disingenuous to the people who live up there that there has been no consultation with them, no meeting with them to discuss what their issues are.
of course we need lighting and it's a no-brainer. We also need that road to be closed up properly so there isn't that access to the Farrenkelly Road. At this stage you have young people playing chicken across the dual carriageway and it is a horrendous accident waiting to happen. So I am glad they are going to bring a footpath down to the actual bus stop but whether that will actually appease that remains to be seen.

It is actually against National Guidelines, the amount of social housing in just one area at $40 \%$. This is something that was not recommended and it was supposed to be more sustainable throughout a whole townland as
opposed to just in one area. With this mind I think we should be seriously looking at CCTV for the area so that people could feel safe. Everybody. Not just those not in social housing but those in the social
houses can feel safe in the area and anti-social behaviour can be addressed in that way.

I think, you know, we can't but say it is great to see social housing happening but $I$ do think it is hugely important that it's not just built, and the residents who live in the area forgotten. They have to be listened to and their concerns have to be adhered to. Thank you.
CATHAOIRLEACH: C11r. O'Neill.
CLLR. O'NEILL: Thanks, Cathaoirleach. I'd fully support the proposal here. I've never heard as much talk from Councillors, every time we talk about a Council estate, it's anti-social behaviour. You know, we should realise that throughout the County and throughout the country, you know, it's not necessarily in social housing estates that there's anti-social behaviour. They could be snorting coke down there in a six or $€ 700,000$ house and no one says boo to them, you know. I think it's a poor attitude to hear some many talk about the anti-social behaviour, to think of the people who will occupy those houses, they're branded already.

I would like to congratulate the architect. There's one issue there that I raised before and I'd like to see it happen again and that being that $I$ know from the experience of West wicklow that it's not really clear to me on the drawing, and I didn't see a detailed plan
of it, but I don't know whether they are a terrace eight houses or four houses or whatever, but I have been always one to advocate semi-detached housing, like what we have in west wicklow, which were built some time ago I know, where we have a terrace of houses where we don't have a side or a back entrance. You know, that's the real anti-social -- they'd be anti-social housing in my book, you know. I'd fully support them even as they are, but I'd like to see that developments from here on in. I know I was defeated on that proposal some months ago, but I honest to God think there's plenty of land in this country and semi-detached houses should be at the very least.

I know in west wicklow here at the moment, in the Blessington area, we have problems down the line with a terrace of houses where Irish water are now in charge of the sewerage and whatever but we have one instance now in Carrig Glen where there's a terrace six houses and where the sewerage exits from the back of the house, the houses on the left or right of that block are private now, they have been bought up and the one in the centre is still under social, he's a tenant of the Council. It's a problem which is going on probably eight weeks where there's no one responsible for clearing the sewerage, where the sewerage is actually backing up and into houses.

So it's those little problems that I was envisaging and

I would like us as Councillors to think and dwell on that instead of branding the people who are going into these houses as anti-social.

CATHAOIRLEACH: I would ask the Director to come back in.

MR. LANE: Okay. There's a few things there. First of all, I hear what you're saying regarding consultation but the other side of that, there is a statutory process. I understand what you're saying but there is a statutory process. Once we enter into that
everybody's submission is treated the same as seen from this report and we have attempted to address a lot of the issues raised.

As detailed in Noelle's report, we have within the perimeter -- we have a footpath and public lights within the perimeter. We will attempt to talk to the Department about providing lights all the way down. In the absence of that, it will have to go back to a local source of funding but we will attempt to talk to the Department first. People don't realise that there is a QS cost that the Department can allow or disallow. We will attempt to include it. In the absence of that we will be back. This would be outside the Part VIII and public lighting that like that can be looked at in the early context, if we don't get funds.

Look, the estate development, the anti-social behaviour, we're trying to provide some resources
throughout the County for this and again we will put this on a risk basis and we will try to do something with that. As people know, there are a number of priorities, I suppose, with the Housing Section at the moment and we may not be allowed expend as much resources as we'd like on the estate development or anti-social behaviour but we will keep that one in mind.

As regards the design of particular units. No, Miriam. 15:24 There are five universally designed apartments fully compliant on the ground floor. So they're fully compliant, but they're universally designed but they're on the ground floor. As regards the other design issue which is the terrace, the max on the terrace is three but really effectively the middle one is the only one effectively, looking at that.

Streetlights there $40 \%$. Yeah, it's Phase 2 of a development that went on 10 or 11 years ago.

The parking issue. As detailed in the report, the parking will be looked at. At the moment we are over the guideline. Those particular ones people are talking about, which is just at the corner of the junction, which is what you are looking at there, a road safety audit will be carried out. we think they're needed in one or two of the designs. Other than that it will be tight. It will be up to the bare
minimum. However, we want to look, at the detailed design stage there will be a safety audit to see of those particular ones whether we'11 remove one or two again. Again, whether we go with what you have at the moment or reduce, we're still fully compliant. So we could remove two of them with in audit. You're quite close to the junction then. But then there will be less in the estate and that will cause its own problems. okay.

The issue that you mentioned. To the best of my knowledge, that particular piece of land that you're talking about fencing is not within the control of the Local Authority. We will liaise with their engineer and see what solutions we come up with, but to the best 15:26 of my knowledge that end onto Priory Road is not within the Local Authority's ownership.

CATHAOIRLEACH: Cl1r. Fortune.
CLLR. FORTUNE: Just, Joe, what you might do is, though, your opening comments, would you send us out, in writing, a list of what you're going to look at putting in, based on the stuff we asked you? If we don't get it writing we'11 al1 just forget about it. MR. LANE: Two of them are really --
CLLR. FORTUNE: No, you made a comment in your opening remarks. What I'm asking you is, will you write to us and tell us exactly what you have in mind?

MR. LANE: Except most of it of it --
CLLR. FORTUNE: Please.

MR. LANE: Yeah, I will.
CLLR. FORTUNE: The remark, and I know I'm speaking for the Council in my own area, about anti-social behaviour was nothing to do with the existing housing or houses, it was got to do with that corner of the road that no one seems to own. Whether ye own it or whether it's privately owned, but it is a problem for all the houses in that area and it needs to be looked at. That's the issue and we can't just glib over it because someone wants to make a smart point.
CLLR. O'NEILL: I didn't make a smart point. CATHAOIRLEACH: C11r. Ryan.
CLLR. MITCHELL: I agree with C11r. Fortune's comments on that. Just as I understand that, that actually is the old road, that piece of land, which would have been in Wicklow's ownership. Whether it was sold to somebody else $I$ find surprising given the sort of little space it is. But I thought that is actually in the Council's ownership. But it is absolutely essential that we should get this list and that the Council finds the money to put these lights in and put a fence up, if it's our land.

CATHAOIRLEACH: C11r. Lawless.
CLLR. LAWLESS: Joe, can I just ask a general question and C11r. Winters kind of mentioned, are we allowed do
any changes to a Part VIII at this stage? And what happens if say we don't approve the Part VIII today what's the process then? what happens then? Just for clarification, I suppose, and plus with residents being
here as well, they'd be interested to know that process. As I say, with that private piece of land at the end as well, I would hope that you would actually find out who owns it, Joe. That would be first thing to do. And ask them to do something about it, as quickly as they possibly can. It has gone on for quite some time. Maybe if you can just explain the Part VIII. Are we allowed any of these amendments? I am glad to hear you will try, with the Department, to look for further lighting as well.

The removal of two car park spaces, it's some compromise but maybe not what everyone wants. Maybe if you could just explain that as well, Joe, it would be great, the Part VIII please. Thank you.
MR. LANE: Ye can make the amendments as you see fit. CLLR. LAWLESS: Today?
MR. LANE: Today. I mean if it's something material I'd have to go back and do a new Part VIII. So if it's something like adding another ten houses going, going up a leve1. Let's say you went up a level, well then that might have another effect on something else. It's probably unsafe to go without doing a Part VIII.

If you took out an entrance on to Priory Road, I would consider that probably is dangerous and probably instruct ye otherwise. So like I mean, it depends what you're talking about. If it's something small that the Local Authority can do.

CLLR. LAWLESS: We11, say just for the car park space on the main road. Say we amended today that we approve the Part VIII but take out the car park spacing; what way does that work and how does that operate?
MR. LANE: If ye took out all of them we'd still have sufficient car spaces then you will be at the margin inside but you would be compliant with the planning. What we were suggesting was to look at the safety audit and see how many in the safety audit would they allow. CLLR. WINTERS: Could we add on the streetlighting?

MR. LANE: You can but it's outside -- you can add on or that can be done normally. That can be done normally. Because it's over -- like you can do that in the absence of me doing that, but that development would not be material to this and, therefore, it would be under our normal maintenance. But the problem is the Department may not fund that.

MR. CURRAN: We can do that because it's a relatively smal1 stretch of road for starters and there's probably development contributions from the private development. 15:30 In any case it's something we can do. As Joe said, it's outside the development site so it isn't covered by the Part VIII. Certainly the fencing, if it's in our ownership and the streetlighting we can do before the development takes place. There's no question about 15:30 that.

CLLR. FORTUNE: Can we look at the surrounding of the site as well? Like I asked earlier. CLLR. McLOUGHLIN: The walls.

CLLR. LAWLESS: Can we add that in.
CLLR. FORTUNE: And treat it the way other estates are treated in the area? Give people a bit of equality.
MR. LANE: which walls?
CLLR. FORTUNE: whatever is going to be surrounding the 15:30 development.

CLLR. LAWLESS: Instead of railings have stone cladding around. See where Priory Road, just so it aesthetically looks a lot nicer, Joe. I don't really think it's a big ask, instead of just having grey steet 15:30 railing all the way round. You know, make it look a bit prettier.
MR. LANE: Again, one of the difficulties with that wall is, the railings as you see there, the safety audit may have issues if you put a wall there. So I
have to be bound by that safety audit.
CLLR. LAWLESS: We11, what are the safety issues, Joe?
MR. LANE: But like this was -- if you look at it, this is opening up, this is opening up an area and putting a railing in. what you're doing is walling that off totally. It's just aesthetics.

CLLR. FORTUNE: But the aesthetics are important to the people in the area. Why would we not? You know, I just don't understand it.
CLLR. WINTERS: Surely people living in the houses would prefer to be looking out at a railing than looking out at a wall?

CLLR. FORTUNE: I don't know about that.
CATHAOIRLEACH: I think we should maybe take a vote on
it. You can tidy these things afterwards.
CLLR. LAWLESS: Sorry. I'd like to propose an amendment then to the Part VIII. I'11 support the Part VIII if we have the removal of the parking spaces out on Priory Road; the extra streetlights; and if a wall can be done, a nice cladding wall in regards if it falls within health and safety. That's what I'd like to propose please.
CLLR. WHITMORE: I'11 second that.
CATHAOIRLEACH: We better repeat that. Lorraine, do 15:32 you have it?
MS. GALLAGHER: Removal of car parking spaces on Priory Road?

CLLR. LAWLESS: The four or five car park spaces on Priory Road. That the extra lighting is looked at
getting for the further road and that the boundary fencing be looked at, stone cladding within health and safety parameters if it falls under health and safety. If that makes any sense. I don't know whether that makes any sense at all.
MS. GALLAGHER: If the Department funds it.
MS. LAWLESS: Yeah. And then I'd be happy to support the Part VIII. I think then the residents from Eden Gate are getting some compromise of what they were looking for. Thank you.
MR. LANE: Can I just amend that slightly if that's okay.

CLLR. LAWLESS: Thank you, Joe.
MR. LANE: Replace the fencing on Priory Road, subject
to the audit, and remove all car park spaces. we'11 minus which isn't material, but we'll minus one within the development. Can we -- you have five there. Can we bring that in with the audit, our audit was to see could we get the five, even if we put in two or three because we are outside if you take the whole five out. CLLR. LAWLESS: Yeah, we'11 compromise on two, Joe. MR. LANE: So that's replace the fencing with the wall, subject to the health and safety argument and then have ${ }_{\text {15:33 }}$ that replacing the five down to two.
CLLR. LAWLESS: And the lighting.
CLLR. WHITMORE: And the lighting.
MR. LANE: And the lighting. We'11 also look at the fencing at the bottom end.
CLLR. Mcloughlin: Just to be clear, we don't want a wall that's like the wall at Farrenkelly Estate, we're looking at a stone clad wall?
CLLR. LAWLESS: That's what I've said.
CLLR. McLoughlin: He just said "wal1", you have to be 15:34 careful.

CLLR. LAWLESS: I did say stone cladding. MR. LANE: This is subject to the Department funding it.

CLLR. McLOUGHLIN: Yeah, absolutely. CATHAOIRLEACH: C11r. Snel1.

CLLR. SNELL: Thanks, Cathaoirleach.
CATHAOIRLEACH: We'11 finish now. I have to wrap up here now.

CLLR. SNELL: Thanks, Cathaoirleach. I did propose that this be accepted here today and I would be looking for a vote on it. Could I just make an observation. As I said, at our previous meeting there was a Part VIII passed for 45 units. There was not one question asked and while I don't want to stifle debate, and obviously I don't have the power to stifle debate, I welcome the discussion on it, but an awful lot of work should be done at Municipal District level and I know in the east we certainly go through each and every one of our Part VIII's and everything to do with our District. I find it turning into a bit of a circus and that's not to be derogatory to any of the speakers. I feel now that we're talking about putting stuff in when we know right well that the Department, the Housing staff have costed and the quantity surveyors have put everything into this. We're now talking going changing walls for railings without looking at the safety audit and everything else that's behind that. Like this is not just something that appeared on the agenda here today. The Director of Housing has gone around to most Municipal District areas. This should have been discussed in detail. I still find there's a level of contradiction between Members from the Greystones
electoral area where they don't fully understand what type of wall they're looking for now and I think it's farcical at this stage. So I want a vote on this, Cathaoirleach.

CLLR. FORTUNE: That's your opinion, it's not necessarily right.
CATHAOIRLEACH: You've made your point. In fairness, I'd like to go for a vote if you don't mind.
CLLR. FORTUNE: I'd like to thank cllr. Snell for the lecture before we take the vote.
CLLR. SNELL: No problem. You're welcome.
CLLR. MITCHELL: I asked for these things at the -CLLR. LAWLESS: You did, Derek.
CATHAOIRLEACH: Do I have a seconder for cllr. Snell's proposal? Do I have a seconder?
CLLR. LAWLESS: with the amendments.
MS. GALLAGHER: Seconded by C11r. Walsh.
[vote taken] That is 28 for; three not present; and one abstaining.
CATHAOIRLEACH: Okay. Members, I've two more very
short items before -- I'm very anxious to get on to the Bray Development Plan, particularly in light of the people who've waited patiently for the last hour and a half. I'd like to bring Item 13, the: Local Government Act, 2001 Ethical Framework for Local Government Services Annual Declarations, that this is noted. Is that agreed?
MS. GALLAGHER: Can I just say, the Ethics officer has asked that the Disclosure Donations Forms be complete
and returned no later than Wednesday, 31st January, 2018 and the Annual Declarations of Interest forms be completed and returned no later than Wednesday 28th, February. Just in relation to the donations statement forms, the correct period, if you haven't filled it in, 15:38 the correct period is for 1st January, 2017 to 31st December, 2017. That's on your front page. So if you could amend that. If you have filled it in already just talk to Deirdre please, the Ethics Registrar. Thank you.

CATHAOIRLEACH: Okay. I'm just going to take Item 8, which will be very brief.

MR. CURRAN: This is the Chief Executive's report. I will take it as read.

CATHAOIRLEACH: Item 7. Sorry.
CLLR. VANCE: Can I just come in on Item 8. CATHAOIRLEACH: I just want to finish item 7. CLLR. FOX: Take it as read.

CATHAOIRLEACH: Take it as read. Just Item 8 then.
CLLR. VANCE: Chairman, just information on Item 8.
The East Midlands, the Regional Assembly have put back the final date, it was the 26th, to 16th February. CATHAOIRLEACH: We can do the presentation in February if that's agreed by the Members.
CLLR. VANCE: Absolutely. That's fine.
CATHAOIRLEACH: Sorcha is proposing a workshop to deal with the issue if you want to come with a proposed date you can write to Members.

MR. O'BRIEN: We will deal with it at February's
meeting and then if the Members need it we can come back and do a workshop as wel1.
CATHAOIRLEACH: We'11 deal with it in February then. Thanks.

CLLR. VANCE: We can make the presentation before 16th February as the final date on it.

CATHAOIRLEACH: Okay. Members, Item 9: To consider the Chief Executive's report on submissions to the Bray Municipal District Local Area Plan 2018 and to consider making or amending the Local Area Plan.
MR. O'BRIEN: Cathaoirleach, the plan was on display for the requisite time and we got 3,000 submissions, more or 1ess. That went through on your Chief Executive's report that you would have all got before the Chief Executive made a number of amendments, 22 from memory. Most of these were from the NTA and the Department. The Members then considered it obviously and they all submitted proposed amendments, which some of them were amendments of the Chief Executive's proposed amendments. So it's now a matter really to consider these amendments and ones that are passed will be put on display for a four-week period and then we'11 come back to the Council probably in the May meeting. CATHAOIRLEACH: Members, I propose the way we deal with this, to put some kind of logic or order in it is that we follow the spreadsheet at the beginning of the 74-page document that Sorcha circulated last Wednesday, the document entitled: "Schedule of Proposed Amendments to Draft Plan". You'11 note on pages 2 and

3, al1 the proposed amendments are listed out. The CE has 23 amendments and the Members have approximately 30 amendments. They're set out in chronological order following the logic of the different chapters in the Proposed Town Plan. So I suggest that we take it chapter by chapter. Now I'11 be guided by Members who are making proposals as to whether those proposals are related to the Chief Executive's proposals or not. In some case they won't have anything to do with the chief Executive's proposal, in some cases it will be amendments to the Chief Executive's proposals. So where they are amendments to the Chief Executive's proposals that will effectively deal with the Chief Executive's proposals and I needn't go through the Chief Executive's proposals. So I'd ask for guidance from Members on that aspect.

The second thing I'm suggesting is that because of the number of people who have come, taken time out to come here today and to attend this meeting in relation to the Golf club lands, I'm suggesting that we deal with the Golf Club lands first so that we can allow those people not to have to wait around for the full meeting, if that's acceptable to the Members. Cl1r. Fox. CLLR. FOX: Chairman, there are quite a number of people here in the gallery who are deal with kilmacanogue issue as well and with your indulgence maybe we could take them second to allow them to go about their way. They have been here for an hour and a
half.
CATHAOIRLEACH: I wasn't aware that they were here but certainly I will do the same for Kilmacanogue. Is there anyone else, any particular other grouping who's waiting around? No. Okay.

In relation to the Golf Club lands, $I$ have a number of proposals here on the agenda, two from C11r. Behan and one from cllr. Matthews.

CLLR. MCLOUGHLIN: what page are you on?
15:44
MR. O'BRIEN: Okay. At the beginning of that document, Schedule of Proposed Amendments to Draft Plan, just inside that there's a list of the various amendments. CATHAOIRLEACH: It's the spreadsheet that I'm referring to. Two spreadsheets on two pages, page and 3. That's 15:44 the logic I'm going to follow.
MR. O'BRIEN: Then on Chapter 10, and about halfway down you'11 see "J Behan number 5 golf course", "J Behan number 6 golf course" and "S Matthews number 8 golf course". They refer to the numbers of the Members' amendments.

CATHAOIRLEACH: They're on page 17, page 20 and page 55. The CE has an amendment as well on number 13.

MS. WALSH: Sorry, Cathaoirleach, could I just add that there's a number documents involved so if anybody needs 15:45 help with which document they're looking at, Bernadette and Lisa can give them a hand from the floor if there's any confusion at all.
MR. O'BRIEN: Shal1 I synopsise what the Members have
proposed?
CATHAOIRLEACH: okay.
MR. O'BRIEN: C11r. Behan has two amendments covering the same thing so I presume they're an either/or, Councillor. One is basically to increase the size of the park from two hectares, there's a park proposed in this plan, as in the previous plan, of two hectares, he's proposing to have that increased from two hectares to 3.5 hectares and to be located along the river front. He has a map then showing where that is.

C11r. Behan's sixth amendment is basically to omit special local objective number 3 which relates to the retail mainly developments on this and he's recommending to omit that and change the zoning, all of 15:46 it, to housing.

C11r. Matthews' eighth amendment, he has just a very sparse amendment adding after "the two hectare park" the words "along the riverside" so the two hectare park 15:47 would be along the riverside. So they're the three amendments. Do you want me to come in at this stage? CLLR. BEHAN: Chairman, maybe we could talk about them. CATHAOIRLEACH: C11r. Behan, you'd like to speak. CLLR. BEHAN: Thanks, Cathaoirleach, and thank you for agreeing and for suggesting that people particularly who are waiting here for a long time and have an interest in a couple of these major issues, of dealing with them first and thank you to the Members for
facilitating that.

The first point I want to make is a general point which is that this is the first time in history that planning decisions relating to Bray and Bray Development Plan is 15:47 being made in this Chamber. Up to the amalgamation of the Local Authority Services, the Development Plans for Bray were made by Bray Urban District Council and Bray Town Council. Instead of, and as has been said before about other issues, instead of having a direct, local democracy element with regard to Bray and the people who represent Bray, obviously the power to decide is being spread out to all 32 members of the Council. while you can say that's a fait accompli there's nothing we can do about that, I think it puts a huge responsibility on all of us to try and ensure that decisions we make are for the benefit of the people of Bray it, as it is primarily a plan that is going to affect the people from Bray.

The second point is, because we've adopted this kind of process which is a kind of an Area Plan rather than a Town Plan, this is actually the very first occasion that any of us members have been able, in public, to talk about the Development Plan for Bray. We have been allowed to have workshops. We've been allowed to put in amendments. We have never, until this moment, been allowed to discuss the plan in public at a Council meeting. And we're almost at the end of the process
before we've had a chance to have an input and to talk about, at a full council meeting, what the issues are that concern us.

I think that's a very flawed system. I think it does not serve the people of Bray very well at all. Unfortunately it's a system we're left with but I don't think it allows us to have the full consideration that we need to have on these important issues. That's the first general point. That could apply to a lot of what's going to happen here this evening.

Secondly, in relation to this amendment number 5 I want to make it absolutely clear, this is not my amendment as per se. I am one of five Members from Bray. We are 15:49 all equal in this and the five of us are proposing it. And that's an important distinction because I understand why the Director has put my second one tied on to the first one. The second one is very much dependent on what happens with the first one and it's not agreed to by any of the other Members. But this is one, number 5, although it is in my name it's only because of alphabetical order because one name had to go on the Order Paper. But in fact the proposers of this motion and this amendment are C11r. O'Brien, C11r. Matthews, C11r. O'Connor, C11r. Thornhil1 and myself. And we make up five of the eight Members of the Bray Municipal District. So if this decision was being made in Bray Urban District Council this
amendment would be passed because we've already got a majority in Bray for it. And I want to really make that point very clear as well. Democratically the Councillors in Bray feel this is the right amendment to
put in here. Okay.

The third point I want to make is that I personally have come on my own particular journey with regard to this issue because when Bray Urban District Council originally formulated a plan for the Golf Club I was one of the Councillors who voted to have a zoning on that land of developing a retail and mixed use development up to the river wall effectively. And I did that on the basis that back in the year 2005, or 2006, whenever it was, we, at that time, thought the only way we would have a Flood Protection Scheme in Bray was that a developer, called Pizarro, who owned that land, if they got planning permission for a full development on the golf course that they would then fund the flood protection works. So while SWAP and the people in Little Bray were very concerned about losing the floodplain I, myself, made a calculation back then that we needed to have a Flood Protection Scheme and the only way we were going to get it was that the developer was going to put it in.

Now the developer went bust; the company went into receivership; the recession came along and in fact what actually happened, which was a fantastic result, the

Government stepped in and the OPW provided the scheme, the taxpayers provided the scheme. So a scheme was put in by the taxpayers, by not Pizarro and despite what you might have read or despite what some people might understand about this that in some way Pizarro are or the developer or the liquidator or the receiver had some hand in actually providing the flood Protection scheme, they didn't. The public paid for it and it is there now and, therefore, the issue is now the people in Little Bray, who were flooded on more than one occasion in the past, have asked that now that the Flood Protection Scheme is in that we do the last part of the job, which is to ensure that what was the floodplain, the escape route for water in the past be preserved.

They're called SWAP for a reason. They only want to swap a site to move it back up on to higher ground. They're not looking to deprive the developer of profits. They're not looking to destroy the town.
They're only saying that if their houses got flooded in the future, and none of us know, with climate change as it is now and extreme weather events happening every year, none of us know that perhaps on some day that wall my be overtopped and their houses could get
flooded again. They firmly believe that floodplain, the extra acres that the Director is talking about that we have put in our motion, they believe that that will give them some relief and allow the water to get out of
their houses rather than come into their houses. They want it to escape. What we are proposing is to leave that floodplain and construct it as a park, a continuation of The People's Park going effectively from the Upper Dargle Road all the way to the Seafront and we're saying that the rest of the development, whatever you agree that that might be - I personally think it should all be residential, but that's got nothing to do with this motion - that that would be moved on to higher ground. I think myself that that is $15: 54$ a very reasonable proposition. I want to pay tribute to Members around this Chamber who are not from Bray, many of whom attended explanations and presentations that were made by the people from SWAP, the people representing the people in Little Bray, who explained
their concerns about the floodplain, because I know many of you went to a lot of trouble to attend those meetings. They were very heartened with the response that they got. They were very uplifted with the fact that you engaged with them, that you took them seriously and that you said you would consider, very carefully, what they said. They can't speak here today but they are here behind me, they are still very hopeful that you would, at least today, keep this idea alive for another four weeks because a decision we make 15:55 today is not the final decision. As has been said, whatever is done today in this Plan goes out on public display and a final decision is going to be made in May. So even if you have your mind made up or you
think that the officials are right, or the planners are right, you don't necessarily have to choke it at birth today. I'm asking you please, Members, to give it a little bit more thought and to allow everybody in the Town of Bray, who will be affected by this decision, to $15: 55$ have an opportunity to comment on it before the final decision is made.

So, Members, please, I ask you to support this proposal.

FROM THE PUBLIC GALLERY: Hear, hear, Joe. [APPLAUSE] CATHAOIRLEACH: C11r. Matthews.

CLLR. MATTHEWS: Thank you, Cathaoirleach. Firstly, before we start I want to thank the Planning Team for all the work that they've done in preparing this plan and for all the time they gave us in assisting us in putting our amendments into correct language and helping us with maps, et cetera.

The second group I want to thank are all the wicklow Councillors who attended the presentation made by the SWAP Group and I know it was in the cold depths of winter when a lot of you went and the weather wasn't great but a lot of Councillors did go to that presentation. I think they received a very fair presentation from a community group who have no other interest in this but to protect their homes. The presentation was, I think it was well received by most Councillors. A lot of Councillors from around the

Council that wouldn't be familiar with the area, they expressed support for SWAP at those presentations and I hope they can continue to express their support here today on this objective when it comes to a vote.

I have consistently been opposed to development on this floodplain for the past ten or 12 years. I went back through my files and I found the original submissions I made to An Bord Pleanála and Bray Town Council at the time on this development and my party colleagues, the
$15: 57$ Green Party, were the party around the Bray Town Council Chamber at that time who opposed this development on a floodplain and I still hold that view.

There's been a very cogent argument made on both sides of this debate and the truth of the matter is, none of us know exactly what would happen in the event of a catastrophic flood event in Little Bray such as the one we saw with the 1986 Hurricane Charlie. It also came close in August 2013. We just don't know what's going to happen. That's the whole nature of climate change. Because of this uncertainty I choose to err on the side of caution and there's an underlying principle in environmental management that you adopt, a precautionary principle, if you're unsure then you err

The flood works themselves, and I think everybody in the area would agree, they're a fantastic job. They
give great comfort to people who live in that area. They give great comfort to landowners, to property owners, to businesses in that area that they have significantly reduced the risk of flooding to that area. However, what they have not done is eliminate the risk of flood. I don't think anybody in this room or outside this room could te11 me, or tell anybody, with certainty, that the flood risk has been eliminated for Little Bray. I don't know, I'd invite that question to anybody if they can answer it.

The defences have been designed, we11 designed in my opinion. They've been designed with a one in 100 year failure for a river or a rain event and they've also got a one in 200 year design to fai 1 for coastal
events. That's far better than what we had. But I have just a brief quote here from an EU presentation that was made on flood prevention. It's quite short, Chairman. It's called "Best Practices on Flood Prevention, Protection and Mitigation". I just want to you bear in mind that this presentation was made in 2003. That's 15 years ago. Think about what we've learned and what we've seen in terms of flooding, not just in Ireland but throughout the world over that 15 years. I'm quoting now:
"The structural defence measures will remain important elements and should primarily focus on the protection of human health and safety and valuable goods and
property. We will have to keep in mind that flood protection is never absolute and may generate a false sense of security. The concept of residual risk, including potential failure or breach, should therefore
be taken into consideration."

It goes on to say:
"We will have to keep the unpredictability of nature in mind. Flood protection is never absolute and things can go wrong. The question regularly arises as to what safety is available and at what price and how much of the remaining risk has to be accepted by society?"

So that provides both sides of the argument there. Is there a risk and is it acceptable to society? And is that risk acceptable to Members around this table?

When this development is completed the developer will leave and hopefully he will leave a high quality Town
Centre residential development. But if we do suffer flooding, if the defences fail it will be wicklow County Council, it will be the Department of the Environment, it will be the taxpayer and the local community who'11 have to pick up the tab for it. We've 16:01 seen events recently in areas like Mount Mellick, which never saw flooding like that before. In the Inishowen Peninsula in Donegal quite recently we saw it. We've seen coastal storms. We've seen the first storm in the

Atlantic, ex-Storm Ophelia, how far it came up. Unprecedented. These events are going to get more intense and more likely to happen. That feeds into that quote there I said "flood defences give a false sense of security".

At the outset here when I was talking, I said I don't know what could happen here but none of us know what could happen. I'd be willing to be proven wrong for the rest of my life and never see those flood defences tested and never seem them breached, and I hope I'm proven wrong and I hope it never happens, but if it did happen, wouldn't it be wise to have built in this precautionary principle?

The final point I want to make - and this clinched the argument for me recently. I was at an event and I was speaking to the leading climatologist in the country, probably the most respected researcher and professor on climate change and flooding and I asked him about this 10:02 proposal and I asked him about the Dargle and he was familiar with it. I said to him we designed flood defences for a one in 100 year failure, but it's about eight or ten years old, that design. Now, if you look at the changes that have happened in the last ten years 16:02 and what we have seen and what we know is going to happen, or what we know is quite likely to happen, I asked them would a one in 100 year event now be considered a one in 50 year event and he said that
would not be too conservative an estimate to make. In other words, what we designed ten years ago may not now be suitable for that. That clinched the deal for me.

He also suggested to me that the CFRAM studies the OPW studies are overly reliant on historical data and they don't take enough account of climate change and the events that could unfold from climate change. I've looked back through it and I'd be in agreement with what he says.

The truth is we don't know what could happen. The decision here today will probably influence the outcomes of any flood event that happens in Little Bray. I would ask the Councillors to really think clearly and think long-term and think sustainably about the future of Bray before they cast their vote on this.

One last point, the planners have said that if we were to retain this as open space it would serve as a disconnect to the town. I don't believe it would serve as a disconnect. I think it would actually be an invitation to both sides of the community to meet at this point, at the river in this beautiful landscape we have, in this continuation of a green, open
recreational amenity area, joining The People's Park to the Promenade; two Victorian laid out parks that people come from all over Ireland and admire and we have an opportunity to place the missing link between a

Victorian park and a Victorian seafront, with our own slant on it but for the benefit of all the community. Thank you, Chairman.
[APPLAUSE FROM THE PUBLIC GALLERY]

CATHAOIRLEACH: C11r. Kavanagh.
CLLR. KAVANAGH: Thank you, Cathaoirleach. I would like to support this amendment made by the five Councillors from Bray. SWAP has been in existence for, I believe, somewhere in the region of 14 years. So for 14 years these people have been trying and trying and trying to ensure that their houses and the future of their homes is safe and secure. They have been trying, for a long time, to make a presentation in this Chamber 16:04 to the 32 Councillors who will be voting here today. They weren't successful in that for one reason or another. In their final attempt the planning process had gone too far to allow it to happen so, therefore, they went to a lot of trouble to go around to each region or to each area within the Council and make presentations. They have put so much work, so much hard effort into this. I think that we owe it to them to listen to what they're saying. We don't live in Bray, the majority of us, they do. They are asking for 16:05 our vote to keep this flood defence system in existence, not to destroy it.

As C11r. Behan said, we are being asked, all 32 of us,
to vote on something which only relates to those people. So we need to think long and hard. 14 years is long time in their lives and I think we need to support them on this. Thank you very much.
[APPLAUSE FROM THE PUBLIC GALLERY]

CATHAOIRLEACH: C11r. o'Connor.
CLLR. O'CONNOR: Thanks, Cathaoirleach. I'd also like to express my agreement with the previous speakers.

The land we are talking about here is very, very clearly a floodplain. It makes absolutely no sense to build upon it. Flood defences have been put in place and they have been done very, very well and hopefully they' 11 succeed for many, many years in the future. They've been put into place at huge expense and it makes absolutely no sense to take away the area where overflow water will go if the river does overflow.

If this development goes ahead on the lower ground the only place where the overflow water can go is into people's homes. That will be a disaster for the people themselves in Little Bray but it will also be a disaster as far as our state funds go, because they're the ones who are going to have to - excuse the pun bail out the Local Authorities when it comes to pay for this damage that might be done.

Neither ourselves, the five Councillors in Bray, nor

SWAP, are talking about the prevention of any kind of development down there. Development is not the issue. The issue is where the development occurs. It makes absolutely no sense for this land to be developed on the lower level beside the river, which is the floodplain.

Where they get their term, or the name of their organisation is SWAP. They want this development swapped from the lower level up on to the other level so that the water actually has somewhere to go. As you've heard before, the majority of Bray Councillors are opposed to this development as it's planned and where it's planned, and support of the people of Little Bray and SWAP. SWAP have fought a long and a hard battle to safeguard their homes and to safeguard, in general, this whole area of Bray.

Pizarro, whose huge debt has been taken over by NAMA, plan for open ground on the higher level and for buildings on the lower level. They have it backwards. Absolutely backwards. This development should go ahead but it should go ahead on the higher ground. So I'd ask you to support the amendments. Thanks.
[APPLAUSE FROM THE PUBLIC GALLERY]

CATHAOIRLEACH: C11r. Vance.
CLLR. VANCE: Thank you, Chairman. Just in that regard
to what C11r. Behan was talking about Bray Urban District Council. The Councillor well knows that there was 12 Members on that, there's eight Members on it at the moment, so if you talk about majorities and stuff like that, we don't know whether there would be a majority on it now, if there was 12 Members on it.

Two Councillors did vote for it and they didn't vote for it willy-nilly. These Councillors, the previous Councillors gave an awful lot of thought to this land before it was zoned. The careful consideration they gave to it was to get the balance right, to get the balance of community facilities, housing, retail, commercial and open space. That's the time and effort that went into this particular plan at that time. The site was granted planning permission by An Bord Pleanála in 2010. It was for ten years. There's an existing -- we have two and a half years left of that particular plan. So effectively the developer down there could come in tomorrow and give a notice to start 16:09 and could build on that particular site as it now stands. He has two and a half years left to complete it.

Now, two schools have already been built on this land.
The road systems are in. The storage tanks are in. And they were all delivered as part of this scheme. Almost $30 \%$, almost $30 \%$ of that land has already been built on with the previous zoning that was on it. That
is a fact. That was thought at the particular time when the Councillors were looking at this particular plan. One of the bonuses from a councillor's point of view was that there was huge community gain in this because there was going to be a football field in it there that would be used by the public and there was two brand new schools and if anyone has been to Bray and seen the schools there, they would see there's two magnificent schools there at the moment and they're ready for occupation. I don't know if they've exactly gone in yet or not.

Anyone else that knows Bray would know that Bray has suffered because the retail offering has been very poor and there's been leakage out of Bray, significant leakage out of Bray retail because it has not been offered the retail that's necessary for a town of its size. It's also, there's regional plans that Bray is a metropolitan growth down. Outside of Dublin it has a designation of one. So effectively the retail element 16:11 in the town has not met the requirements that the people desire in that particular area.

We can also say, I mean The Florentine Centre will there a certain amount, but it's going to be a smallish 16:11 centre in the sense that there's going to be about 120,000 square feet in regard to shopping and in regard to a cinema there, but it's not going to deliver the retail element that's necessary for an area the size of

Bray. Also, the reason we agreed the retail element in the location where it is close to the Town Centre was to protect the Town Centre. I don't think there's anyone in this Chamber that knows a successful centre that was built outside the town that didn't damage the Town Centre. That was the sole reason, the sole reason for building on this land or for seeking to build on this land was to give the Town Centre, to try and give a lift to the Town Centre and to bring it as close as possible, and there was a pedestrian bridge from the Town Centre right into this development. I think they were really important issues that were discussed at that particular time.

Now the design of the floodplain, I went to
wallingford, I 'm the only member of the Council still left that went to Wallingford in England and we seen a model there, a model of the whole Little Bray area and people don't realise as well that the OPW, you know, commissioned, I think with SWAP's help, an independent engineer to fly down from Scotland to wallingford and we met him there on the day to have a critical look at what was proposed in this particular model. They could model for a 100 -year storm, a 200, 300-year storm and they did at that particular time. I certainly model. We've had previous experience in that regard to dealing with this particular area and modelling and that because in the Seafront area in Bray, an area
where there was much greater pressure on me as a councillor at that particular time to do something different and the councillors at the time had the guts to do the job that was done and I can tell you this much, there hasn't been one person that has talked negatively to me to that particular development down on Bray Seafront as we11. The same type of theory was used and not alone that, probably one of the most foremost engineers in regard to modelling and flood protection, I think it was Prof. O'Sullivan, that he was commissioned to have a look and to help design this particular scheme. So I mean the independent engineer came and had a look and could find absolutely nothing wrong. He found it was a superb scheme.

Then we have obviously, we had the design and we have the €46 miliion that was spent, €46 miliion. There's no town in Ireland has had € 46 million spent on a Flood Protection Scheme. None. And I was very pleased to open that. Very proud to open that because this is something that people of Little Bray have wanted for, for a very, very long time. It gives them a form of protection. And like Cllr. Matthews, nobody can say ever say you'11 never have a flood again. Please God we won't. But it gives them a great deal of protection and it's built and designed for that.

I'm asking all the Councillors to reject this particular proposal because there's a number of issues
with those. There's two and a half years left of a valid planning permission that exists at the moment. There would be a loss of retail space. At least $30 \%$ of this land has already been built on. There would be a loss of housing as well, or certainly inadequate planning and height, the height on the higher level, if the housing was moved on to that, would have to be increased to meet the housing targets. And it goes against what $I$ believe good planning as well.

Finally, could I say, the big issue SWAP had, to my mind, was that they don't dispute that if an event happened and there was flooding that the flood would come from upstream like it has in the flood that I was in in the sixties and my father was in in the thirties, it came from upstream and it goes into Little Bray and then as we all know it goes into the Golf club lands. That has been designed to cater for that, this plan has. I believe the culvert which contains a huge pipe, you could drive a double-deck bus through it's that big. And effectively that, I believe, covers the concerns, covers the concerns that SWAP have in regard to --
from the public gallery: it does not.
CLLR. VANCE: -- alleviating any particular flooding
that would go into the Golf club lands. That's a fact. That's built into this particular plan. I believe that the plan that's gone there is particularly designed for Little Bray, it's working extremely well and I think
there's absolutely no need whatsoever, whatsoever, to build a linear park as a floodplain. Thank you. fROM THE PUBLIC GALLERY: Not when you build on a floodplain.

CATHAOIRLEACH: C11r. Ryan.
16:16
CLLR. RYAN: Thanks, Cathaoirleach. One of the things just in general about the Development Plan, we always look for and seek public consultation and I think that's one of the fantastic things that there has been just so much public consultation and engagement from the public in terms of this. So I think that's something that's really important to state at the outset. We've had many different things that we've gone to public consultation and people haven't been interested and they haven't engaged so I think that's wonderful that they actually have. I know there was a lot of process from the Planning Department to facilitate that and I'd like to pay credit to them for that approach.

Cllr. Matthews earlier on said that it was a big day for Bray today here at the Council and I absolutely think it's a big day for Bray. I think the first decision we made earlier on with regard to Florentine is a great decision and will make a huge difference to the town.

I think, equally, this decision is the exact same because it will have a huge impact for a very, very
long time on Bray as we know it. I'm born and bred in Bray. I love the town I would never ever do anything that I believed was in any way going to affect people in the town badly. I remember standing in 1986 in the Dargle at the time of Hurricane Charlie and being $100 \%$ committed to saying that we have got to make sure to put a flood defence scheme in place. Obviously it took a hell of a long time but it doesn't just happen that $€ 46$ million gets spent in a town, there's a lot of effort by a lot of people to make sure that that actually happened and I was very proud to be part of making that happen.

So, the big question for me is: what impact will it have if we actually went with the proposal to swap the
lands? And in looking at this very deeply, and in looking at the impact that Dundrum has had on Bray in terms of the shopping and the retail, in terms of the impact of Carrickmines on this town and soon the impact of Cherrywood on this town, I think if we do the swap that is being proposed that we could really kill the town because what I want is one town. One Bray town. I believe if we go with the SWAP proposal you'11 split the town and you'11 have one bray and you'11 have another new town or new conurbation on the higher level which is closer to Dun Laoghaire and Rathdown. For that reason I really want to see what we can do to keep a town together so that it can provide something very different to what Dundrum and Cherrywood and

Carrickmines provide, a real lived town experience with a civic centre with the Dargle River at the centre. I think it would be absolutely fantastic.

So the big thing, obviously as was said already, SWAP have been saying that we should swap the lands for the last 14 years, but the big game changer was the flood defence scheme which isn't just a defence scheme, there's also a relief scheme as part of that. Anybody who's seen the impact already that that $€ 46$ million spend has actually made, I think talks about how much safer they feel going to bed at night, even when a storm does brew up. But the piece that cl1r. Vance alluded to is the next piece because it's not over. It is the relief part of this scheme and it's crucial to understand that because for people who actually do know it, that when there was a breach before the water went down through Dwyer Park into the second fairway of what was Bray Golf club and that exact point will be covered off. The relief part, it's actually going to be a culvert built which will be 375 metres long, a height of 10 metres and at some points the width will be 22 metres long. That will give an immense amount of relief should something, some event happen over the one in 100 year storm. So it's not just the defence but also the relief that will come from that shooting any water straight down into the harbour.

When you look at all of these things you sort of say to
yourself, on the balance of what's right for Bray and the development of Bray and when you look at the community gain in terms of the schools, and by the way I don't know if everybody understands the way it's been actually put together but a lot of the playing pitches and the school facilities are actually there for the public. They're not just for the schools. They are for the schools during the school hours, but they're actually open to the public outside of that and I think that's something that the people of Bray will be
delighted to hear. I believe that when we bring the Florentine on board and have that developed by next Christmas one year, and when we have this, the river will be the focal point of the town and the town will be one town and that's why I'd really encourage people to sort of say, the flood defence scheme is in place; the relief scheme will be in place; this can be the making of Bray. Thank you.
CATHAOIRLEACH: Cllr. Whitmore.
CLLR. WHITMORE: Thanks very much, Chair. I have spoken to both sides on this debate just because it's a complex issue and I did want to get my head around all the different aspects of it. I suppose my preference would have been that we were able to speak to both sides at the one time so that, you know, if someone puts forward an argument that we would have been able to get a response for the alternative side. As it is, I spoke to both sides independently and I'd like to thank them for their time for that.

Fundamentally I'm coming back to this. My background is water management and marine processes and things and as C11r. Matthews said, like essentially when you're modelling something you cannot guarantee the results of the mode1. You build a mode1, you build assumptions into it based on your current understanding of things but it is still only a model and it is only a predictor of situations and future activities. I think in the absence of any guarantees that you do have to fall back on the precautionary principle that cllr. Matthews spoke about. That's essentially where you recognise that there are risks and you weigh up whether or not you're prepared to take those risks. I think sometimes in these discussions we can tend to base our decisions on models and engineers and not talk enough about the generational capacity and understanding of particular areas and take the history into account when making these decisions. So whilst we talk about one in 100 year one in 200 year floods, these things, it's not going to take 200 years for that to happen, it could happen next week. I think that's something that we do need to remember. I will be supporting this amendment of C11r. Behan's. I can understand the rationale of trying to keep the town together, however, I wouldn't see a linear park in there as something that would divide it and I think that could be something that could draw people into the area and actually be seen as an asset to bring the two parts of the town together. I will be supporting this.

CATHAOIRLEACH: Members, I'm just conscious of time.
I'm suggesting that we have the option of extending the meeting till 7:00 o'clock, if that's agreed by Members. 16:25 FROM THE FLOOR: Yeah.

CATHAOIRLEACH: I'd ask Members not to repeat the same things that have been said by other Members.
MS. GALLAGHER: 7:00 isn't a target.
CATHAOIRLEACH: 7:00 is not a target, people have lots of places to go to. It's the worst-case scenario. Cl1r. o'brien.

CLLR. O'BRIEN: To me, at this stage, it's becoming a bit like a circus, I can tell you. Firstly with 45 or 46 minutes spent on a Flood Protection Scheme, that if the planning was proper in the first place there would be no houses built there. Now that we've built there the Flood Protection Scheme is costing 45 million and now we have cllr. Ryan mentioning some massive monstrosity called a culvert that will be as high as a double-decker bus and it would be 22 metres wide and this is the way we're going to get the water to run away. When in the name of God are we going to learn that even the Egyptians knew what side of the river you built on and what you did and stuff like that? when are we going to learn and going to stop doing stupid stuff like that? I mean they did in Cork, they did it in Limerick and they did it, I think, Dublin as well in places and they're reaping the rewards of this asinine
planning that's going on. Now there's probably something more to this asinine planning than meets the eye to me, the stupid person that I am, it could have something to do with shillings and development and making profits and stuff like that. I also felt what c11r. Matthews mentioned there, that the town would be nicer with the river being not built around and built upon and having the floodplain there and have it as a focal point for the town to bring the people together. My worry is, someone said, oh, the town would be a bit too far away, you might have 100 metres to walk to th shops, you know. We11, if you build where you're going to build, lads, you might have to swim 100 metres out of your houses and that would be a far bigger worry for people. I am seriously worried about what happens here because I have never come across more genuine people than the people in SWAP. I mean they do this voluntary. And the amount of fundraising they'd to do. I think I saw something in their massive programme they put together, and all the work they've done down the years, I think I saw a figure of 8,227 or something. So it costs money for them to protect their own homes. By right it should be written into legislation there should, end of story, be no building on floodplains and there should be none of this we'11 culvert this, we'11 do that, we'11 build that. I mean if you look at the Missouri and Mississippi in America, what happened there and they spent years doing the same think, banked it up, banked it up, banked it up and eventually we had
that absolute disaster in New Orleans where the whole thing collapsed away because Mother Nature was being interfered with. I would hope to God that we go back, I don't see, I was just reading the CE's recommendation that we don't support this and I would actually like to $16: 27$ know what his rationale for that is? I was going to ask the question that they seem to be saying, the floodplain was still going to operate. I think we have got answer to that, there's going to be that massive big culvert that was going to do the job there. I will be backing the SWAP people $100 \%$ on this. I don't think there's any -- cllr. Vance mentioned things about losing a few houses here and there. The country is big enough, there's enough open space to build houses and build a new town somewhere else that we don't have to cram everything in and be caught to something, I don't know what it is. I definitely would be supporting SWAP and I hope my colleagues here on the Council would do the very same.
[APPLAUSE FROM THE PUBLIC GALLERY]

CATHAOIRLEACH: C11r. Fox.
CLLR. FOX: Thank you, Chairman. First of all, can I thank Noleen MCManus and SWAP I met them and I found them very courteous and they put forward their argument in a very rational fashion. It left me, when I spoke to Noleen, it left me that I was hungry to learn more about the facts. I naively thought that when water
came over the wall of a river it flooded naturally but I wanted to get to the heart of the matter and delve into the depths of the actual figures. I suppose when I did it was a classic case of the heart against the head, you know. My heart said SWAP were such a genuine 16:29 group that I should commit to what they were suggesting but my head, once I had seen the figures, clearly steered me in a different direction. I sat down and I read the draft and I thought the Draft Plan and the proposal for this site made absolute sense.

Obviously C11r. Ryan said that the 46 million spent on the flood defence was a game changer and that is how I fee1. I feel there is no way in the world I would support development on that site without the flood protection works.

Then, again, when you delve down into the detail, you know, the culvert, I think it takes 50,000 cubic metres of an overflow.

So, look, my heart and head, you know, are in direct conflict with one another but I had to follow my head. I had to say that for retail connectivity, and proper development of the site, hand on heart I agreed with the Draft Plan. I agreed with what was within the draft.

I know the fact there is planning permission on the
site, a commencement notice could come in immediately. I mean we have already granted planning on the site. I will be supporting the draft. I will be voting against the amendments to amend the draft. In saying that, look, I just want to pay tribute to SWAP. They have been very, very courteous and it doesn't give me any satisfaction to go against them. Okay. Thank you. CATHAOIRLEACH: Cl1r. Thornhill.

CLLR. THORNHILL: Cathaoirleach, go raibh maith agat. Thanks very much and I'm delighted to speak on this very important item. I'11 just start by painting a picture briefly. Bray, once an upon a time, was a great town, vibrant and had great employment, had its own factories and if we look at the Seafront it was awash with tourists and whichever, but I mean over the years - and I'm not from Bray myself - it has gone downhill. One of the things I would like to elaborate on especially in relation to this case is -- I mean one of the things I would like to elaborate on would be about the people involved.

The people involved in this and I must say to the people from the SWAP who would be headed by Noleen McManus, I would congratulate them, they are passionate people and very ordinary people and I know, because I have worked in Bray for 23 years and I have met these people, ordinary people and not unreasonable people either at the same time, and the thing about it is that some of these people have lived through times,

1986, 1965, they are the most recent times in relation to the floods. I was only talking last night to Noleen McManus and she was telling me about 1965 and 1986, the floods. I mean people living in their houses and these people that had gone through the times that were in it, I mean that they do realise what the problems could be if you have, you know, flooding.

Now one little thing that I would just like to allude to, this appears to be like a David versus Goliath situation and I would also like to allude to C11r. O'Brien's remarks too because I mean we're starting to live now in a situation where it's all big business but we should remember ordinary people as we11. They are what make up the community and they are what made up Bray. Like I mean okay, we'11 talk about retail. Retail going here, going down, whatever. I mean at the end of the day we're talking about this Florentine Centre. Now do we want another centre? I mean there's two centre.

Another point made by another Councillor was Dundrum, Carrickmines, Cherrywood. Well in the past I remember at certain meetings alluding to these places and somebody said, we shouldn't be comparing, we weren't good enough. But unfortunately that's the way we are where we are. But going back to the overall situation, these people from SWAP, of their own volition they have carried out the boot says, entertainment evenings, they
had to come up with money to fight these oral hearings. Fair play to them. Like I mean they had the interest of Bray at heart.

In 2005 people got together, a bunch of business people, whichever, Pizarro \& Company, and they decided all right, okay, we're going to do this and that. Then they put up maybe 90 million to buy maybe the Golf club and now it's gone bust. But who's paying for everything? The taxpayer. The taxpayer. And at the they're looking for is very unreasonable. They're looking to build on the higher ground. And the thing about it, it makes sense. And the thing about it, when you think about it, there's a linear park on one side of the Fran O'Toole Bridge and then on the other side you've The People's Park and I will compliment the Council at this stage, the work that is being done there to regenerate that area is fantastic because up to now we'd always Seafront, Seafront. Now we have a great, we can do one side of the Fran O'Toole Bridge and the other side. I am all with the SWAP people in this and I would urge my colleagues to think seriously about it and those people involved because at the end of the day we should all be coming together. It isn't a11 about money and big business; it's about a community. Go raibh míle maith agat.

```
[APPLAUSE FROM THE PUBLIC GALLERY]
```

CATHAOIRLEACH: C11r. Fortune.
CLLR. FORTUNE: Thanks, Cathaoirleach. I think this is a really important issue for Bray. I know Bray quite we11. I lived in Bray, I went to school in Bray and I have family living in Bray so $I$ know Bray as well as anybody knows it. I think potentially it's a fantastic town that does need attention but it is potentially a fantastic town.

I have listened to all the various debates. I went to two meetings with Noleen and got a good understanding of it, a very common sense approach. I really think that when residents articulate a problem and a concern and a fear the way SWAP have done it with this particular issue, I don't think officialdom has a right 16:36 to basically say to them: 'We know better and we hear what you're saying.' You know I had that experience many, many years ago when I wasn't a public representative and I came down to this Chamber with a plan for the village $I$ live in with a colleague and were told by 15 Councillors here in the Chamber and by officials that it was fantastic and it would be brilliant and blah-blah-blah. Then when the plan was done about eight months later it was totally ignored. So I really come from a position where residents have to be listened to. I think the professional way and the knowledgeable way that this whole project has been put from the point of view of the concerns of the people in Little Bray has to be listened to. I
certainly think that what Cllr. Behan, O'Brien, Matthews, O'Connor and Thornhill have proposed here today is what is wanted in Bray, they're the majority, and I would formally second the proposal that they have
put forward.
[APPLAUSE FROM THE PUBLIC GALLERY]

CATHAOIRLEACH: Thanks, Councillor. I'd just briefly like to say, I'd just like to thank SWAP for their contribution to democracy in this County. They crossed the hills on a cold November night to Baltinglass to meet me and I'd like to compliment them for making that huge effort. I don't think there is any doubt about their genuineness and well meaning. I'd just like to thank them on what they've done over the last few months.

I'd like to go now to the CE to respond.
MR. CURRAN: Can I respond briefly and I'11 hand over to Des then. We spoke earlier about The Florentine Centre and it is in terms of improving the vitality of the Town Centre that is really important. This site I think is also important in terms of retail units, connectivity, housing units. It is a hugely important doubt about that. In terms of housing units, absolutely essential. There isn't a huge amount of scope in Bray in terms of retail and connectivity.

There was, as was said earlier, €46 million spent on flood defences. The flood defences are designed in such a way that they flood waters could still flow away from the area towards the river and the sea, if that was necessary, 50,000 cubic metres of overflow. For that reason, we'd recommend that there'd be no change in terms of that area and we've recommended a minor amendment. Des, if you want to comment?
MR. O'BRIEN: Thank you, Chief Executive. I might be repeating what a lot of Members have said but, I suppose from the point of view, I'm Head of Planning here but I also have been the Bray Town Manager up to a few years ago and now the Municipal District Manager, and I would come to Bray 40 years ago, and I've seen it being the shopping centre for the County. Basically, yes, losing its vibrancy as we go along, as C11r. Thornhil1 mentioned.

If you look at the figures for the potential spend from Bray, the square feet of shops in Bray and the amount of spend, the average spend the shop would have, Bray is underresourced retail wise. We all know people are going to Carrickmines, they're going to Dundrum and we really want to stem that flow. Obviously earlier on today The Florentine Centre is very, very important to
anchor the Main Street as the main place to do business, we but we still don't have enough floor area to service the people that we have in Bray. There is only one place to go, is it go over the bridge into the
golf course land. There might be some possibility to do a bit more where the Supervalu site is but really to get a good bang increase in retail and get the brands that people travel at the moment for, we need the golf course land.

I suppose the other Councillors have said that it needs to be as tight as possible. Every foot you have to walk away, you'11 end up with two competing centres and we've seen it around the country. You can see Carlow centre is dying and our aim was to have it as close as possible. You might say well what's 40 or 50 or 60 or 70 metres? It means an awful lot because people don't really want to walk that far. You will see people going to church, they want to park in the altar wells if they can. This is just a fact of life. It is very, very important for Bray to get back to the state it was that we have this as close as possible to the town. But then to look at what the risk is. I mean we are well alive to the risks in Little Bray. We were plugging away trying to get a flood scheme in Bray for ages and it was only when we realised that there could be a loss of life in Little Bray that we went way up the charts in the OPW funding list. Little Bray could be two metres under the prevailing level in a little single-storey housing, a lot of people who are older than myself and there's a good chance someone could die. That's how we got up the, well up the list of
possible schemes that would be done in the OPW. In fact the benefit cost ratio on this was 5 . It's unheard of. Generally they want it to be 1.5. That was based on these issues. So we're very, very alive
to the problems in Little Bray. model that C11r. whitmore was talking about, this is actually a physical mode1, it was a scale model done completely like the river itself. So it's far more direct than making assumptions. It actually turned out to have a 300 year return. But regardless of that, you can have a one in 1,000 year storm next week. They can happen any time. There's actually not a return period. The fact that Bray hasn't had a flood now for 30 years, and generally they'd been getting one every 25 years, doesn't mean to say we're due for one. You can have your return the following day, and we know that.

When Christine Flood was Town Clerk and Mark was stand in Chair we set up an evacuation for emergency flood response. We had volunteers and we'd done some dry runs on it. Basically we had sort of rules and it was basically the wall in Park Lane, if that gets to a certain point, we evacuate the town. We have buses organised, we have halls organised. That's ongoing and
that will have to continue going on. We'11 probably have to make bigger efforts because the chances of a flood happening are going to be smaller and it's probably going to be harder to concentrate people's minds. I just want to accept any flood scheme can fail at any time and you have to allow for that.

The floodplain at the golf course lands isn't much use to Little Bray because floodplains have to be upstream. The idea of a floodplain is the water overflows, the flow in the river decreases and the flow decreases the level falls. Having a floodplain, once it's gone through your town is of no useless. It might, on a very sluggish river like the shannon where it falls about five inches every mile, but that's not the case in Bray. Bray is a very, very steep riverbed there. Having a floodplain downstream will do no good for the people upstream at all.

What's important about the golf course lands for Little Bray is it conveys water out. The waters overflows somewhere upstream and in Hurricane Charlie it overflowed well upstream, flowed down the Dargle Road into Little Bray and then there's an impediment on getting it out in Dwyer Park and that way. So it takes awhile for water then to find its way out again. where it went out was into the golf course lands. This point that is being made by SWAP was made 14 years ago and we took it on board and it is actually quite hard to model
that mathematically because there's too many imponderables so we actually modelled it wallingford and we found there was 18 to 19 cubic metres per second. So when the planning application came in, we insisted that there would be a conveyance method to get 16:46 rid of this water. We asked them to do it for 25 cubic metres per second to have a factor of safety. If you could actually bring that up, just to show -- this is an excerpt from the planning application. Thanks, Lisa. It won't be ugly because this is actually in the 16:46 middle of a shopping centre. This was actually in the middle of the car park and if you look -- the colour has come out of it. That's meant to be all blue. This was one we got from the planning drawings.

This is what we got when the planning application came in. That's the entrance from Dwyer Park. That's the gate at the moment to the golf course. Now this dimension here is about nine metres wide. Nine metres wide is a very wide single carriage national primary road and then you can see it gets much wider along here. This is the width of the river. This is the width of the culvert. This is not like a six inch pipe taking water out, this is a huge undertaking. If you look on this part here, I think this is where the culvert here and this is the overflow. That's up to ten metres high. Now, you don't need ten metres high because it's just the way the car park was constructed. But this is a huge culvert and it's designed to take
all the flow from it. So we have actually modelled all this. It's not that we weren't alive to these problems. These problems are real. But we have actually taken these into consideration and we got the developers, I'm sure we can get further information to get to this the right level and then you have various inlets into the river then at that stage. We have designed in1ets in the river at the moment because the wall of the -- like what happened in Hurricane Charlie is what wall was there got knocked over eventually and the water whooshed in. So we have flat valves along there and it was mentioned that these failed. That was because one of them wasn't actually in the place at the time and that was repaired.

They're very, very important because now you've got a big wall there, you have to get water back into the river and relieve upstream on it. So that's really how much I can say about the flood scheme.

One thing I'11 say as well, when the planning application went through, there are Flood Risk Management Guidelines and what they say basically is, regardless of what flood protection you do, you shouldn't have any housing in an area, even in a probability. However, there is a justification test, if it's justified, and on this particular site, the OPW, our partners in doing it, always accepted it was
justified but it went to Bord Pleanála as an independent third party and they accepted it was justified in the planning permission.

So really that's why I think it's very important for the future of Bray that this zoning stays in place and I would ask you to keep that in mind when you're deliberating.
CATHAOIRLEACH: Cl1r. Behan, you want to come back?
CLLR. BEHAN: It's just to, if I could as the person who proposed it, even though I proposed it on behalf of my colleagues I want to make one or two points, if I can, before you go to a vote, Cathaoirleach.

CATHAOIRLEACH: That's okay.
CLLR. BEHAN: The first point you'l1 remember what Mr. O'brien just said there, none of that is in place at the moment. That's in the planning permission. As the developer said in his submission, he is more or less saying well, you won't have your flood scheme unless we get our planning permission. You won't have the flood relief. We've got the flood defence but we don't have the flood relief. That's a picture on a screen. Whereas in reality we have a floodplain at the moment. Let's not forget that.

The second point is that a lot has been made about retail and having this massive big shopping centre that's going to compete with Dundrum and Carrickmines. Now, I for one do not believe that's ever going to
happen. I really don't. And in fact if you look at the submission of Pizarro, not the thing we got last week, if you look at their submission on the plan they actually have stated their intention to look for a reduction in retail. And why have they looked for a reduction in retail? Because of the advent of internet shopping. That they believe that convenience shopping is on the decrease. We all know that's true. And people are shopping online and they're buying from a small outlet. They're not actually travelling to a lot 16:51 of shops to buy the way they might have in the past. So the comparison shopping idea might have been relevant 15 years ago, it's not relevant today.

It's a bogus argument to say that if we get this zoning ${ }_{\text {16:52 }}$ here today we're suddenly going to have a shopping centre that is going to compete with Dundrum, Carrickmines and Cherrywood. Anyone who knows the Castle Street area, the road that just leads off, where Mr. O'brien was showing there Dwyer Park, the road that 18:52 comes into the Town of Bray, you'11 know that you barely can get two cars on either side of it. It's a single lane each way. Now to suggest that a thousand cars are going to be able to drive in and out there every day, not have any queues and drive into the other ${ }_{16: 52}$ shops and when they eventually get in there they're going get out and they're going to walk up the Town of Bray to do their shopping. It's rubbish. It's complete rubbish. It's a completely false, bogus
argument. It will not happen.

The second part of my proposal, which won't see the light of day if we don't agree to the first part, was that I think that we have an opportunity to make a living community, a residential community on that site and forget about all this rubbish about a big retail shopping centre. we actually could have a high density residential development that could answer the housing needs of a lot of Bray and the surrounding areas because it's right beside a primary and a secondary school; it's right beside the Seafront; it's right beside the DART station; it's right beside the Superquinn Shopping Centre; and it's right beside the Town Centre, and the people who live there would actually be the people that would regenerate the Town Centre. However, I'm only saying that because that was the second motion that I would be proposing. But I accept if we don't win this first one, if we don't get this vote through today that idea is dead as well.

I come back and I appeal again, I know a lot of talk has gone on, particularly in the bigger parties here around this table in the last few days, the bigger political parties about trying to make sure this does sure there are some of you sitting here today that certainly have your doubts and would, in normal circumstances, give this a chance and for the last time

I'm saying this: Give it a chance. Just allow it to go through today for four weeks for the people of Bray to have a chance to give their opinion on it because this is the decision for the future of Bray and it's in your hands whether you allow the people to have a few weeks more to consider it or not. Again, as a last time, Cathaoirleach, I'm asking for you to support this proposal. Thank you.
[APPLAUSE FROM THE PUBLIC GALLERY]

MR. O'bRIEN: Just a small point. Just factual things really. The culvert doesn't need to be in place at the moment because you have a conveyance through the golf course so the fact it's not there --
CLLR. BEHAN: We have a floodplain as well at the moment.

MR. O'BRIEN: I'm giving you what is the engineering theory on it, the floodplain downstream is of no use to you. What you have there is a conveyance channe1 and you still have the conveyance channel. If any development happens there would have to be a culvert. That's all, Councillor, 1 'm saying on that.

As to the reduction in retail, in your county
Development Plan you already reduced the amount of retail based on new figures that we were allotted to Bray. So that would be consistent with the County Development Plan.

CATHAOIRLEACH: C11r. Thornhil1 and then I'm going to go for a vote.
CLLR. MATTHEWS: Can I just ask a question on culverts.
CLLR. THORNHILL: Cathaoirleach, some submissions, hardcopies that were handed to me by SWAP that I forgot 16:55 to mention they were supposed to be handed over to yourse1f.
CLLR. MATTHEWS: Cathaoirleach, just a question. CATHAOIRLEACH: You want to give me something?
CLLR. MATTHEWS: That's not part of the process,
Cathaoirleach.
CLLR. THORNHILL: Just for yourself. (SAME HANDED) CATHAOIRLEACH: Cl1r. Matthews.

CLLR. MATTHEWS: Thank you, just a question, a point of information on the culvert. what will the culvert be
used for when it's not conveying water? And under whose management would it be? Thank you.

MR. O'BRIEN: It will just be a dry culvert. It would be used in the event of a storm event.

CLLR. MATTHEWS: Under whose management?
MR. O'BRIEN: I would say it would have to be the management of the Local Authority.

CLLR. MATTHEWS: We're not clear. There could be a privately managed management company involved. MR. O'BRIEN: I doubt it. Look, we haven't gone that ${ }_{16: 56}$ far yet and when we get planning permission we can discuss that. I would see it as part of the flood infrastructure that will need to be managed by the County Council.

CLLR. MATTHEWS: Okay, thank you.
CATHAOIRLEACH: I suggest now that we go ahead with a vote on the proposed amendment by C11r. Behan.
MS. GALLAGHER: This is the first proposed amendment by Cllr. Behan on behalf of his five colleagues, Councillors O'brien, O'Connor, Matthews and Thornhill and seconded by C11r. Tom Fortune. Amendment 5, page 17. [VOTE TAKEN] That's 13 for; 18 against; and one not present.
CATHAOIRLEACH: I now go on to Cllr. Behan's next amendment.

MS. GALLAGHER: Amendment number 6.
CATHAOIRLEACH: On page 20.
MS. GALLAGHER: Seconded by C11r. T. Fortune.
CATHAOIRLEACH: I'11 go for a vote on that as we11, please. [VOTE TAKEN]

MS. GALLAGHER: That's 19 against; 11 for; and two not present.
CATHAOIRLEACH: The next item on the same topic is a proposal by C11r. Matthews, page 55.

MS. GALLAGHER: Seconded by C11r. T. Fortune.
CLLR. MATTHEWS: Do I get to speak on it?
CATHAOIRLEACH: If you want to speak.
CLLR. MATTHEWS: I do. It's a completely separate what way Tommy Annesley is going to vote. I have to work on the Arklow element! I thought coming from Arklow you'd understand.

Cathaoirleach, I've made this submission and I'm going to explain, and I make no reference to flooding, flood attenuation, to flood mitigation or to flood risk as part of this proposal. Under the objective that we have for the Golf club lands, we've agreed already, there's an agreed objective in there that we would have two hectare public open space. Now I see the developer has made a proposal or he has submitted an amendment, if you want to have a look at the maps there, where he is proposing to put his reduced 1.3 hectare public open 17:02 space and it's hived off into a corner of the site. It's disconnected from the main bulk of what the proposed development would be. It's to the rear of properties. It serves very, very little. we can get the map up there. If you want to get the drawing up there maybe Members would have a better understanding of what I'm talking about. From what I've seen the main road through to the site actually severs this park from the river frontage. Have we got a map? Could we have a map of the development as it stands, the permissions there at the moment? we have it in the Pizarro submission.
ms. VANCE: Cllr. Matthews, we don't have it here today.
CLLR. MATTHEWS: However I'11 go on. So what I'm 17:03 suggesting in this is rather than letting the developer decide what part of the site he's going to apportion for this two hectares we've agreed but 1.3 hectares he's suggesting is that we provide this in the form of
a linear park to the south of the site, running along by the river front. The reason for this is, I believe, that the provision of high quality, well designed managed open space and parkland at this location will provide for residential amenity and open space for the entire development, but also for the town as well. It's not off to the rear of this new site and new development. I think it would add the unadded attraction to the entire river area for the entire town. Placing it at that point would enhance the public realm throughout the area. It would provide a community gain, not just to the new development but to the entire town. It would provide greenway linkage. At the moment we have a proposal in from The People's Park for the Dargle River walk that eventually could join up to Enniskerry. This linear park would connect The People's Park to the harbour. When you get to the harbour we're working on proposals to go northbound through shanganagh, southbound along the Seafront to Greystones, the Wicklow way. Cllr. Fox is working on the wicklow way to the cliff walk. It provides another link in a joined-up green urban infrastructure and I think it's something we should aspire to. It will fill in the last little piece of it there.

Just for clarity, on the question of the disconnect, this would probably be about 45 metres in width, about 500 metres long. Basically it would extend a long the Dargle River and I don't believe that would provide a
disconnect at all. Any piece of built environment can provide a disconnect if it's not managed. If you have a street that's unlit or unwelcoming, it can serve as a disconnect to the community. If you have a roadway that's busy or wide, it will serve as a disconnect to the community. And if you have a river, it always serves as a disconnect to the community. But by providing this river frontage, this park along the river frontage, it would actually serve as, and I know I stated this in the last one but it would, it invite both communities together and I think it would offer the core Town Centre area, people would like across and they would see this new community over there but they'd be invited into it. They wouldn't be just looking over at the new apartments with their shops over there. And 17:05 the same for the community over there. We will have shopping and we will have retail in it and it's important that we have shopping and retail in it. It's needed. That's why I didn't support Cllr. Behan's last amendment. I'm okay with that. But we also want to entice those people to the town as well, not to live in some sort of isolation over there. This is a new development, we're all down with our own shops. It's really to bring the two communities together.

The proposal doesn't require any rezoning at all. This is not a proposal to rezone any land. This is a proposal that the objectives that we stitch into this sLo is that the two hectares is linear to the river, on
the south. The other aspect of where the developer's proposing his parkland to be, it's behind these high buildings to the north. This should be located to the front of this development where it will serve both communities best.

If you wanted to discuss flooding or channe1 ways, if somebody wanted to do that, it could probably be looked on positively in terms of that. I'm not suggesting that. If it came before the Board for a new application, as the developer has suggested he's going to put in a new application, if it did come the Board may look at this positively and say well, there is flood attenuation in there. Again, that's speculative because there is no application in at the moment.

I would urge the Members to support this. I think we should decide what's best in our town and where the open space provision should be and where the recreational amenity should be. Exactly as we're dong on the Fassaroe lands, we have been very prescriptive on the Fassaroe lands and that's going to bring some fantastic community benefit, not just to the Fassaroe development, but to Bray as well. I think we've the opportunity to do that here, to put this parkland in a location that we decide and which is best for us, it's not developer-led, it's democratically led, it's led with the Councillors who were elected by the people and I think we have a good understanding of what's needed
there. I'd urge the Members to support this. Thank you, Cathaoirleach.
[APPLAUSE FROM THE PUBLIC GALLERY]

CATHAOIRLEACH: C11r. Vance.
CLLR. VANCE: Chairman, as I said before, when I was a Member of the Council discussing zoning in this area, we discussed all these particular items. Whereas this particular proposal is better than the other two in the 17:08 sense, but it goes away from the one specific reason is that the Town Centre is going to be disconnected the further you go into this particular site. That's my big problem with this particular proposal because one of the reasons for, there was a lot of debate from the previous Council in that regard to this and it was because of the disconnect and we wanted to keep the Town Centre and not provide an out of town, the further out you go the further disconnect you have and effectively it doesn't help the Town Centre at all.

This was al1 about rejuvenation of the Town Centre. Unfortunately when you push it further back you have this particular problem. So that's why I'm opposing this, Chairman. Thank you.
CATHAOIRLEACH: C11r. Kavanagh.
CLLR. KAVANAGH: Thanks, Chair, I just want support this. I think it would be a very good compromise for the people from Little Bray and the Members of the SWAP organisation. It's not an enormous amount of 1and and
the idea that a town is going to be split because of something like this is just ludicrous in the extreme. Bray is a very well laid out town. This is not going to detract from that. I think it is will actually enhance it and I think it would certainly bring peace of mind to the people who are desperate to ensure that there would be no flooding to their homes in the future. Thank you.
CATHAOIRLEACH: I will go for a vote on this.
CLLR. MATTHEWS: Cathaoirleach, can I just respond to that, if I can respond to those submissions. CATHAOIRLEACH: Just be brief.
CLLR. MATTHEWS: I will be brief, Cathaoirleach, but it's a very important issue for the town so $I$ want to respond to it.

The question of disconnect, it's not a mathematical question, okay. There's no definitive answer to that question. You're talking about urban design and an urban planner's opinion on it. Now opinions, we could line up three urban planners and they could say: 'Yes, it will disconnect', and I could find three others that would say: 'No, it will not disconnect'. Bray is quite a densely populated town but it's very, very well laid out. It's about four miles wide. So to go from the centre of our town to the extremities, you're only about two miles. Bray is well laid out and I think this will serve the town better. This will actually bring both communities together. Generally communities
are divided by a river. We built the boardwalk and we built the river walk and the Ravenswell Road and it's been hugely welcomed and it's a great addition to the town and it really lifts the entire area. Now we have an opportunity here to put this in place, to join The People's Park and to join the harbour together, so somewhere that will be pleasant and inviting and actually lift the entire town. I think it will complement it. I think it will add to the shopping experience, it will add to the recreational experience. 17:11 People don't want to just go in and go down busy shopping streets. Look at the way shopping and retail is now. They classify it as an experience to go shopping now. okay, it's not an experience I particularly like but you go and you walk and you enjoy 17:11 and there's a design and it's laid out and there's places to eat and there's places to sit around and enjoy and meet people. It's not just about rushing in and out of shops shopping. So I don't accept the disconnect. I know some people may but again it's not 17:11 something -- it's something that's subjective and I'm suggesting that it would not, it's only about 45 metres wide. In fact if you want to reduce it at the retail part of this site $I$ would be open to that if we make it up at the other end where it joins the harbour, which 17:12 might be actually a nice design. As The People's Park starts to drift in at that point, ours could meet it with the same dimension and drift back out again. I think on paper this sounds fantastic. I'd love to see
a drawing of it. I'd like to ask the Councillors to support this vision of joining up this area in Bray. Thank you, Cathaoirleach.

CATHAOIRLEACH: Do I have a seconder for that proposal?
CLLR. O'BRIEN: Seconded.
MS. GALLAGHER: Proposed by Matthews, seconded by C11r. O'brien. [VOTE TAKEN] That's 11 for; 17 against; and four not present.
CATHAOIRLEACH: Just the last vote we're going to have on the Golf Club plans is the CE's proposal number 13. CLLR. BEHAN: what page is that on?
MR. O'BRIEN: 36. That basically puts a target
provision of 1,000 units rather than "no less than" and changes "park" to "open space". They're just minor amendments.

CLLR. VANCE: I propose that, Chairman.
CATHAOIRLEACH: Proposer C11r. Vance.
MS. GALLAGHER: Seconded?
CATHAOIRLEACH: Do we have a seconder?
CLLR. RYAN: Yes.
CATHAOIRLEACH: C11r. Ryan. C11r. Behan, would you like to speak?

CLLR. BEHAN: Yeah, I'd like to speak on it, Cathaoirleach. So effectively what the Director is saying there is, we're now taking the pressure off the developer to provide housing units during a housing emergency. Notwithstanding what I said earlier about the fact that I don't believe a big retail outfit is going to work there, actually what the Director is now
saying is that well he doesn't have to deliver, it's now a target whereas it was already a requirement before. So this is a relaxation of a restriction that would was being put on a person to get planning permission there.

I also would argue with the question of taking away a public park and putting in the word "open space" which means that it may or may not be designed in such a way that would be of use to people who live down there. So 17:15 anyway everybody is probably at this stage tired of the Golf Club talk, although I do think it's very important but I would be opposing this proposal, Cathaoirleach.
[APPLAUSE FROM THE PUBLIC GALLERY]

MR. O'BRIEN: Cathaoirleach, generally in Development Plans you don't want to have things set in stone because you are looking for a target of 1,000 and the development says we can't get the 1,000 , we're in material contravention. we are still looking for at least 1,000 but if they actually show us in the application they can't do it, then we'11 take that on board.

It went from "park" to "open space" because it could be a playing pitch, it could be something else, rather than just a public park. It is just to give flexibility.
[VOTE TAKEN]
MS. GALLAGHER: That's 19 for; eight against; and five not present.
CATHAOIRLEACH: Members, thanks for the kilmacanogue group for staying here so long. I'd like to move on to 17:18 that item now. You'11 see it on --
CLLR. O'NEILL: Can I just ask how many were absent from that vote?
CLLR. WINTERS: Five.
CLLR. O'NEILL: Five absent, including three here. 17:18
CLLR. RYAN: When you're gone, you're gone!
CATHAOIRLEACH: Cl1r. Fox.
CLLR. FOX: Thank you, Chairman.
CLLR. MATTHEWS: what are we on?
CATHAOIRLEACH: We're on the second page of the
spreadsheet, map 4, Kilmacanogue. Cllr. Fox, number 1
proposal. Page 28 of the amendments.
CLLR. FOX: Thank you, Chairman. It's Chapter 7 on the list. Thank you for letting this go forward to allow people in the gallery to go home earlier than what would have been.

Just the background on this is, back a few years ago in one of the Development Plans there was a proposal to put a road from Kilmacanogue to Bray on a map which met 17:19 with huge opposition, pretty much it was unanimous opposition to it. Now we have an alternative proposal whereby that road has been removed from all plans. There was a fear that this proposal was going to be a
reopening of that previous proposal.
CATHAOIRLEACH: Christy, are you on to your proposal number 1 or number 3 ?
CLLR. FOX: This is proposal number 3. Amendment number 3.

CATHAOIRLEACH: Okay.
CLLR. McLOUGHLIN: what page is that on?
MS. GALLAGHER: Page 36.
CLLR. McLoughlin: 36?
CLLR. FOX: On that list it's Chapter 7 and both myself and C11r. Matthews have amendments in on this. So the background was previously that the road was removed from plans. There was a fear in kilmac that this was a reopening of that in a phased basis. Now I've been assured that that's not the case. However, there are a 17:20 number of residents who access the N11 directly, which is a very unsatisfactory situation we have. It has effectively held up any solution to the garage exit on to the N 11 which we all know is seriously substandard. So what I am proposing is that a small cul-de-sac road moved forward down the slope to the west in line with the bottom of kilfenora Lane would be included. Now I know the planners have said that the line on the map is indicative and it's not set in stone.

I have also sought to remove or reduce the secondary area. There was secondary zoning proposed up to the 90 -metre contour line. I'm reducing that down under the 80 -metre contour line.

As I said, the road should be purely to serve the residents who access the N11 directly, to offer a safe solution for those people.

I would also support the idea of a greenway from that point on to Bray, subject to the landowner being consulted and some form of agreement reached. So that's what I'm proposing, Chairman.

There is one other small amendment which would be seen as a mapping error which is number one on that.

CATHAOIRLEACH: We'11 deal with this one first and then we'11 come back it. Do I have a seconder?

CLLR. BEHAN: I just want to second C11r. Fox's proposal and to say that he and his family have served that area as public representatives now for probably 40 years. Nobody knows the area of Kilmacanogue better than he does. Nobody around this Chamber. I know he's put a lot of thought and consideration into this. He's attended a number of public meetings about it and I know he has considered carefully all of the points that have been made. I think at the public meeting I attended it was very clear that there are a number of residents who are probably there a very long time who are effectively coming out on to the N11, coming out into a death trap. while the NRA may propose something there in the future, I think what he is proposing is something that will offer a better chance of having a permanently safe exit and access for those people. On
that basis I want to second it.
CATHAOIRLEACH: We'11 go for a vote then.
MR. O'BRIEN: C11r. Matthews' number 11 is
substantially the same point except it's a map. It's
the same site, it's just that cllr. Matthews is to take away all the zoning there, whereas C11r. Fox is to take away a bit more than half.
CLLR. FOX: The removal of the road in C11r. Matthews' is the difficulty I have. C11r. Matthews is proposing to remove the service road.

CATHAOIRLEACH: C11r. Matthews, do you want to speak? MR. O'BRIEN: It just means if you take C11r. Fox's amendment, C11r. Matthews' will basically, realistically, fall. It might be best to have a debate on two of them first of all.

CATHAOIRLEACH: C11r. Matthews, would you like to speak on your amendment?

CLLR. MATTHEWS: Yeah, thanks, Cathaoirleach. I'm okay with C11r. Fox's minor road to improve the safety -MR. O'BRIEN: Page 65 by the way.
CLLR. MATTHEWS: -- to improve the safety of those residents there. However, the secondary zoning that's proposed there, the increase in secondary zoning, that's my map, is it?
MR. O'BRIEN: Yes.
CLLR. MATTHEWS: That's what I'm proposing. Can we see the map for Cllr. Fox's zoning proposal also?

CLLR. FOX: If C11r. Matthews wants to remove zoning in its entirety $I$ don't have a difficulty.

CLLR. MATTHEWS: If we can remove the zone back to what I proposed there, I'm okay with Cllr. Fox's minor road, because it's actually for the benefit and safety of the people out there.

CLLR. FOX: That's fine but I don't want the road to be 17:25 removed.

CLLR. MATTHEWS: It would be just a local service road. CATHAOIRLEACH: Are you saying, C11r. Fox, that you agree to C11r. Matthews' proposal?

CLLR. FOX: I'm proposing the road would be put in whereas C11r. Matthews has sought to remove the road. CLLR. MATTHEWS: Cathaoirleach, my proposal is to not have that secondary zoning there. But if through Wicklow Roads Department we can put in a minor road there to service the needs of those residents there, I have no problem with that at all because it will improve the safety.

CATHAOIRLEACH: Can you amend your proposal then, would that be a solution?

MR. O'BRIEN: Cathaoirleach, the reason that zoning was 17:26 put in in the first place was it was recognised that the road is needed for the people who front on to the N11, but the chances of the Council having funds to put in a fairly new road just for a half dozen houses would be very slim and that's why we put in the zoning there, 17:26 because anyone who had to develop the land would have to provide the road. Maybe the Members may be able to provide the money for the roads themselves. I thought at the time when we put it through that was our feeling
and I thought your one was a reasonable compromise that a road might get provided. I just don't think, my experience of the Council, their chances of finding money for a merging road out of ordinary Council coffers would be slim.

CLLR. FOX: It's an amendment to the draft so we can come back to it if May, can't we? Perhaps I could consult with Cllr. Matthews.

MR. O'BRIEN: No, because if you don't put the zoning in at this stage you can't come back and add it in again. Oh, that's the Draft Plan, excuse me. You're right. You're right.
FROM THE PUBLIC GALLERY: Ultimately we're trying to protect the mountainside. That's really what it's all about. It's vital that the zoning is kept as it is. CATHAOIRLEACH: Sorry, you can't contribute to the meeting. C11r. Fox.

CLLR. FOX: what I propose is, at this stage we will remove the secondary zoning but include the roadway to the service the houses that currently access the N11 directly, if Cllr. Matthews is happy with that? CLLR. MATTHEWS: I agree to that.

## [APPLAUSE FROM THE PUBLIC GALLERY]

CATHAOIRLEACH: That's your proposal being amended then?

CLLR. FOX: Yeah.
CATHAOIRLEACH: C11r. Matthews, you are dropping your
proposal?
CLLR. MATTHEWS: I'm happy to join in that proposal that we remove the secondary zoning and we retain the option of the service road. what C11r. Fox said.
CATHAOIRLEACH: Are we clear on that?
MS. GALLAGHER: Everybody clear?
CLLR. FOX: And include the greenway as proposed in the draft.

CATHAOIRLEACH: And it includes the greenway as proposed

MR. O'BRIEN: There's no amendment on that. It's there already.

CATHAOIRLEACH: We'11 go for a vote.
[VOTE TAKEN]
MS. GALLAGHER: That's 27 for and five not present.
CATHAOIRLEACH: I will go for C11r. Fox's amendment number 1 then, page 28. This is the last thing to do with Kilmacanogue.
CLLR. FOX: There is also one slight mapping error where there was a white line along the road in the
village. There was no objection from the CE on that. There's a small mapping error and the Chief Executive has no objection to that. Is that okay?

CATHAOIRLEACH: Is that your proposal? Is that agreed by the Members then?
CLLR. VANCE: I'11 second it.
CATHAOIRLEACH: Is that agreed?
MR. O'BRIEN: It's actually part of the published amendment.

CATHAOIRLEACH: Okay, that's agreed. I'11 just revert now to the chronological order that $I$ hoped to start out with. We'11 just to go back to Chapter 2, again back to the spreadsheet. It's back to C11r. Fox, the first item: Overall vision and Development Strategy, Chapter 2. We have three different proposals on that chapter. The first one is C11r. Fox, proposal number 1 , page 28.
CLLR. FOX: Members may or may not know the layout of the village of Kilmac but it has in quite close proximity a small village or cluster called Kilmurray. Now, Kilmurray is intrinsically linked with Kilmacanogue, it has sporting facilities and employment zones within it and I think it's a better fit to have Kilmurray and Kilmacanogue amalgamated into one settlement area. So I'm proposing to amalgamate the cluster of Kilmurray to within the settlement boundary of Kilmacanogue and the maps submitted be agreed. CLLR. BEHAN: I'11 second that, Cathaoirleach, thank you.

CATHAOIRLEACH: Okay. Do you have any comments? MR. O'BRIEN: Just to say the Chief Executive is against this because we think that Kilmurray is better under the rural parts of the County Development Plan rather than in an urban village, as we said in the

CATHAOIRLEACH: Okay. Go for a vote then. [VOTE TAKEN]

MS. GALLAGHER: That's 26 for; one against; and five
not present.
CATHAOIRLEACH: Second amendment on Chapter 2, C11r. Matthews, page 38.

MR. O'BRIEN: I will come in, Cathaoirleach. The Chief Executive has proposed an amendment which was as a result of Department submissions and it was about population, taking into account the CSO revised figures. C11r. Matthews' amendment is amending the Chief Executive's amendment and the Chief Executive has no objection. I'd say if we take the Chief Executive's 17:34 amendment number 1 as amended by Stephen Matthews amendment.

CATHAOIRLEACH: So they're combined?
MR. O'BRIEN: Yeah.
CATHAOIRLEACH: okay.
CLLR. MATTHEWS: I'm okay with that.
CLLR. VANCE: I'11 second that.
CATHAOIRLEACH: Is that agreed?
FROM THE FLOOR: Agreed.
CATHAOIRLEACH: Thanks. Okay, Chapter 3, residential
development. First proposed amendment C11r. Behan, page 4.
CLLR. BEHAN: Cathaoirleach, this is in relation to Enniskerry, it's just a small piece of family-owned land and it's just really to try and tie down the on a site. Now it's already zoned for residential anyway but I want to propose that the CE, as he doesn't see it necessary but he doesn't have an objection to
it, which is great from my point of view that something I say, the Chief Executive doesn't have an objection to it. But on a serious note, I would like it, if possible anyway, if members would accept it, and it can go on public display then for observations to come back 17:35 in four weeks, or after the period.
CLLR. VANCE: Chairman, I'l1 second that.
CATHAOIRLEACH: Is that agreed by Members or does anyone have any objection?
FROM THE FLOOR: Agreed.
CATHAOIRLEACH: Okay. Next item page 28, C11r. Fox. It's called number 1 again, cllr. Fox. I don't know why you got number 1 twice. Sorry, it's the same one for each chapter.
CLLR. FOX: we've dealt with that.
CATHAOIRLEACH: Sorry, my mistake. Chapter 5, C11r. Matthews.

MS. GALLAGHER: We've done Chapter 3. Is there any in Chapter 4 to be done? MR. O'bRIEN: Chapter 4 had no amendments.
CATHAOIRLEACH: There's none in Chapter 4 so Chapter 5 is the next one. C11r. Matthews, page 39, cllr. Matthews, number 2.
CLLR. MATTHEWS: I will just see what that is first.
CLLR. McLoughlin: it's the same type, isn't it? the Chief Executive's amendment and the Chief Executive has no objection to the modification. The Chief Executive's one is general refinements on Bray
retailers.
CATHAOIRLEACH: Number 2, is it.
MR. O'BRIEN: Yes, number 2. It was just take out the word "Centre" from "Town Centre".

CLLR. McLOUGHLIN: what page are you on, Des?
CATHAOIRLEACH: Page 39 of $\mathrm{Cl1r}$. Matthews' proposal. MR. O'BRIEN: And page 17 of the Chief Executive's amendments. These are just taking out "Town Centre" and was making the height of buildings a little less ambiguous. Cllr. Matthews made a modification to make 17:37 it even less ambiguous.

MS. GALLAGHER: If we could have a seconder for C11r. Matthews.

CATHAOIRLEACH: Do we have a seconder? C11r. Fox. Is that agreed by the Members?

FROM THE FLOOR: Agreed.
MR. O'BRIEN: Is there a proposer and seconder for the Manager's suggested amendment?
CLLR. VANCE: C11r. Matthews proposed and I seconded it.

MR. O'BRIEN: That's grand.
CATHAOIRLEACH: Okay, the CE's amendment number 3. CLLR. MCLOUGHLIN: What page are you on, Cathaoirleach? MS. WALSH: Page 18 of the Chief Executive's report. CATHAOIRLEACH: We're going through as well all the CE's proposals. The CE has 23 proposals, we're now on number 3.

CLLR. WHITMORE: what page on this?
CATHAOIRLEACH: what page is it on?

MS. WALSH: It is on page 18.
MR. O'BRIEN: Basically, it was omitting Heiton's car park from the requirement. Sorry, I've got the wrong one. I have shorthand here but I can't remember read my writing.

MS. WALSH: This is a proposed amendment to the objectives for the development of the former Heiton Buckley site and the wording of the Draft Plan as published indicated that there should be active commercial, sort of retail commercial use on all street 17:39 frontage and we're recommending that that be amended so it just relates to the street frontage along Castle Street, but not necessarily along Dwyer Park because that's in behind Castle Street, so you wouldn't necessarily want commercial development close to housing there.

The other amendment that the Chief Executive is recommending is in relation to the plot ratio. We had asked for -- a plot ratio is a measure of density so we 17:39 had asked for a very high plot ratio of two. That means if is a site was one hectare, which is 10,000 square metres, you'd would have to have 20,000 square metres worth of development floor space on the site. We felt this site was very suitable for high density
development. We did get a couple of submissions on that and it was suggested that that was overly prescriptive so what we suggested is that we leave it as "a very high density will be required in a three to
four-storey development" so it gives some flexibility about how that's laid out.

MR. O'BRIEN: Just to explain that a bit further. The old Heiton's car park is a little island inside that site so it's very hard to get the full density because you would be next to I think there's even a bungalow there in Dwyer Park, isn't there? We can't really get full-on density as if it was a greenfield site. MS. WALSH: Also within that proposed amendment we're dealing with the former Everest Centre, which is just north of that site. So that's again considered an opportunity site. In light of the change suggested with regard to the Heiton site, that the density shouldn't be overly prescriptive, again just change the density requirement to a high plot ratio instead of a plot ratio of two. So, again, we would expect a high density development. Development that has been previously permitted on that site was I think a four or five-storey development. So we have an expectation of higher density on those sites.
CATHAOIRLEACH: That's amendment number 3. Do I have a proposer?
CLLR. SNELL: I'11 propose it.
CATHAOIRLEACH: C11r. Behan seconded. Is that agreed? FROM THE FLOOR: Agreed.
CATHAOIRLEACH: Okay. Next Chapter 6. We'11 go to C11r. Matthews, number 3 , page 40 of the amendments. CLLR. MATTHEWS: I don't think there's any objection to this, is there?

MS. WALSH: Cathaoirleach, C11r. Matthews' amendment again is a modification to the Chief Executive's already recommended amendment number 4 and it was cllr. Matthews picking up on some errors in our recommended amendment. So we have no objection to that 17:41 one.

CLLR. FOX: It is agreed.
MR. O'bRIEN: So the Chief Executive's amendment was basically the Department of Education asked for a list of all the schools, which we did.
MS. GALLAGHER: Do I have a seconder to C11r. Matthews? CLLR. FOX: I'11 second it.
CATHAOIRLEACH: C11r. Fox. That is CE's number 4 as modified by Cl1r. Matthews' number 3 page 40 . That's agreed, is it?

FROM THE FLOOR: Agreed.
CATHAOIRLEACH: Next one then, C11r. Thornhill, number 1 page 68.
MR. O'bRIEN: This was not to now allow any driveways over access ways over open space. The Chief Executive 17:42 is against this because it takes away any flexibility. Someone could have a back garden crossing a very small bit of space and there could be another house it. we've done that quite often in planning in the past. CATHAOIRLEACH: Okay. C11r. Thornhill.
CLLR. THORNHILL: Cathaoirleach, go raibh maith agat. Thanks very much for giving me the opportunity to speak on this.

Now I understand completely where Mr. O'Brien and everyone is coming in relation to this, but I want to zone in on a particular estate. Now this estate, 18 years now this has been going up to the courts, up and down and Bord Pleanála and it involves an estate, Sidmonton Court, and there's a green area. It's an infilled site and this has been going on for a long time. One question I would like to ask anyway would be, now I know it's a very big county, but when you see something like this going on for years and years and years, because I've been contacted by the residents, I wonder has the Chief Executive been made aware of what has been going on in this particular case? Because we have a situation where this brown field site, now there's an application for four houses, four two-bedroom houses, right, and they want to break an entrance into this brown field site by going through Sidmonton Court. Now my reading of this is this, is four two-bedroom houses, that could be what, eight cars, plus services, plus the fact that you have pedestrians. So I mean like, you've have a very narrow entrance going into the estate and then you're going across a little green space. To me now it's quite clear from my previous job, you know, that this is very dangerous. You would have on-street car parking there as well. Now the thing about this estate is, you know, with these mature estates you'll have people know, you know, like sizing down, so that means you're going to have a younger population, so you're going to have an
extra younger population, and you're going to have more cars getting into a small area. Now on top of that, I don't know am I allowed to say this, but that particular brown field site, if it's built on completely, people are complaining there about -because this site, running down beside is a stream and is subject to flooding. I'm only making you aware of the whole situation because it's been going on and on and on and I'd like to know exactly what the story is. I think this shouldn't be allowed.

If there's an entrance into this brown field site it should be from the front and there is an entrance but it would be big enough for four two-bedroom houses so the whole thing should be looked at. I'm only expressing the concerns of the people that are there, whichever. But from a practical point of view I can see, and I can see it should be looked at, so, I mean, go raibh maith agat, Cathaoirleach. CATHAOIRLEACH: Cl1r. Matthews.

CLLR. MATTHEWS: Cathaoirleach, this is a land use policy and it should really deal with policy-specific issues, not specific sites. However, I do understand where cllr. Thornhill is coming from and I don't want to get into discussing individual planning applications and pieces of land, but I am suggesting, as an amendment to C11r. Thornhil1's proposal that might be more acceptable that we include a policy on open space similar to that that we have the current Bray Plan.

Sorcha might tell me now that it's already in the Wicklow Plan. I didn't get a chance to check it. But we have a policy 9.4 .5 on open space. Is that there in its exact wording in the wicklow Plan? I'11 submit it now. If it's not I'd like to propose it as an amendment to C11r. Thornhil1's amendment.

CATHAOIRLEACH: C11r. Vance.
CLLR. VANCE: Chairman, I'd oppose this for a couple of reasons. I know where C11r. Thornhill is talking about and if there is a court case going through we should not been interfering with it in any shape or form and we should not be designing a plan like this to suit any individual's case in a particular court case. This is not for this particular plan to shape something like this. I know exactly where it is and I can tell you there's a history on it and the history's not too great from the Board's point of view on this particular site. I don't think we should get involved in this at all if there is a planning application there or if there is a planning application going through the courts. This is 17:47 not for what this plan is for.
CATHAOIRLEACH: This is a general policy on open space and play objective so it shouldn't be tailored just for one for particular situation.
CLLR. VANCE: That's what I mean and that's what is being done.
MR. CURRAN: You could have a problem as well, if you have an estate developed, say Phase 1, and to build Phase 2 you could through a sma11 amount of the open
space, you could really restrict that. So you could make life very difficult for our own social housing and for other private developers.
CLLR. MATTHEWS: Can I come in on my amendment?
CATHAOIRLEACH: C11r. Thornhill.
CLLR. THORNHILL: Cathaoirleach, I would just like to make it clear to me exactly what Cllr. Matthews -CATHAOIRLEACH: C11r. Matthews, would you make clear to us all what your policy is?

CLLR. MATTHEWS: We have a current policy in the Bray plan and it's existing at the moment and that plan stil1 exists until this one is adopted. I'11 read it out and I've just submitted it there. It's extracted from this policy so it's a current policy we have. I think it would satisfy C11r. Thornhill's concerns, it would also satisfy the planner's concerns as well. We need to have a little bit of flexibility. If we're going to go for higher densities, if we're going to go for infill development, we can't be too prescriptive and too restrictive. We do have an open space policy at the moment and I'11 read it:
"It is a policy of the Council to protect, enhance, and maintain existing open space. The Council will not normally permit development that will result in the loss of public or private playing fields; parks; children's play spaces; residential amenity open spaces or land zoned for recreational or open space purposes. The Council will not normally permit development that
would result in the loss of open space within the Municipal District of Bray, except where specifically provided for in this LAP."

So I've just amended the Bray Plan to update it to the Municipal Districts LAPs and it is basically extracted from that policy. I don't think anyone could argue with it.

MS. GALLAGHER: Is there a seconder?
CLLR. BEHAN: I'11 second it.
CLLR. MATTHEWS: If c11r. Thornhill wants to second it. CLLR. THORNHILL: Cathaoirleach, do I take it so that that green space that he told me it can't be built across?
CLLR. MATTHEWS: we have a policy of protecting open space now but there's a level of flexibility where it's needed.
CLLR. VANCE: There has to be a level of flexibility. ms. GALLAGHER: Could I have a seconder for Cl1r. Thornhill's proposal in the first instance?
CLLR. FORTUNE: I will.
CATHAOIRLEACH: Could I just ask that it's read out again because I'm not fully up to speed with it. I'm sure a lot of Members aren't either because it's just come out of the blue here and we haven't had a chance

CLLR. MATTHEWS: Do you want to circulate it and we'11 move on to the next thing?
CATHAOIRLEACH: If you just read it out maybe.

MR. O'BRIEN: We have an amendment from
C11r. Thornhill. We don't want to be taking new amendments on the day. Is C11r. Thornhill going to accept your amendment?

CLLR. THORNHILL: Yeah.
17:50
CATHAOIRLEACH: He is, yeah. Just read it out because I'm just trying to get my head around it. Just read it out slowly, please.
CLLR. MATTHEWS: "It is a policy of this Council to protect, enhance and maintain existing open space. The 17:50 Council will not normally permit development that will result in the loss of public or private playing fields; parks; children's play spaces; residential amenity open spaces; or land zoned for recreational or open space purposes. The Council will not that normally permit development that would result in a loss of open space within the Municipal District of Bray, except where specifically provided for in this LAP."
CATHAOIRLEACH: Has anyone any comment on that?
CLLR. MATTHEWS: It's a policy we have under the current plan at the moment and it's worked well to date.

MR. O'BRIEN: The plan is saying "except where provided for in this plan" so basically there is no flexibility. CLLR. MATTHEWS: "Not normally" is the flexibility and we have in our land use zonings we have permitted and, what's the word for it? Not normally permitted, considered.

MS. WALSH: Don't anymore, no.

CLLR. MATTHEWS: we used to.
MR. CURRAN: You see what you need to allow for is phasing of developments. You'11 have Phase 1, you'11 build it out. You'11 need the access road --
CLLR. MATTHEWS: Well then remove -- let me think about 17:51 that for a moment.

MR. O'BRIEN: There's many developments, especially in Greystones now, in my estate, Redford Park, where someone has got either a back garden or other land and they come across, I mean you might call it a wide grass 17:52 verge or a liberal open space and it's useful to get a couple of houses in here and there and it doesn't really take away from the open space. In particular, one in case, $I$ actually wouldn't call it open space anyway, I'd call it a grass verge because it's on each 17:52 side of a footpath. But we're talking about generalities rather than specifics.
CLLR. MATTHEWS: Okay, we11 remove the "except where specifically provided for in this LAP" and then we adopt a policy that we've had in place for years. Is 17:52 that acceptable?

CATHAOIRLEACH: okay.
CLLR. VANCE: I'11 second that.
CATHAOIRLEACH: Okay. That's agreed then.
MS. GALLAGHER: Sorry, remove the wording? 17:52
CLLR. MATTHEWS: Sorry, we can remove that last bit.
MS. GALLAGHER: "Except where specifically provided" in the last sentence?

CLLR. MATTHEWS: The last sentence can be removed.

CLLR. SNELL: Can we have a copy of that, Cathaoirleach, it is quite confusing. I would go back to C11r. Thornhill's suggestion there. while I share his concerns I certainly don't think this Chamber should be getting involved in anything that's already in front of the judicial system. But there is provision within what the Chief Executive's saying in regards to planning law and, you know, they're talking about that they would obvious7y not create a traffic hazard. So people have the provision through individual cases to take a case to An Bord Pleanála. They can make observations through the normal planning procedures. Traffic hazard is something that would be, obviously, primary to any planning application. I think C11r. Thornhill should perhaps look at that and withdraw it.

CATHAOIRLEACH: It's been dealt with now. Okay. Chapter 7, and I'm just conscious, like we're on7y an hour off 7:00 o'clock now.

CLLR. MATTHEWS: We'11 get there. we'11 get there. CATHAOIRLEACH: C11r. Matthews, you say "we'11 get there", you're the main culprit here! It wouldn't be the first time a Green Councillor brought lots of amendments late in the evening! Page 34, C11r. Fox. CLLR. FOX: That was the Kilmac one. That's dealt with.

CATHAOIRLEACH: No, page 34, the Dargle Walk.
CLLR. FOX: Sorry. what about Chapter 7?
CATHAOIRLEACH: That's what I'm talking about, Chapter
7. Page 34 of the amendments.

CLLR. FOX: There is an objective in the Draft Plan to have a walkway along the Dargle up toward Rehill's land which I fundamentally agree with, however at one particular point it impacts quite severely on a few landowners' gardens, a few household gardens. I have just inserted that it would be done in agreement with affected landowners, okay.
MS. GALLAGHER: Do we have a seconder?
MR. O'BRIEN: The Chief Executive is against this because if it's in agreement with landowner it means you have a power of veto on the thing. If it's in consultation --

CLLR. FOX: That means you have full the power to consult and then you go ahead anyway.
MR. O'BRIEN: We11, if there's agreement then they've a power of veto on it. This is actually -- I mean this is a general thing, anyway the one you're talking about, we actually own the land. CLLR. FOX: But it's through a garden.
MR. O'BRIEN: But it's our land. Haven't put a fence up yet.
CLLR. FOX: what I suggest is, put this out on display and we can come to some agreement.
MR. O'BRIEN: To be honest, if we're actually saying on 17:55 our land that that we won't to do something with it, which has been a policy of the Bray Plan for a decade or two, we're basically giving landowners the right to veto an entire walkway.

CLLR. FOX: My experience is that most walkways when they're developed are done so most successfully when there's consultation and agreement reached with 1andowners. If you don't reach agreement it generally doesn't happen for starters. So, look, I'm not happy to support what's in the plan if we can't consult an and agree with landowners. I think it's a very dangerous precedent.
CATHAOIRLEACH: C11r. Matthews.
CLLR. MATTHEWS: Chairman, I understand where C11r. Fox 17:56 it is coming on this and he's probably done more work on walkways and landowners than anyone else in the room here so I respect and I take his position on it. But it's a strip of land, it's actually publicly owned lands and CPO'd as part of the Dargle flood defences and there was money paid for that land. It's been an objective of our plan for a long, long time to make most use of the Dargle River. Now there's no way I would support going through private land without the proper legal consent of the landowner. But what we're doing here is we're going on public land and I've no problem consulting with the people most affected by this, who'd be the people who'd be closest to it but we're talking about providing something for the greater good and for the greater population to enjoy, rather
than three or four back gardens that come on down to the river. We've lost our two-hectare linear park and we're looking here now at also breaking up the river walk. I just think you've got to think of the greater
good and not just think of one or two people, that may have an issue but I think we can resolve it and we can resolve it well.

CATHAOIRLEACH: Cllr. Snell.
CLLR. SNELL: Cathaoirleach, the wording here is "in agreement with affected landowners" so if the Local Authority or anyone else it's the landowner I'm sure you're going to give consensus to yourself? mR. CURRAN: You could argue that the adjacent landowners are affected. I don't know, unless you want 17:58 something in consultation with them and that ensures that there's full consultation with everybody that's affected because it gets very restricted then. If it's cast iron it has to be agreed.
CLLR. FOX: so some of the land in question goes through people's back gardens, I mean within ten metres of the family home.
CATHAOIRLEACH: It may not be the legal landowner but it's effectively their back garden.
CLLR. FOX: I just think it's a good practice to consult and agree something with them. There are various options on both sides of the river so I think an agreement can be reached.
CATHAOIRLEACH: Cllr. vance.
CLLR. VANCE: Chairman, I had the experience a few years ago in regard rights of way and walks and stuff like that and I always felt actually the most successful way you could do it would be to sit down with landowners and try and discuss, you know, various
things like that in regard to that and were talking about walks in Wicklow and various things. So really and truly it can be very difficult given landowner ownership and everything else. You can't browbeat people into doing particular things. I'd prefer a system with consultation that you go and talk to people. I think you get a lot more out of it than that. I don't like the word "affected" by the way, you know.
CATHAOIRLEACH: okay. Cl1r. Ryan.
CLLR. RYAN: I just back up what the chief Executive said. I think in consultation it's fine. I think it's really important they're consulted but I don't think you can have the situation where they can say, no, good luck.
CATHAOIRLEACH: Cllr. Behan.
CLLR. SHAY CULLEN: Can we change that wording?
CLLR. BEHAN: Cathaoirleach, if you just think about the wording. what $\mathrm{Cl1r}$. Fox is saying is "the agreement of the landowner". Now there may be a dispute about who owns the land but if someone is the landowner, you can't actually come in and create a walkway without their agreement. So I don't see anything wrong with the wording that c11r. Fox has put in. There may be a question, the Council might argue they're the landowner. Well the landowner thinks they're the landowner, that's fine. But the wording shouldn't really cause anybody a problem. I think what cl1r. Fox has proposed, if it's a genuine landowner.

CATHAOIRLEACH: It's affected landowners so you could be landowner adjacent to the walk and you're affected so you have to be consulted as well, which is fine, too.

CLLR. BEHAN: I'11 support C11r. Fox.
CATHAOIRLEACH: C11r. whitmore.
CLLR. WHITMORE: I'm just wondering whether even adding something like "as far as practical" there would soften it and provide that bit of flexibility.

CLLR. SHAY CULLEN: I want to concur with Cllr. Behan
there. I think you have to reach agreement with the landowner in question. You can't just decide to go through somebody's back garden without coming to some sort of an agreement. I mean it is difficult enough to change the wording on that. I definitely think if you've no agreement you've no deal. It's as simple as that. I mean is there anyway, Chris, that we can maybe amend the wording a little?
CLLR. FOX: If this goes on display we have an opportunity to amend the wording. If it doesn't go out 18:01 on display --

CATHAOIRLEACH: Christy, you don't want to take out the word "affected", that obviously would weaken it. CLLR. FOX: What I'm saying is if we don't agree to put in additional wording we can't revisit it.
MR. O'BRIEN: If take out "affected" and just put 1andowner.

CLLR. VANCE: Agreement with landowners I think -CLLR. FOX: I'm happy to stick with "affected".

CATHAOIRLEACH: You want to stick with "affected". will we go for a vote on it then?
CLLR. MATTHEWS: Chairman, is it wise to be putting in something like "affected landowners"? "Affected landowners" is such a broad term to use. I mean I could claim to be affected by it living two miles up the road. okay.
CATHAOIRLEACH: Because you take your dog for a walk down by the river. Yeah.

CLLR. MATTHEWS: It's like planning observations and say I will be affected by this. Look, I wouldn't support doing anything that goes through people's private land, unless they're in agreement but this is public land. If it is in consultation, because remember this is for the benefit of the entire community. The entire community should be consulted on it. It shouldn't be able to vetoed by people whose property comes near to it and it's not private property, it's public land, which we paid money for. CATHAOIRLEACH: Anyway, C11r. Fox has a proposa1. I think we could talk all day about this because it's such a contentious thing. There's no black and white on it. Cllr. Fox has proposal and we'11 go with a vote.

MS. GALLAGHER: Proposed by C11r. Fox and seconded by
C11r. Sne11. [VOTE TAKEN] That's 20 for; four against; seven not present; and one abstaining. CATHAOIRLEACH: Okay, next item is Cllr. Matthews' amendment number 4 , page 42 . He's grabbing a bit of
water there.
CLLR. MATTHEWS: Is this the amenity order? I brought this up before. I brought it up at the County Development Plan time and I brought it up at the Planning SPC so all the Members will be familiar with my arguments on this. However, we have a new Chief Executive now so I just want to give the Chief Executive the benefit of my argument as well! okay. So look it's going to be very brief and I think I know where most of the Members stand on it.

Chief Executive, I've a proposal in there to implement the order created by the Ministerial Order which was given to us in 2008 and the reason I do it is I want to protect the amenity of the Great and Little Sugar Loafs and prevent urban generated development sprawl of the lower slopes of the Sugar Loaf and that's what areas of special amenity are designed for and that's why the order was issued.

Just briefly, Chief, the order was made by the Minister - I'm sure you have been briefed on this but I'd like you to hear my version of it - the order was made by the Minister to create an area of special amenity as per an objective we had in the Wicklow Plan and that was done under Section 202 of the Act, Part II. Wicklow failed to implement the order and have so far failed to implement the order. At the same time as the order was made, they carried out the process to create
an area of special amenity by Council Resolution, which is the same part of the Act but a different subsection, and that failed to pass a vote.

In your report, Chief Executive, you suggest that the order would not withstand a judicial review. This may be right but it's never been tested. The findings of the scientific study carried out for and paid for by the Department at the time don't seem to support this view either. I'm asking that -- what I'm asking through this proposal is that we either proceed to implement the order and comply with the Act, or that if Councillors would be agreeable, we would go through the Council Resolution process again and I'm open to sitting down with any Councillors who would have concerns about it, and looking at maps that we think might be agreeable to all of us. We can do it through the resolution process.

The Local Area Plan, we've said we've got thousands of submissions on it. It has raised this issue again. People out there, this was six or seven years ago. People are now realising that this type of urban generated pressure is going to contain on the slopes of the Sugar Loaf and we may not have it this time but in six years we'll be looking at it again. I think times have changed a little bit and $I$ think people are a little bit more aware and they're more aware of housing needs and that sprawl is no longer acceptable, and I'm
not -- what I'm trying to do is I'm seeking to protect the area but I also respect local development needs. I'm asking the Members to support me on this objective. Thank you, Cathaoirleach.
CATHAOIRLEACH: Members, I'd just like to say that we have a lot of amendments still to go. Cl1r. Matthews, you've 11 more amendments to go, a lot of others Members have loads of amendments. You know, I was hoping to finish this by 7:00 but the way we're going not a hope. Cllr. Fox.
CLLR. FOX: Thank you, Chairman, I'11 be brief. Look, I'm totally opposed to this SAO. This was something mooted by John Gormley when he was Minister for the Environment, who, in may opinion, was the most anti rural politician in the history of the State. I don't say that lightly. When this was a proposal it shut down one-off housing in Kilmacanogue, okay. Now, C 11 r . Matthews has already removed all zoning and voted against all zoning within Kilmacanogue village and now seeks to shut down one-off housing as well in the hinterland of kilmacanogue.

We voted this down in 2011 or maybe it was even after that. There is huge opposition in Kilmacanogue to the implementation of an SAO. There is adequate protection 18:09 on both Sugar Loafs, through current planning legislation, but it doesn't just confine itself to the Sugar Loafs. They automatically become listed views and prospects and covers a huge area of 1and. There is
a user group on the Great Sugar Loaf which ranges from recreational users to farmers, to all types of users and they are nearly all, to a man and a woman, opposed to the implementation of an SAO. When we had this hanging over us as a proposal, planning became an nightmare in the area and the implementation of an SAO, while it's probably appropriate for an area like Bray Head, it is not appropriate for getting into the rural countryside and into places like the Great Sugar Loaf. I am appealing to Members to reject this and not 18:10 put this into the Draft Plan.

CATHAOIRLEACH: Do you have a seconder, C11r. Matthews? CLLR. KAVANAGH: I'11 second it.

CATHAOIRLEACH: You second C11r. Matthews' proposal.
okay. Can we have a vote? Do you want to speak, Shay? 18:10 CLLR. SHAY CULLEN: I just want to -- I certainly want to agree from the point of view of rural planning with C11r. Fox. I mean it's difficult enough at the moment with rural planning. I mean, it's obvious enough we have protection of the Little Sugar Loaf as is. I mean 18:11 we want to continue develop walking trails but by putting this SAO on this area I mean it certainly won't stop that. I mean I'm obviously pro rural planning and I certain7y don't want a situation where we can have an issue with planning regulation in the Kilmac rural area 18:11 so for that reason $I$ 'm certainly voting against this. Thank you.

CATHAOIRLEACH: C11r. Kavanagh, did you press your button?

CLLR. KAVANAGH: Sorry, I can't hear you. I just want to support C11r. Matthews' motion because anything that offers protection to the Sugar Loaf as far as I'm concerned can only be a good thing. It should be preserved anyway. It's one of the great icons of Wicklow County. while I would have no problem with any kind of development within a distance of the mountain I would just hate to see anything encroaching towards the mountain and becoming a blot on the landscape. That's why I'm supporting that.

CATHAOIRLEACH: C11r. Kennedy.
CLLR. KENNEDY: Thank you, Cathaoirleach. I think the Little Sugar Loaf is protected. I certainly couldn't support C11r. Matthews. Sorry, Stephen, I couldn't support that. As a rural Councillor it's hard enough to get planning in the rural area and I honestly believe people who were born and reared in a rural area have a right to live in a rural area.

CATHAOIRLEACH: C11r. Fortune.
CLLR. FORTUNE: Just a clarification. when we talk about the Sugar Loaf, rural development and everything else, what are we talking about? Are we talking about building up on the Sugar Loaf or are talking away from it? what are we talking about?
CLLR. MATTHEWS: Chairman, can I just make a point on it? Creating an area of special amenity, creating the order doesn't stop development. It just does not prohibit development, it restricts it and it makes it much more --

CLLR. RUTTLE: It's bad enough already.
CLLR. MATTHEWS: It just makes it much more in sympathy with the landscape that it's in and the needs. It doesn't stop it at a11. It's a myth to say that an SAO prevents development. It doesn't.

CATHAOIRLEACH: C11r. Vance.
CLLR. MATTHEWS: Look, I know the sentiment. I just wanted the Chief Executive to be aware, to hear my argument and if he's satisfied we're compliant with the Act.

CATHAOIRLEACH: C11r. Vance, $I$ want to go for a vote now because 1 'm getting a bit tired of all the -CLLR. VANCE: To be fair to the Planning Department here, nobody could say that they don't protect the Big Sugar Loaf and areas like that as well. But really an SAO order is an absolute -- I can tell you now, it restricts, it makes it practically impossible even for local people to get any type of development in there, and I mean any type of development. It's very difficult. It's not like Bray Head. There's an awful
lot of farms and all on this area and an awful lot of people live up that area. As far as I'm concerned, I voted against this in 2011 and I'11 vote against it again tonight.
CATHAOIRLEACH: Okay. I'm going for a vote. I'm not taking anymore.
MS. GALLAGHER: Proposed by C11r. Matthews and seconded by C11r. Kavanagh. [VOTE TAKEN] That's two for; 19 against; seven not present; and four abstaining.

CATHAOIRLEACH: Chapter 8, C11r. Behan, page 6, number 2. I'm going take that first and then I have got four CE amendments as well. C11r. Behan, would you like to propose that?

CLLR. BEHAN: Cathaoirleach, it's not really a major issue. I think the Chief Executive is not ruling out park and ride facilities. I was just trying to point out two locations where $I$ felt it would be suitable. One is Fassaroe and the other is the Dell site. Fassaroe because it's on the outskirts of Bray and Dell because it's on the entrance into Bray from Greystones. I suppose I was just trying to point in those directions but I'm happy to withdraw it if they can be considered in the fullness of time. CATHAOIRLEACH: Okay. Is that agreed by Members?

FROM THE FLOOR: Agreed.
CATHAOIRLEACH: On Chapter 8 we've four amendments from the CE. Would you like to go through them?
MR. O'BRIEN: I don't know if you really want to go through them.

MS. WALSH: 23 of the Chief Executive's report.
MR. O'BRIEN: what these are, they're the NTA asked us to do stuff. They want to reference the transport study and they want to reference some standards they have. A lot of it is just stuff that is pro cycling
recommend you accept them.

CLLR. MATTHEWS: Proposed.
MS. GALLAGHER: Seconded?

CATHAOIRLEACH: C11r. Fox.
MR. O'BRIEN: That's numbers 5, 6, 7 and 8.
CATHAOIRLEACH: C11r. Matthews, back to you.
CLLR. MATTHEWS: we have done that.
CATHAOIRLEACH: No, you're number 5, page 46.
MR. O'BRIEN: These are our architectural conservation areas. It is proposed at three general areas; one is Quinsboro, Eglinton, Florence; the other is the Bray Seafront; and the other is Sidmonton, Meath Road and a few others.

CLLR. MATTHEWS: Yeah.
MR. O'BRIEN: The CE is against it on the basis that it does take away flexibility and we have over 100 listed houses in these areas already so we feel that we've catered for the most deserving of the houses and that an ACA can actually inhibit other development.

CATHAOIRLEACH: Okay. C11r. Matthews, it's your proposal, do you want to say something on that? CLLR. MATTHEWS: Yeah, just briefly. Cathaoirleach, like we have ACAs throughout Wicklow. We have them in Wicklow, Greystones, Blessington, Rathdrum, Tinahely, Delgany, Dunlavin and Enniskerry, and all for good reason and it doesn't impede development in those areas. What I'm trying to do is support a number of policies we have. We have peppered through this plan a 18:18 number of policies on protecting the heritage of the town, protecting and enhancing the heritage and environment and the historic street patterns. To protect features that contribute to the town's overal1
appearance and heritage value, and to support protection, enhancement of victorian building stock. What I'm attempting to do here is I'm just trying to prevent further deterioration in our heritage building stock and instill some sort of civic pride in it. we've beautiful architecture in the town but it's starting to deteriorate and quite rapidly. I want to put this out on public display for the public to view it and I'd like to get their views on it. I'm willing to look at any of the boundaries that were submitted and actually looking at some of the maps I think there is some that we could pull on.

As regards the building stock, as the manager says, a lot of these buildings are included in the record of protected structures. You'd be aware that there's a number of unauthorised developments in Bray at the moment, on buildings that are included in the list of protected structures. It doesn't prevent people carrying out this work and often it's too late to try and rectify it. I think when they know that the enforcement is -- enforcement can be slow. We eventually get there, but it can be very slow and the damage is done at that stage.

So it doesn't stop development but it might make applicants think a little bit more closely about the impacts of the development on the architecture. Surely if we're trying to lift the whole economic centre of
the town with the Florentine, we should also to do our best to try and maintain the architectural heritage that we have at the moment. I'm asking the Members to support that we would go out to public consultation on this and see what the views of the public are on it. Thanks, Cathaoirleach.
CATHAOIRLEACH: C11r. Vance and then I'm going for a vote.

CLLR. VANCE: Chairman, I'd oppose this because this is far too wide an area altogether. It's practically the whole east ward in Bray, this is. And it does restrict. An architectural heritage area does restrict. Also, there are a huge amount of listed buildings in this area and rightly so. You've various houses that are all listed all around there. So there is listed houses there and I can tell you now, that causes a lot of people a lot of grief as well. So to get an architectural, you know, conservation area, I'm talking about the Seafront and all as well. I mean there's a huge amount taken in, it's far too wide altogether. Far too wide.

CATHAOIRLEACH: Do I have a seconder for Cl1r. Matthews? C11r. Behan. Okay, vote. [Vote taken]
mS. GALLAGHER: That's six for; 14 against; ten not present; and two abstaining.
CATHAOIRLEACH: The next item, cllr. Matthews again, page 48, number 6.
CLLR. MATTHEWS: Cathaoirleach, because we have
protected trees listed in the plan I thought it was something we did as part of the plan but the planners have clarified it for me and they have a list of trees that they are going to consider for tree protection orders after this plan so it probably has no relevance to this plan.

CATHAOIRLEACH: Do you want to withdraw it then?
CLLR. MATTHEWS: Yeah. I'd like them included in the list and I think Sorcha has agreed to do that. CATHAOIRLEACH: That's withdrawn. Okay. CE's recommendations number 9 and 10, Chapter 9. MR. O'BRIEN: This came from the Department. CLLR. BEHAN: what page. MS. WALSH: Page 27 of the Chief Executive's report. MR. O'BRIEN: This came from the Department of Community, Heritage and Gaeltacht. They want to correct in stead of "guidelines" they want to put "statutory guidelines" and the year. It's just a technical change. In number 10 --
CATHAOIRLEACH: Number 9, is that agreed?
MS. GALLAGHER: Proposer and seconder.
CLLR. WINTERS: I propose it.
CLLR. SNELL: I second it.
MS. GALLAGHER: Is that agreed?
FROM THE FLOOR: Agreed.
CATHAOIRLEACH: Okay. Number 10.
MR. O'BRIEN: Number 10 is just some strengthening of promoting the preservation of trees, where it can simply (inaudible) with sound operational cultural
management is one of them. The other one is the exact routes of these greenways would be subject to ecological and appropriate assessment. So they're not very onerous.

CLLR. WINTERS: I propose.
MS. GALLAGHER: Seconded by C11r. whitmore.
CATHAOIRLEACH: Is that agreed?
FROM THE FLOOR: Agreed.
CATHAOIRLEACH: Okay, Chapter 10. We're into the specific development areas. We've obviously covered the golf course. We start off with Fassaroe. CLLR. BEHAN: Chairman, just on a point of order. You might remember a few hours ago I proposed a Suspension of Standing Orders. Now, I notice Mr. Quirke has been probably sitting waiting on that issue since, in
fairness to him. Now I don't mind, if you haven't got time to deal with it, deferring it to the February meeting. In fairness to him there's no need for him to be sitting waiting, or maybe he could come back to me. Again it is an important issue and it is going to affect all of us, this public lighting problem. I don't mind waiting till the next meeting if maybe there would be no progress on it then.
CATHAOIRLEACH: We won't take it today then we'11 take it the next day. Fassaroe, page 7. C11r. Behan, your amendment.
MR. O'BRIEN: Cathaoirleach, there's three here just to put it in context. As the result of a submission from Roadstone, who felt that they weren't getting as good a
(inaudible) out of the system as Cosgraves, we did look at it again and we did need to zone more to get our numbers of housing up. So there's a quite a large amendment from the Manager. Then Cl1r. Behan is coming in then to change some housing to open space and then cllr. Vance has come in with, shall we say a far less radical to change housing to open space.

Certainly take it but I'm just putting the context. Cl1r. Behan's may well be an amendment to the Chief Executive's amendment.

CATHAOIRLEACH: What's the third one? we just have one from Cllr. Behan, one from CE and what's the third one? MR. O'bRIEN: From Cllr. Vance.
CATHAOIRLEACH: Yeah, that cam in later. okay.
Cllr. Behan, did you want to speak on your one? CLLR. BEHAN: I'11 go very quickly. It's on page 7 of the Councillor amendments and there are four points there, but you can take out point 3 because we've dealt with it.

What I'm really saying, there are about 400 acres in this site altogether and it's basically a combination of two landowners; Roadstone and Cosgraves. What the Council are doing in the present plan is effectively zoning about 49 acres out of that 400. 50 acres, one eighth as open space. Within that then there's going to be hopefully active open space where you would have sporting facilities and so on, but there may also be
additional kind of rolling hills, if you like, that aren't necessarily going to be usable. Now, if we decide -- like the whole focus of this site is changing from what originally would have been a plan for having an industrial site there to a mixture of housing and employment. And that's fine and I agree with that. But I also feel that as a result of that rezoning we are going to make that site incredibly valuable with the amount of housing we are proposing for it. As we are going to get had opportunity now - and we won't ever get this opportunity again - to try and set out a few markers on it, this was one of the markers I wanted to put down. Because we are talking about, realistically, almost a new town on that site. I'm looking to try and increase the amount of open space that would be available from the 50 acres to 100 acres. Now, I'm not saying that eventually all of that will be needed but if we don't zone it now, as part of this rezoning, we won't be able to do it later because the rest of the 1 and will be up for development effectively.

Now we all know already there's a deficit in the Municipal District of open space, active open space for the many sporting organisations that we represent, not only in the Town of Bray but also in Enniskerry and Kilmacanogue. But there will also be possibly a community of 10,000 people living on this site as well. And they're going to have children who are going to be
involved in sporting groups and organisations and they're also going to have a need to have proper parkland, places to walk, places for recreation as well. So there are two distinct needs here and while the Director or the Manager is saying, well, it's too much of a burden to put on a builder to be expecting them to look after the needs of the Municipal District, I believe this land is going to be hugely valuable as a result of a decision we're going to make here now in a few minutes. And we should try and secure, as much as possible, so that we'11 have facilities for the people we represent now and the people who will live there in the future.

So that's really point number one, Cathaoirleach.
CATHAOIRLEACH: Okay. Do I have a seconder?
C11r. O'Brien. Anyone else want to speak?
CLLR. VANCE: Chairman, $I$ have an amendment in here. What are we going to do? How are we going to do this? I mean my amendment is in response to the Manager's answer to C11r. Behan. I mean I think it's fair to say that if I thought it was possible to get 100 acres off landowners out of approximately 350 acres that they have available, I'll jump at it in the morning. But I have to be realistic and this is all about delivery and 18:30 it's all about delivery of sporting facilities and recreational facilities in this particular area, as quickly as we possibly can. what I noticed in the Manager's answer to C11r. Behan was that he said that

22 hectares were needed and I had proposed 20 hectares. My amendment is for 22 hectares to go along with what the Manager's recommendation is as well and to put the phasing in because in the plan that the Manager had the phasing was in phasing 2 and phasing 3 to give the recreation plan. By moving it up to Phase 1, it effectively fast tracks it in a much more realistic manner than I believe Cl1r. Behan's.

Now I understand where c11r. Behan is coming from as well and I know there's a huge demand for this because it was me who asked to put this in the Plan in the first place. When it went in the Draft Plan, there was actually very few people even made any comments on the recreational part until it came up in the Draft Plan and then suddenly people started to realise that it was a popular issue around the place; we needed it extremely badly; and it's going to cater for a huge amount of sports and recreation people as well. And not alone that, there's other acreage there as well. There's about 30 acres other than that as well on the outskirts which would be a passive type of open space. so in this of about 350 acres, with the proposal that I have, there's probably about 75 acres that you could say is open space, most of it is active open space. I think a realistic approach to this is my proposal in that regard to improving the 20 hectares to 22 hectares and moving up to phasing as well and I think that will deliver this plan. It's a much more realistic way of
delivering this plan for the people that find it very necessary and it's really nearly an emergency situation in North wicklow at this stage. But I fear that under C11r. Behan's plan that effectively it would scupper the whole thing and that's my fear in regard to that. Thank you.

CATHAOIRLEACH: The way I'm going to take the vote is I've to take your amendment first, apparently, is the way I have to take the vote. C11r. Matthews.
CLLR. MATTHEWS: Chairman, can I just ask, regardless of how much recreational land or whatever zoning we're going to do in Fassaroe, it would seem to be very much dependent on getting the transport study right and I'm just wondering where is that at the moment? Also, I just saw a note that because we weren't reaching population targets, an extra 7.5 hectares of land were proposed to be rezoned up in Fassaroe. Could you just point out where that is, please, Cathaoirleach? Thank you.
MR. CURRAN: Just to answer that. The NTA have produced a draft report for Bray which I'm sure we can circulate now.

CLLR. MATTHEWS: You are going to circulate it?
MR. O'BRIEN: It is out for consultation with TII, Dun Laoghaire and Wicklow County Council so I suppose it goes back to the NTA then who will presumably do a more final draft.

CLLR. MATTHEWS: Consultation with the engineers, is it?

MR. O'BRIEN: It was only out with the people involved. There is was Steering Group on it and it is being released to those first of all.

MR. CURRAN: Look, as soon as we can we'11 get a presentation ready for the Municipal Districts.

CLLR. MITCHELL: I would be interested in seeing what is in that plan.

CATHAOIRLEACH: C11r. Fox.
CLLR. FOX: Certainly it is a bit of a balancing act to get the amount of open space right but I actually think the phasing element of it as well is not to be underestimated. I would agree with C11r. Vance on the amount of open space. I'm open to correction on that but I think it probably strikes a good balance at 22 hectares, but the phasing is absolutely critical because the community gain has to be got at the start of this development. The last thing we want is for developers to come in, put up a lot of houses, a lot of units, residential units, and then for the community element, the sporting campus, the school, what have you, not to follow on stream. So the phasing is critical. So I had put up in a proposal which was along the lines of C11r. Vance so $I$ was happy to second C11r. Vance's proposal and I think it strikes a good balance. Thanks, Chairman.

CATHAOIRLEACH: C11r. Behan.
CLLR. BEHAN: Just on a point of order. I submitted an amendment actually myself in time before Christmas, the other one came last week.

CATHAOIRLEACH: I'm going to take your one first. Apart from the timing I'm going to take your one first because it's a completely separate one, unless you're agreeable to C11r. Vance's amendment, which you're not. CLLR. BEHAN: No, I'm certainly not.

CLLR. FOX: Can I just say, it came to light from me from the response to C11r. Behan's amendment. So, you know, I thank him for that because the Chief Executive made a comment in response to c11r. Behan's proposal and that's why $I$ put it in late because it only came to my attention when $I$ read the Chief Executive's response to C11r. Behan's amendment.

CATHAOIRLEACH: Okay. C11r. whitmore.
CLLR. WHITMORE: It was just in relation to the timing. It's okay.
CATHAOIRLEACH: I'm suggesting then I take
C11r. Behan's proposal first and then I take
C11r. Vance's amendment to the CE's amendment.
CLLR. BEHAN: Cathaoirleach, the timing is essential as well and I have the timing as part of number 4 on my motion.

CATHAOIRLEACH: There's no issue with yours being taken first.

CLLR. BEHAN: We are just taking number 1 of mine or are you taking the four of them?
CATHAOIRLEACH: Taking your number 3.
CLLR. BEHAN: The main proposal which is the hundred acres versus the 50 but I'm proposing 100 acres, page 7 , number, point 1.

CATHAOIRLEACH: It's called your number 3 for some reason on by spreadsheet.
MS. GALLAGHER: Your amendment 3 of the day, I would say.

CLLR. BEHAN: That's fine.
CLLR. VANCE: It is for the 100 acres now.
CATHAOIRLEACH: This is Cllr.' Bean's proposal on page 7 , seconded by C11r. Oliver 0'Brien, page 7 of
Cllr. Behan's. It is notified as Cllr. Behan number 3.
MR. CURRAN: The Chief Executive's report is there
obviously saying that you're going way above the 2.4 hectares per one thousand population, which you'd usually have. And also, it makes it less attractive for developers. That's the reality of it.

CATHAOIRLEACH: Take a vote.
[VOTE TAKEN]
MS. GALLAGHER: That's six for; 15 against; and 11 not present.

CATHAOIRLEACH: I am now taking Cllr. Vance's amendment to the CE's proposal.

MS. GALLAGHER: That was seconded by?
MS. WALSH: C11r. Fox.
CATHAOIRLEACH: C11r. Fox. We'11 have a vote. [VOTE TAKEN]

MS. GALLAGHER: 21 for; and 11 not present.
CATHAOIRLEACH: The next topic is Kilruddery. The first proposal Cllr. Behan, page 13. J Behan number 4. CLLR. BEHAN: Okay, Cathaoirleach. There are three different parts to this. I know people don't want to
be delayed but I'11 cut to the chase. The first part that I'm objecting to is that there was a plan, as part of the manager's plan there was a proposal to build 250 housing units up to practically the top of vegetation on the sugar Loaf, so effectively almost up to the rock 18:41 face. I believe it's completely unacceptable and it was certainly very strongly opposed by many people who live on the Southern Cross, who, apart from all the other arguments about the visual amenity and the way it will affect the whole visual amenity of the Sugar Loaf, 18:41 is the fact that they believe they've been seriously let down by lack of facilities and infrastructure on the Southern Cross already. They're also concerned about potential flooding from that site if it is developed as well. My understanding is that a number of Councillors who attended a public meeting on this were also certainly in agreement with my view on that and they said that at the meeting when many residents attended. So I'm proposing that that particular residential zoning be taken out of the plan.
CATHAOIRLEACH: Do I have a seconder? C11r. whitmore MR. O'BRIEN: There are three motions here all overlapping on the same.
CLLR. VANCE: I think my proposal is, well it's very similar to that in that sense. It proposes that we take that out as well.
MR. O'bRIEN: But you're adding in Foggy Field?
CLLR. VANCE: I am, yes.
MR. O'briEN: And then cllr. Matthews is the same as
yours and he's putting a height limit on it. CLLR. MATTHEWS: I'm putting contour limit on it. MR. O'bRIEN: A contour limit, excuse me. CLLR. MATTHEWS: And also if you just go back to Cl1r. Behan's. Where the employment zone in
Cl1r. Behan's one is to the rear of Hollybrook, I looked for that employment zone to be actually tapered back so it's not as close to the rear of the residential properties. So I think -- in my proposal, which is similar to the cllr. Vance on the Foggy Field and similar to Cllr. Behan's in terms of removing the R20, you bring that section back. If we could adopt the employment zone that is in myself and Cllr. Vance's.
CLLR. BeHAN: I don't understand what you're talking about.

CLLR. MATTHEWS: Sorcha might be able to explain. MS. WALSH: C11r. Matthews' and Vance's proposal also includes an alteration to this area I'm highlighting in purple here, in that it shifts this employment zone
further away from these houses here. whereas in Cl1r. Behan's proposal it leaves it as it is in the Draft Plant but it omits the residential land. So C11r. Vance's and C11r. Matthews' proposal would cover what cllr. Behan is effectively proposing, which is further and changes this employment zone. However their proposal doesn't include the designating of this green belt buffer behind the employment zone. Their
proposal is just to leave that white, just to dezone it.

CLLR. WINTERS: What's that purple bit? what are they changing it to?
MS. WALSH: I'11 scroll on and show you the next map. 18:44 That's as it is in the Draft Plan and there's an extra green triangle there which separates that purple zone from those houses there.
CLLR. VANCE: Separates it from -Mr. o'brien: And then the r20.
MS. WALSH: Their proposal also includes compensation for this loss here with zoning of this field over here known as Foggy Field, which is at the junction of the Greystones Road and the Bray Southern Cross Road. CLLR. BEHAN: If I can clarify, Cathaoirleach. The 18:45 area that C11r. Vance and C11r. Matthews are talking about zoning as industrial is the area behind he existing business park. Is that correct?
CLLR. MATTHEWS: Yes, correct.
CLLR. BEHAN: And at the moment is that zoned for
residential?
MS. WALSH: No. In the old plan it was. In the Draft Plan this is how it is zoned. This is the map from the Draft Plan, the one on the top here on the screen. So in the Draft Plan you have an employment block here, broken by a stream and a narrow green buffer between it and the existing housing estate there. Their proposal maintains the employment zone but sets it back further from this housing area here.

CLLR. VANCE: From Hollybrook.
CLLR. BEHAN: Is it new zoning?
MS. WALSH: No, it's the same employment zone that's in the Draft Plan.
CLLR. BEHAN: But in the previous Bray Plan, the Bray Environs Plan, is it new to that?

MS. WALSH: In the previous Bray Environs Plan there was no land zoned employment at this location. MR. O'BRIEN: It was residential.

CLLR. BEHAN: That was my first question. Is that what 18:46 was residential?

MS. WALSH: Yes.
CLLR. BEHAN: It's now change back to employment? MS. WALSH: The Draft Plan shows it's zoned employment. MR. O'BRIEN: There are three stages, Councillor.
There's the old plan; there's the Draft Plan; and there's the amendment. In the old plan it was housing; in the Draft Plan it was employment.
CLLR. BEHAN: Right. okay. Just in relation to the Foggy Field element, if I could, Cathaoirleach, for a
minute. I know that there's a lot of logic to that but I think the people who live on the Southern Cross also feel that until such time as they get some shopping facilities, they get some neighbourhood facilities, something is done about the traffic problems, they're I 18:47 don't think going to welcome additional housing just at that location because they feel they've been left behind in terms of infrastructure. So on that basis I can't support that.

CATHAOIRLEACH: C11r. Vance.
CLLR. VANCE: Chairman, just on that. I think the major issue that a lot of people had in the Southern Cross was they didn't want those 240 thousands going in and they didn't want access through their own estates as well. This is not happening. It's a good bit away from them as wel1. I think it's realistic and we're only talking about 80 units compared to 240 as well. And we have to put housing somewhere. If you delete 240 houses out of it, this is about 80 thousands that are going in there as well.

CLLR. BEHAN: To speed things up I would be happy enough to let it go on public display and see what the reaction is.

CLLR. VANCE: Okay, that's fine.
MR. O'BRIEN: You are withdrawing your one and you will
take --
CLLR. BEHAN: As long as theirs covers mine.
CLLR. VANCE: Yeah, the 240 are out.
CATHAOIRLEACH: C11r. Behan wanted to say something.
Say what you're saying, Joe.
CLLR. BEHAN: I don't mind who's it is as long as it removes the houses from the Sugar Loaf.

MR. O'BRIEN: The buffer you had is not in that their one.

CATHAOIRLEACH: Is that agreed then?
FROM THE FLOOR: Agreed.
CLLR. MITCHELL: Could I just say something. I'm happy enough with the proposal to develop the Foggy Field but
that junction is very poor. There's huge traffic jams there. It does need to be widened, the road. So fi that foggy field is being developed there is a need to put an extra lane there for a left turn coming from Greystones because there's enough traffic jams that backup there. I don't know how we get that into this plan but it is essential. There's the opportunity there if the Foggy Field is being developed. CLLR. McLoughlin: sorry, Chair, it's not just the junction from Greystones going on to the Southern Cross, the big issue is people trying to get into Bray both from the Southern Cross and from Greystones. That's where the big gridlock is there in the morning. And I know because I do it every morning. It's horrendous. Something has to be thought about that. MR. O'brien: Not in this plan.
CATHAOIRLEACH: I just want to clarify what's been agreed for cllr. Behan to withdraw his proposal. ms. GALLAGHER: Cllr. Behan, you're happy to withdraw your amendment?
MR. O'bRIEN: C11r. Matthews' and Vance's are identical except he has a contour limit on it so we'll take Cl1r. Matthews'. That's my understanding.
CLLR. VANCE: I think you have 65 and $I$ have 70 and I have 70 for the simple reason that 70 is what it is all along the Southern Cross and that's to keep it consistent with that.

CATHAOIRLEACH: Leave it at 70 then?
CLLR. MATTHEWS: It depends on what heights we are
going to permit on it. If you stick to a contour 1ine --

CATHAOIRLEACH: We're talking about contour line, we're not talking about heights.
MR. O'BRIEN: It's 20 to the hectare. That's standard. 18:49 CLLR. VANCE: It's exactly the same. There is a slight difference in the contour height and my one --
CLLR. MATTHEWS: whatever the accepted contour line is. CATHAOIRLEACH: C11r. Vance's counter is 70 then.
MS. GALLAGHER: We'11 take C11r. Vance's proposal. Do we have seconder for C11r. Vance's proposal.

C11r. Fox. And that's agreed.
CATHAOIRLEACH: Okay, that's Kilruddery. Golf course done. Next is De11. C11r. Behan, again, page 24, the amendment described as number 7 .

CLLR. FORTUNE: Sorry, Chair, how long more do you think we might be?

CATHAOIRLEACH: Ten minutes and we'11 discuss then when our next meeting is going to be.
CLLR. BEHAN: That's irrelevant. I'm withdrawing that because I withdrew -- it's part of the other one. It's about the park and ride.

MR. O'BRIEN: Behan number 7 is gone.
CLLR. BEHAN: Yeah.
MR. O'BRIEN: That's the Park Na sillogue one.
CATHAOIRLEACH: There's a CE amendment, Park Na Sillogue. Just remind us
MS. WALSH: Park Na Sillogue in Enniskerry. This is page 34 of the Chief Executive's report. This is just
a minor amendment to indicate clearly that a hydrological assessment would be required on that site because there's springs on the site that need to SAC adjacent.
CATHAOIRLEACH: Is that agreed?
FROM THE FLOOR: Agreed.
MS. GALLAGHER: Do I have a proposer? Agreed.
CATHAOIRLEACH: Back to Dell. You've withdrawn Dell, have you?
MR. O'bRIEN: The Chief Executive's is at Dell.
CATHAOIRLEACH: That's agreed, is it?
CLLR. MATTHEWS: That was the park and ride. That's withdrawn.
MS. WALSH: The Chief Executive's proposal for Dell is on page 37 of the Chief Executive's report. This is a 18:51 recommended change with regard to the type of employment development that might be allowed on the site. If you recall the Draft Plan allowed for sort of a 50:50 split between residential and employment development and we're seeking a high employment density 18:52 to compensate for the slight loss in employment land. we're also recommending that a nursing home or healthcare facility could be considered as part of that employment zone. This is at the request of the landowner who believed it would be an excellent site for that type of facility and that there would be interest in it. They made the case that a nursing home could actually be an intensive employer and as intensive as many forms of employment development. We
recommended that such use be allowed and would allow for some flexibility on that site.

CATHAOIRLEACH: Cllr. Matthews.
CLLR. MATTHEWS: Chairman, I'm fine with that but could I also ask that we consider that the four-storey be kept to the west and the south of the site to protect residential amenity and school amenity and so as not to be overdominant on the streetscape.
CLLR. VANCE: I would agree with that.
MS. WALSH: If you could repeat that?
CLLR. MATTHEWS: If four-storey is to be considered that --

MR. O'BRIEN: The development of up to four storeys may be considered.

CLLR. MATTHEWS: But to the west and the south of the site, so as to protect residential amenity to the east and so as not to be visually dominant on the streetscape.
MR. O'BRIEN: Can you not speak with more economy of expression? It's hard to write it all down.

CLLR. MATTHEWS: You know my views on it. MR. O'BRIEN: Four storeys may be considered, particularly in the south and the west.
CLLR. MATTHEWS: We11 kept to the south and the west. I don't want it to the far east. Restricted to the west and southwest side, yeah.
MS. GALLAGHER: Proposed by C11r. Matthews and seconded by C11r. Vance. And agreed?

FROM THE FLOOR: Yes.

MR. O'BRIEN: That's the Chief Executive's modified by C11r. Matthews.

CATHAOIRLEACH: Chief Executive's number 15, GTH. This.

MR. O'BRIEN: This was just an NTA wanting their Bus Connects programme being mentioned in it. Very minor. CLLR. MATTHEWS: Propose.
MS. GALLAGHER: Proposed by C11r. Matthews. Seconded?
Cllr. Winters.
CATHAOIRLEACH: AO Smith.
MR. O'BRIEN: This is tied in with Cllr. Matthews. CATHAOIRLEACH: C11r. Matthews, you've a proposal, page 58, number 9 on the AO Smith site.
CLLR. MATTHEWS: It's to provide for residential use on it as well as mixed use. That would incorporate the employment and high residential, high density residential on such a well located site. I don't know if the Chief has a response to it there. The Chief Executive has no objection to this amendment. MR. O'BRIEN: It is amended in the Chief Executive's.

This is actually amending the Chief Executive's. The Chief Executive has got no objection to Cllr. Matthews' amendment.
CLLR. VANCE: I'11 second that.
MR. O'BRIEN: Basically proposing the Chief Executive's 18:55 as a modified.

CLLR. MATTHEWS: Yeah.
CLLR. VANCE: Yeah.
MS. GALLAGHER: Agreed?

FROM THE FLOOR: Agreed.
CLLR. BEHAN: Chairman on a point of order can I withdraw number 8 because that was looking for residential on part of that site so that's effectively the same thing as C11r. Matthews.

CATHAOIRLEACH: That's Chapter 11, your number 8, page 25.

CLLR. BEHAN: Yes, page 25 is gone.
CATHAOIRLEACH: Withdrawn. Just to finish on Chapter 10, old Court. C11r. Matthews, number 10, page 62 of the amendments, Old Court.

MS. WALSH: And number 17 of the Chief Executive's. CATHAOIRLEACH: Is that before it?

CLLR. McLOUGHLIN: what page of the Chief Executive's sorry?

CATHAOIRLEACH: Just of the amendments, page 62. CLLR. McLOUGHLIN: Okay.

CATHAOIRLEACH: Scheduled of proposed amendments to the Draft Plan. Page 62, C11r. Matthews. Councillor amendment number 10.

CLLR. MATTHEWS: what was the response on that? MR. O'BRIEN: This is the land Old Court House Castle area. C11r. Behan has to take all residential out; Cllr. Matthews is to take out the side on Giltspur but to leave in the site and provided it comes out through Vevay.

CLLR. MATTHEWS: The current access route.
MR. O'BRIEN: We've got some more details about taking down the gateposts and re-erecting them.

CLLR. MATTHEWS: Yes.
MR. O'BRIEN: The Chief Executive doesn't support taking out the land on the western side.
CLLR. WHITMORE: Say that again?
MR. O'BRIEN: The Chief Executive doesn't recommend
taking out the land on the western side beside Giltspur wood.

CLLR. WHITMORE: Who's proposal is that?
MR. O'BRIEN: To take it out, Cllr. Matthews.
Cllr. Behan is to take out the whole lot and
C11r. Matthews is to take out the western side and the eastern side to be accessed through Vevay Road, which is there.

CATHAOIRLEACH: You see he is saying they're suitable for residential development, which is proposed to be
omitted. C11r. Behan.
CLLR. BEHAN: Chairman, my proposal is to remove the residential on both sides and the main reason for wanting to remove it is that in both cases I don't think it's going to be possible to develop those sites
without going through cud cul-de-sacs, including open spaces, in both of the estates Charnwood on the Vevay Road side and Giltspur wood on the old Court Road side. Again, this is supposed to people's plan and certainly the residents in both Charnwood and Giltspur wood have very strong objections to their estates being opened up for access for additional traffic on both sides of that site. It's very clear in the Manager's, in the Chief Executive's report and in the Planner's original points
on this that they are not guaranteeing, by any means, that there wouldn't be an access, including road access, through Giltspur wood and/or through charnwood. They're saying that that's a matter for the planning process. So if we zone those two areas we're
effectively handing over the final decision to the planners, not only to the planners here but in An Bord Pleanála. And if we establish a precedent or create a precedent where cul-de-sacs can be basically just driven through because a developer has bought a big site, I don't think that's good practice and on that basis I'm proposing that both sites be removed. I think there's more than adequate scope in other parts of the town to make up the shortfall. So I propose my amendment, Cathaoirleach.
MS. GALLAGHER: What's number is that?
MS. WALSH: The next page, number 9 page 26 . Sorry.
CATHAOIRLEACH: So it does cover old Court?
CLLR. behan: yes, the same thing.
MS. WALSH: Yeah, it does.
CATHAOIRLEACH: C11r. Vance.
mr. o'brien: cllr. vance did it via map and cllr. Matthews did it via text.
CLLR. VANCE: Chairman, just on this. The major problem that most of the residents that I talked to,
and I talked to a lot of them in Charnwood and in Giltspur wood and Giltspur Brook was that they didn't want access coming through their own estates. That was the major problem. I talked to residents in Charnwood,
which is the closest one to this, and the number of residents that I talked to were happy enough for development to go in there, for the simple reason that they're suffer maybe problems with anti-social behaviour. They've major problems in there with a lot of the trees, a lot of really good trees in there are being damaged by this anti-social behaviour and they just feel that housing in there, that it would protect the particular trees and everything else and a nice housing development in there would be complimentary to that. And they also felt that like, as long as it was accessed through the Vevay Road, which I believe it can be and should be. I mean the residents in Gilspur wood and Giltspur Brook, now let's be fair to the person who owned the land before because when he sold the land he sold them with entrances from their estates into this particular 1and, do you know what I mean, and people that bought the houses bought the houses on that condition. But I'm not saying we should do that. All I'm saying is that access to this should be from the Vevay Road and we can, we can state that access has to be from the Vevay Road in this particular plan and not leave it up to the planners to do it. As far as I'm concerned that's what we can do and I think it's reasonable that in an area like this where it would open up the old new walk way there as well, that a lot of people that had never been to old Court Castle and all, which a lot of people have never been, it would open that up as well. And I think this is not a bad
idea at all and I think it goes to alleviate a lot of the concerns that people have in that particular area. Because this is in the middle of the town and effectively it's a good area for housing and we need housing particularly in this area as well. So I'm happy enough to go along with that. I'm happy enough, I would probably prefer if backing on to Giltspur was left in as well, but in an effort to speed up the proceedings here I'm happy to go along with C11r. Matthews' proposal that we take out the Giltspur Brook housing there, there's about ten or twelve houses there on it and go for the ones at the back of Charnwood and maybe increase the density slightly there. I would have no problem with that at all. CATHAOIRLEACH: Sorry, I'm a bit confused.
CLLR. WINTERS: We're out of time so I'm just wondering is it legal if we vote on it?
CATHAOIRLEACH: I've just started a topic so I'm going to finish the topic and that's the end then.
cllr. Vance, I'm not with you there. Are you saying you agree with Cllr. Behan's proposal?

CLLR. VANCE: No, no, not Behan's, Cllr. Matthews' proposal. Cllr. Behan is trying to move into my area, you know what I mean! I think it's reasonable that that particular proposal, as I said, I think -- and as long as the access comes in from the vevay Road rather than through Giltspur wood or Giltspur Brook, I don't think anyone in those estates can complain really about this. CATHAOIRLEACH: cllr. Fox.

CLLR. FOX: Look, I have no difficulty with what the Chief Executive is proposing, however, the Councillors that have spoken know the site a lot better than I do and I'm prepared to with that. But when I walked the site it came across as wasteland to be, effectively, very suitable for housing. Can the Chief Executive give an assurance on access? Is that possible? MR. O'BRIEN: We can't access because it is going across the screen and there's a castle there and it is wetland. I don't know but we certainly couldn't give you any commitment here.

CLLR. FOX: Okay. I'm happy to go with C11r. Matthews. CATHAOIRLEACH: C11r. Matthews.
CLLR. MATTHEWS: I'm just wondering, Chair, it's my amendment we're going to vote on, is it?
CLLR. BEHAN: I had an amendment in as well in time too, as we11 as C11r. Matthews. It just happens to be on the next page that's all.
CLLR. MATTHEWS: It's the same subject so we're probably within --
CATHAOIRLEACH: I'm sticking to the one tonic. I've started so I'11 finish. I'11 take your amendment first and then and then I'11 take C11r. Matthews. I will take C11r. Behan's first and then C11r. Matthews'. CLLR. BEHAN: I haven't got a seconder.
CLLR. VANCE: C11r. Behan's is to remove all the housing out of that area.
CLLR. BEHAN: On the basis that there is no guarantee, that you heard just there now, that the entrance won't
be through those two estates.
MR. O'bRIEN: That wasn't what I said. I said on the Giltspur one's I couldn't give a guarantee. On the other ones, if you say it's coming through the vevay that is what we have to go with.

MS. WALSH: That's the plan.
CLLR. BEHAN: You guarantee that's the way it will happening.
MR. O'bRIEN: How long have you been working here?
we're obliged to obey our plan and we're not going to contravene our plan.
CATHAOIRLEACH: C11r. Matthews, you're going to put in that it has to be accessed through the vevay Road. Is that on your amendment?
CLLR. MATTHEWS: That's my amendment.
CATHAOIRLEACH: That's covered then.
CLLR. VANCE: I've supported that because I wouldn't, like cllr. Behan, if there was any problem with getting through the other estates I would oppose this. But once the access is through the vevay Road and we have a 19:05 wonderful opportunity from the entrance to the vevay Road of doing something that is an blind spot on the Vevay Road and it's extremely dangerous. So with this development we can actually do a very nice job on making the sightlines an awful lot better from coming the moment. It has benefits to everybody.

CATHAOIRLEACH: Cl1r. Snell.
CLLR. SNELL: Thanks, Cathaoirleach. Just looking at
this, Cathaoirleach, I'd like to see this go out and give the public a chance to have their say on this because at the end of the day we can't keep removing residential units and still sit in here and say that we're going hit our targets and our commitments to people who are looking for a roof over their head. So I am conscious of public opinion and I'm conscious of neighbouring sites and residential areas, but I would like to hear what they have to say if this was incorporated with the residential in it and allow people, as cllr. Matthews and Vance and Behan and o'brien are well aware of the area more so than us, but I'd like to hear what the people have to say and I'd like to include the residential as part of that process and put it out there.
CATHAOIRLEACH: C11r. Matthews.
CLLR. MATTHEWS: Chairman, it has been out for public consultation. This was the Draft Plan and we have had the submissions from it and the Councillors are making an amendment here that we remove the eastern zoning; that we retain the western zoning. I'm open to looking at a higher densities on that western zoning. I don't have any problem with that. I think it's suitable for higher densities. I'd even be open to looking at how the actual area there to the south of that as well, there could be some sort of development there as long as it's sympathetic to the protected structure that's up there. So I think that site has potential access from the Vevay Road. Assess Cllr. Vance said, we can
address the sightlines. So I propose we proceed with the amendment as I submitted.

UNKNOWN SPEAKER: I second that.
CATHAOIRLEACH: Cl1r. Whitmore.
CLLR. WHITMORE: Can I just get some clarity on that. 19:07 C11r. Matthews and C11r. Vance are both saying that they would be okay with higher densities and or certain modifications. How does that get incorporated then if with we agree with that amendment? How is that brought forward?

MS. WALSH: It would be that you would accepting C11r. Matthews' proposal with a modification to it. CLLR. WHITMORE: Okay. Does that modification have to be agreed now?
MS. WALSH: As part of your vote now.
CATHAOIRLEACH: Members, I'm at seven minutes past seven and just in the interests of the integrity of the Plan I think I'm better to wait for the vote for this until the next meeting. We're going to have to go through eight or ten thing anyway.

In relation to when we hold that meeting I mean personally it would suit me a lot better to have it next Monday at 6:00 o'clock but I don't know how Members would feel about that.

CLLR. VANCE: I'm happy enough. I'd go along with that.

CLLR. MATTHEWS: There's only about three or four left, isn't there?

CATHAOIRLEACH: There's about ten items.
CLLR. VANCE: Chairman, 6:00 o'clock, I'm happy enough. CATHAOIRLEACH: 6:00 o'clock next Monday. Is that okay? There isn't ten items, less. It turns out there's a lot less.

THE MEETING WAS THEN ADJOURNED UNTIL MONDAY, 22ND JANUARY 2018 AT 6:00 P.M.
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| $\begin{aligned} & 110: 6,113: 4 \\ & 121: 8,127: 27 \\ & 167: 13 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { garden }[5] \text { - } \\ & \text { 138:22, 145:9, } \\ & \text { 147:20, 149:19, } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { glad }[3]-22: 25 \\ 52: 17,59: 9 \\ \text { Glen }[1]-54: 19 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 139:6, 139:23, } \\ & \text { 143:13, 174:29, } \\ & 175: 7,175: 26 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { guidelines [3] - } \\ & \text { 24:25, 163:17, } \\ & \text { 163:18 } \end{aligned}$ | ```hardcopies [1] - 114:5 harder [1] -``` |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
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| GALLAGHER | $\begin{aligned} & \text { general [13] - } \\ & 3: 29,18: 12 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 179:13 } \\ & \text { golf [12] - 69:18, } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 3: 25,3: 26,35: 26, \\ & 36: 7,42: 11, \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { half [10] - 29: } \\ & 34: 9,40: 28, \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 190:6 } \\ & \text { headed [1] - } \end{aligned}$ |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { [62] - 2:18, 2:21, } \\ & 5: 3,6: 3,6: 10, \end{aligned}$ | 18:14, 36:25 | 69:19, 69:20 | 42:29, 43:10, | $\begin{aligned} & 65: 24,69: 1, \\ & 85: 18,85: 22 \end{aligned}$ | 99:23 |
| $6: 16,6: 19,6: 23$ | $\begin{aligned} & 58: 24,71: 3 \\ & 72: 10,84: 17 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 73: 19,105: 1 \\ & 105: 4,107: 8 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 47:19, 64:29, } \\ & 117: 20,145: 8 \end{aligned}$ | 89:1, 128:7, | $\begin{aligned} & \text { headway [1] - } \\ & \text { 24:8 } \end{aligned}$ |
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| 123:18, 125:2, | $\begin{aligned} & \text { generate } \\ & 79: 2 \end{aligned}$ | Government [6] $-6: 29,7: 8,45: 21$, | 75:10, 83:20, | HANDED [1] - | $\begin{aligned} & 55: 7,59: 9,76: 11, \\ & 93: 11,102: 16, \end{aligned}$ |
| 126:8, 131:6, | generated | 65:24, 65:25 | 84:20, 84:23, | 114:12 | 153:23, 157:1, |
| 134:18, 135:12, | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 153:16, 154:24 } \\ & \text { generation [2] - } \end{aligned}$ | $74: 1$ <br> grabbing [1] - | 101:13 group [5] | $\begin{aligned} & \text { handing [1] } \\ & \text { 185:6 } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 158:8, 190:9, } \\ & 190: 13 \end{aligned}$ |
| 138:11, 143:9, | 4:7, 4:12 | 152:29 | 76:20, 76:26, | hands [1] - | heard [4] - |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { 143:19, 145:25, } \\ & \text { 145:27, 147:9, } \end{aligned}$ | generational [1] | grand [2] | 98:6, 125:5, | 113:5 | 44:16, 53:12 |
| 152:25, 158:27, <br> 159.29, 162.25 | $\begin{aligned} & -94: 16 \\ & \text { gentlemen [1] - } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { 45:12, 135:21 } \\ \text { granted }[3] \text { - } \end{gathered}$ | $156: 1$ <br> Group [3] - | hang [1] - 48:23 hanging [1] - | 84:12, 188:29 hearing [1] - |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { 159:29, 162:25, } \\ & \text { 163:21, 163:24, } \end{aligned}$ | 2:4 <br> genuine [3] - | $\begin{aligned} & 36: 20,85: 16, \\ & 99: 2 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 23: 28,76: 22, \\ & 170: 2 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 156:5 } \\ & \text { happy }[27]-2: 8, \end{aligned}$ | $20: 25$ <br> hearings [1] - |
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| $\begin{gathered} \text { 182:29, } 185: 16 \\ \text { gallery [2] - } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Gerry }{ }_{[1]}-3: 22 \\ & \text { gig }[1]-10: 6 \end{aligned}$ | 156:9 great [20]-3:28, | $\begin{aligned} & \text { growth [1] - } \\ & \text { 86:19 } \\ & \text { GTH } 111-180 \cdot 3 \end{aligned}$ | 131:2, 148:5, 151:29, 159:13, | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 98:25, 101:3 } \\ & \text { heartened [1] - } \end{aligned}$ |
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| 84:26, 89:24, | 183:24, 184:6, 184:23, 184:25 | $\begin{aligned} & 76: 24,78: 1,78: \\ & 88: 25,90: 25, \end{aligned}$ | 189:3, 189:7 guaranteeing | 187:9, 188:12, | $\begin{aligned} & 70: 19,70: 20 \\ & 116: 7.116: 10 \end{aligned}$ |
| 90:3, 95:1, 97:21, | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 184:23, 184:25, } \\ & \text { 185:3, 185:27, } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 88: 25,90: 25, \\ & 99: 13,101: 21, \end{aligned}$ | guaranteeing $\text { [1] }-185: 1$ | 191:26, 192:2 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 116:7, 116:10, } \\ & \text { 136:22, 148:27, } \end{aligned}$ |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { 101:29, 103:7, } \\ & \text { 113:10, 120:4 } \end{aligned}$ | 186:14, 187:7, | 122:3, 134:1, | guarantees | harbour [5] - | 179:5 |
| 124:15, 130:13, | 187:10, 187:27, | 141:16, 157:5 | 94:9 | 92:27, 117:17, | hectares [16] - |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { 130:24 } \\ & \text { game }[4]-17: 6, \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 189:3 } \\ & \text { girl [1] - } 2: 29 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { greater [4] - } \\ 88: 1,148: 24, \end{gathered}$ | guard [1] - 4:28 guidance [1] - | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 117:18, 122:6, } \\ & \text { 122:25 } \\ & \text { hard [10] - 14:2, } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 7: 14,70: 6,70: 7, \\ & 70: 8,70: 9 \end{aligned}$ |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { 45:6, 92:7, 98:13 } \\ & \text { games [1] - } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { given [10] - 4:16, } \\ & 15: 22,16: 5, \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { 148:25, 148:29 } \\ \text { Green [2]- } \\ 77 \cdot 11 \quad 146 \cdot 23 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 68:15 } \\ & \text { guided }[1]-68: 6 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 25: 17,31: 8, \\ & 82: 23,83: 2, \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 116:28, 118:29, } \\ & \text { 168:1, 168:2, } \end{aligned}$ |
| $33: 23$ <br> garage [1] - | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 16:20, 17:24, } \\ & 27: 16,44: 3, \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} 77: 11,146: 23 \\ \text { green [10] - } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { guideline [1] - } \\ & 56: 24 \end{aligned}$ | 84:15, 107:29, <br> 137:5, 157:15, | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 168:27, 169:16, } \\ & \text { 170:15, 172:12 } \end{aligned}$ |
| 126:18 Garda [1] - 4:26 | $\begin{aligned} & 58: 17,150: 3, \\ & 153: 14 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 38: 18,38: 28, \\ & 81: 25,117: 22, \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Guidelines [2] - } \\ & 52: 22,109: 23 \end{aligned}$ | 181:20 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { height }[6]-89: 6 \text {, } \\ & 92: 21,135: 9 \text {, } \end{aligned}$ |


| 174:1, 179:7 heights [2]- | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 81:6 } \\ & \text { history [6] - } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { house [9] - } \\ \text { 11:24, 23:25, } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 52: 23,52: 29 \\ & 53: 5,53: 17,54: 3 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { ignored [1] - } \\ & \text { 102:24 } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { inaudible) [1] - } \\ & 39: 27 \end{aligned}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 178:29, 179:4 | 12:12, 15:27, | 28:8, 28:10, | 54:8, 58:4, 70:16, | II [1] - 153:26 | inch [1] - 108:23 |
| Heiton [2] - | 71:4, 94:17, | 32:22, 51:27, | 85:13, 89:5, 89:7, | ills [1] - 14:6 | hes [1] - |
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| HELD [1] - 1:7 | hold [2]-77:13, | 15:8, 20:11, 28:2, | 165:3, 165:5, | 91:17, 91:19, | 41:23, 43:15, |
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| 161:1, 161:4 | 180:27 | 55:3, 58:4, 58:7, | 108:29, 125:26, | imponderables | increase [5] - |
| 162:2, 162:12 | homes [8] - | 59:20, 61:25 | 155:24, 155:29, | [1] - 108:2 | 70:5, 105:3, |
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| 23:21 | 76:27, 82:14, | 75:1, 82:13 | 168:11, 168:18, 178:1 | 11:15, 13:2, 13:7, | 187:13 |
| high [17] - $37: 12$, | 83:22, 84:16, | 95:17, 96:14 | 178: | 15:17, 18:28 | increased [2] - |
| 42:1, | 96:22, 121:7 | 97:13, 97:14, | hugely [5] | 24:21, 25:7 | 70:8, 89:8 |
| 95:20, 108:27 | honest [3] | 100:4, 129:24 | 12:16, 53:5 | 25:22, 25:27 | incredibly [1] - |
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